Increased PD-1⁺ and TIM-3⁺ TILs during Cetuximab Therapy Inversely Correlate with Response in Head and Neck Cancer Patients
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Abstract

Despite emerging appreciation for the important role of immune checkpoint receptors in regulating the effector functions of T cells, it is unknown whether their expression is involved in determining the clinical outcome in response to cetuximab therapy. We examined the expression patterns of immune checkpoint receptors (including PD-1, CTLA-4, and TIM-3) and cytolytic molecules (including granzyme B and perforin) of CD8⁺ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) and compared them with those of peripheral blood T lymphocytes (PBL) in patients with head and neck cancer (HNSCC) during cetuximab therapy. The frequency of PD-1 and TIM-3 expression was significantly increased in CD8⁺ TILs compared with CD8⁺ PBLs (P = 0.008 and P = 0.02, respectively). This increased CD8⁺ TIL population coexpressed granzyme B/perforin and PD-1/TIM-3, which suggests a regulatory role for these immune checkpoint receptors in cetuximab-promoting cytolytic activities of CD8⁺ TILs. Indeed, the increased frequency of PD-1⁺ and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TILs was inversely correlated with clinical outcome of cetuximab therapy. These findings support the use of PD-1 and TIM-3 as biomarkers to reflect immune status of CD8⁺ T cells in the tumor microenvironment during cetuximab therapy. Blockade of these immune checkpoint receptors might enhance cetuximab-based cancer immunotherapy to reverse CD8⁺ TIL dysfunction, thus potentially improving clinical outcomes of HNSCC patients.

Introduction

Immune checkpoint receptors expressed by T cells can negatively control their activation, expansion, and effector functions through inhibitory signals generated by interacting with their cognate ligands. Particularly, many immune checkpoint receptors are significantly upregulated by tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL), indicating that these inhibitory receptors are induced by complex components distinctively formed at the tumor microenvironment (TME; refs. 1–3). In the TME, T cells with low T-cell receptor (TCR) binding affinities are often insufficiently primed or boosted by antigenic stimulation and the cytokine milieu, contributing to T-cell exhaustion, by upregulating immune checkpoint receptors (4). For example, upregulation of programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) correlates with suppressed effector functions of T cells, such as cytolytic activity against tumors, cytokine release, and expansion in the TME, thus enabling tumors to evade immunosurveillance (5). Upon interaction with its ligands PD-L1/L2, expressed by tumor cells or inflammatory immune cells, PD-1 ligation inhibits TCR signaling by recruiting phosphatases SHP-1 and SHP-2 (6). mAbs to PD-1 or PD-L1 can block the negative signals and enhance T-cell functions, restoring antitumor immunity, and have emerged as an effective immunotherapy for patients with various cancers (7, 8). T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin domain 3 (TIM-3) is predominantly expressed by PD-1⁺ TILs in both tumor-bearing animals and cancer patients (1, 9). Three different ligands, including galecinin-9, HMGBl, and CEACAM1, can interact with TIM-3 and suppress T-cell activities (10–12). In spite of this, TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TILs isolated from melanoma patients more efficiently lysed autologous tumor cell lines than did their TIM-3⁻ CD8⁺ TILs counterparts (1). Blockade of TIM-3 can increase cytokine production, including IFNγ and TNFα production, enhance expansion of tumor antigen NY-ESO-1-specific CD8⁺ T cells upon antigen stimulation, and act synergistically when combined with PD-1 blockade (13). Thus, blockade of TIM-3 has therapeutic potential in treating cancer patients by impairing the antitumor activities of tumor antigen-specific CD8⁺ TILs (14, 15).

Although the efficacy of cetuximab (an mAb to the EGF receptor) therapy is limited to 15% to 25% in treating patients with head and neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC), the immunologic mechanisms underlying this moderate response rate have not been well characterized. It has been proposed that immune tolerance in the TME is inversely associated with efficacy and correlated with resistance to anticancer drugs and cancer recurrence (16). We have demonstrated that CTLA-4⁺ and Foxp3⁺ regulatory T cells (Treg) are significantly increased in the
microenvironment of patients with HNSCC during cetuximab therapy, suppress cetuximab-induced natural killer (NK) cell cytotoxicity, and correlate with poor prognosis (17). We also proposed that treatment with cetuximab can stimulate CD8+ T cells through cross-presentation following tumor cell death, which is a critical process to induce expansion of tumor-derived antigen, EGFR-specific, CD8+ T cells (18). Because TCR activation induces immune checkpoint receptors, we hypothesized that cross-presentation mediated by cetuximab could upregulate these inhibitory receptors, thus contributing to T-cell dysfunction in the TME, subsequently affecting efficacy and resistance to cetuximab therapy. In this report, we demonstrate that the frequency of PD-1+ and TIM-3+ cells was significantly increased on CD8+ TILs, which also expressed granzyme B and perforin. These results suggest that therapeutic mAb targeting PD-1 or TIM-3 molecules should be combined with cetuximab to improve clinical outcomes for HNSCC patients.

Materials and Methods

Patients and specimens

Patients were seen in the Outpatient Clinic of the Department of Otolaryngology at the University of Pittsburgh (Pittsburgh, PA) Medical Center, and all subjects signed an informed consent approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pittsburgh (IRB #99-06). Peripheral venous blood samples were obtained from cetuximab-treated patients with previously untreated stage III/IV HNSCC, receiving cetuximab monotherapy on a prospective phase II clinical trial (UPCI #08-013, NCT 01218048; ref. 17). Tumor and blood were collected before and after a 4-week window of weekly cetuximab. Cetuximab 250 mg/m² was weekly injected and 400 mg/m² after an initial dose. Two specimens were collected from larynx, 8 from oral cavity, and 8 from oropharynx specimens for this study. We also summarized the samples used in each figure in Table 2.

Collection and processing of PBMCs and TILs

Blood samples from cancer patients (40 mL) were drawn into heparinized tubes and centrifuged on Ficoll–Hypaque gradients (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). PBLS were recovered, washed in RPMI1640 or AIM-V medium (Invitrogen), and stored at −80°C for FACS analysis. For TIL isolation, tumor tissues obtained from HNSCC patients undergoing surgery were collected, placed in sterile medium, and delivered to the laboratory for processing. Tumor tissues were sliced into small pieces, which then were transferred to a cell strainer (70-μm nylon) and mechanically minced using a syringe plunger. No enzymes were used for TIL recovery. The cells that passed through the cell strainer were collected and subjected to Ficoll–Hypaque gradient centrifugation. Mononuclear cells were recovered and stored at −80°C for FACS analysis. For pre- and post-cetuximab treatment, peripheral blood mononuclear lymphocytes (PBMC) and TILs were isolated from HNSCC patients before and after therapy, according to the protocol for the UPCI #08-013 trial.

mAbs and flow cytometry

The mAbs used to stain human immune cells included PD-1-APC (clone: EH12.2H7, eBioscience), CTLA-4-PE (clone: L3D10), TIM-3-Brilliant violet 421 (clone: F38-2E2), granzyme B-FITC (clone: GB11), perforin-APC (clone: dG9, BioLegend), CD3-Alexa Fluor 405 conjugate (clone: UCHT1, Invitrogen), and CD4-AF700 (clone RPA-T4, BD Biosciences) and their respective isotype controls. All mAb preparations were pretitrated using activated as well as nonactivated PBMCs to determine the optimal staining dilution for each. Intracellular staining for granzyme B and perforin was performed as follows: PBMCs or TILs were stained with mAb for surface markers and subsequently fixed and permeabilized (eBioscience). After washing, cells were subjected to intracellular staining for Foxp3, granzyme B, and perforin. Flow cytometry was performed using an EPICS XL-MCL flow cytometer equipped with Expo32 software, a CyAn flow cytometer (Dako), or Fortessa (Becton Dickinson) machine; data were analyzed using Summit V4.3 software or the FlowJo software (TreeStar, Inc.). The acquisition and analysis gates were restricted to the lymphocyte gate based on characteristic properties of the cells in the forward and side scatter. At least 1 × 10⁶ events were acquired for analysis.

Statistical analysis

Averages were calculated as means. For nonparametric distribution of samples, P values were calculated by Kruskal–Wallis and two-tailed exact Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney tests or nonparametric paired test (Wilcoxon matched paired test). P values <0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results

Increased frequency of PD-1- and TIM-3-expressing TILs after cetuximab monotherapy

When TILs isolated from HNSCC patients were analyzed for expression of the immune checkpoint receptors CTLA-4, PD-1, and TIM-3, CD8+ TILs had an expression pattern distinct from CD4+ TILs. PD-1 and TIM-3 were more predominantly expressed by CD8+ TILs than CTLA-4 (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively), whereas CTLA-4 was more frequently expressed by CD4+ TILs than were PD-1 and TIM-3 (P < 0.01 for both; Fig. 1A). Analysis of coexpression pattern of these immune checkpoint receptors showed that PD-1 and TIM-3 were highly coexpressed by CD8+ TILs, whereas CTLA-4 was rarely coexpressed with PD-1 or TIM-3 on these two TIL subsets (Fig. 1B; Table 1). This tight coexpression pattern between PD-1 and TIM-3 was more tightly correlated on CD8+ TILs compared with CD4+ TILs (R² = 0.7576 vs. R² = 0.501, Table 1). Previously, we demonstrated that the majority of CD4+-expressing CD4+ TILs were Foxp3+- Tregs in the TME (17).

Because cetuximab induces clinical activity with a response rate of about 15% to 20% for patients with HNSCC, we postulated that these immune checkpoint receptors are involved in limiting the efficacy of cetuximab therapy by inhibiting T-cell effector functions in the TME. On the basis of the observation that PD-1 and TIM-3 are predominantly expressed by CD8+ TILs that are effectors to eliminate tumors, we examined the frequency of PD-1- and TIM-3-expressing CD8+ TILs isolated from a cohort of patients (n = 18) who received only single-agent cetuximab in a prospective phase II clinical trial (UPCI #08-013). As expected, CTLA-4+, PD-1+, and TIM-3+CD8+ T cells are significantly and consistently enriched in TILs compared with PBLS (Fig. 2A). Treatment with single-agent cetuximab for 4 weeks significantly increased the frequency of CTLA-4+, PD-1+, and TIM-3+CD8+ TILs in the patients with HNSCC, as compared with baseline (pre-cetuximab; P < 0.05, P = 0.008, and P = 0.021, for CTLA-4+,
PD-1⁺, and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TILs, respectively; Fig. 2B). Each CTLA-4⁺, PD-1⁺, and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TIL subset increased up to 14.3%, 35.7%, and 29.8%, respectively, during cetuximab monotherapy, indicating that PD-1 and TIM-3 molecules were predominantly expressed by CD8⁺ TILs. In addition, the average frequency of PD-1⁻/TIM-3⁻ (double negative) CD8⁺ TIL subsets decreased from 67.0% to 56.9%, suggesting that PD-1 and TIM-3 single-positive and double-positive cells comprise a significant proportion of CD8⁺ TILs during cetuximab monotherapy. However, these CD8⁺ T-cell subsets were not increased in PBLs during the therapy. This result indicates that cetuximab therapy increased CTLA-4⁺, PD-1⁺, and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ T cells locally in the TME, which potentially could impair effector functions such as cytokine release and cytolytic activities of CTL, contributing to suppression of cetuximab-induced antitumor immunity.
Figure 2.
Cetuximab monotherapy significantly increases frequency of PD-1⁺ and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TILs isolated from 18 HNSCC patients. PBLs and TILs were isolated from blood and tumors, respectively, of HNSCC patients at pre- and post single-agent cetuximab therapy. A, Representative flow cytometry analysis of CTLA-4, PD-1, and TIM-3 expression on CD8⁺ PBLs and TILs isolated from an HNSCC patient (pre- and post-cetuximab treatment). The numbers represent the percentages of CTLA-4, PD-1, TIM-3 single- and double-positive cells in CD8⁺ T cells. B, Percentages of CTLA-4⁺, PD-1⁺, TIM-3⁺, PD-1⁺TIM-3⁺, and PD1⁺TIM-3⁻ cells in CD8⁺ T cells were compared in HNSCC patients at pre- and post single-agent cetuximab therapy for 18 HNSCC patients. n.s., not significant.
Increased frequency of granzyme B- and perforin-expressing CD8\(^+\) TILs after cetuximab

To characterize cytolytic phenotypes of CD8\(^+\) T cells induced during cetuximab therapy, we first analyzed baseline of granzyme B and perforin expressed by CD8\(^+\) PBLs and TILs. At baseline, perforin expression was lower on CD8\(^+\) TILs compared with PBLs (\(P = 0.002\)), whereas granzyme B expression was comparable with TILs and PBLs. To investigate the effect of cetuximab monotherapy on granzyme B and perforin expressed by CD8\(^+\) T cells, paired PBLs and TILs were isolated prospectively from HNSCC patients (\(n = 12\)) treated before and after 4 weeks of single-agent cetuximab therapy (Fig. 3C–E). Cetuximab monotherapy significantly and consistently increased the frequency of granzyme B\(^+\) and perforin\(^+\) cells in CD8\(^+\) TILs (\(P = 0.001\)). This result suggests that cetuximab therapy can significantly enhance cytolytic capacity of CD8\(^+\) T cells in the TME by increasing granzyme B and perforin expression.

Cetuximab increased granzyme B and perforin expression on CD8\(^+\) TILs positive for PD-1/TIM-3

Tumor antigen-specific T cells can be generated through cetuximab-mediated cross-presentation and subsequent TCR triggering, which induces granzyme B/perforin as well as PD-1/TIM-3 molecules in T cells (19, 20). Indeed, we observed that cetuximab therapy concomitantly increased the frequency of granzyme B\(^+\)/perforin\(^+\) and PD-1\(^+\)/TIM-3\(^+\) cells only on CD8\(^+\) TILs (Figs. 2 and 3). Next, we investigated whether the cetuximab-incorporated granzyme B/perforin-expressing CD8\(^+\) TILs also coexpressed PD-1/TIM-3 molecules on their cell surface. Indeed, most granzyme B–expressing CD8\(^+\) TILs coexpressed PD-1 and/or TIM-3.

Figure 3.
Cetuximab monotherapy significantly increases the frequency of granzyme B\(^+\) and perforin\(^-\)CD8\(^+\) TILs isolated from HNSCC patients. A–C, Representative flow cytometry analysis of granzyme B and perforin on CD8\(^+\) PBLs and TILs isolated from an HNSCC patient (A), granzyme B and perforin expression comparison of paired CD8\(^+\) PBLs and TILs isolated from 10 HNSCC patients (B), and representative flow cytometry analysis of granzyme B and perforin expression on CD8\(^+\) PBLs and TILs isolated from an HNSCC patient at pre- and post-cetuximab treatment (C). The numbers represent the percentages of granzyme B\(^+\)/perforin\(^-\), granzyme B\(^+\)/perforin\(^+\), and granzyme B\(^+\)/perforin\(^+\) cells in CD8\(^+\) T cells. D and E, Percentages of granzyme B\(^+\) and perforin\(^+\) cells in CD8\(^+\) T cells were compared in a cohort of HNSCC patients (\(n = 12\)) pre- and post cetuximab neoadjuvant therapy. n.s., not significant.
on their surface, which is more evident than perforin+/CD8+ TILs (Fig. 4A and B). Because of the observation that this coexpression pattern was not detected in CD8+ PBLs, we further analyzed only CD8+ TILs to investigate the correlation between PD-1/TIM-3 and granzyme B/perforin pre- and post-cetuximab monotherapy. For TIM-3+/CD8+ TILs, the frequency of both granzyme B+ and perforin+ cells was increased during cetuximab monotherapy (Fig. 4C and D, P < 0.01). Regarding TIM-3+/CD8+ TILs, the frequency of perforin+ cells but not granzyme B+ cells was also significantly increased during cetuximab monotherapy. Compared with TIM-3+/CD8+ TILs, TIM-3+/CD8− TILs were significantly and consistently high in granzyme B+ and perforin expression before and after cetuximab monotherapy (P = 0.001). Cetuximab monotherapy also significantly increased the frequency of granzyme B+ cells and perforin+ cells in both PD-1+/CD8+ (P < 0.05) and PD-1−/CD8+ TILs (P < 0.05 and P < 0.005; Fig. 4E and F). Compared with PD-1+/CD8+ TILs, PD-1−/CD8+ TILs were consistently high in granzyme B but not perforin expression before cetuximab monotherapy (P = 0.005). Taken together, granzyme B+/perforin−/CD8− TILs increased during cetuximab monotherapy coexpressed TIM-3 and PD-1, indicating potential roles of PD-1 and TIM-3 molecules in controlling cytolytic activities of these cetuximab-expanded CD8+ T cells and inducing immune tolerance in the TME.

PD-1 and TIM-3 are associated with the clinical outcome of cetuximab monotherapy

On the basis of the observation that PD-1 and TIM-3 are coexpressed with granzyme B and perforin on CD8+ T cells, which is further evident during cetuximab monotherapy (Fig. 4), and potentially negatively regulate antitumor immunity of CD8+ T cells (21), we hypothesized that PD-1 and TIM-3 could correlate with clinical outcome in HNSCC patients treated with cetuximab. To assess this, we compared the frequency of TIM-3+/CD8+ TILs and PD-1+/CD8+ TILs in objective clinical responders, based on tumor size shrinkage by comparing pre- and posttreatment CT scan, with clinical nonresponders during cetuximab monotherapy (Fig. 5A). In clinical nonresponders, the frequency of TIM-3+/CD8+ TILs and PD-1−/CD8+ TILs was significantly increased during cetuximab neoadjuvant therapy (P = 0.016 and P = 0.009, respectively; Fig. 5B and C). However, the frequency of these same CD8+ TILs subsets in clinical responders was not significantly changed during cetuximab monotherapy. Taken together, these results suggest that frequency of TIM-3+/CD8+ TILs and PD-1−/CD8+ TILs negatively impacts on the efficacy of cetuximab monotherapy and provides a rationale to combine TIM-3 or PD-1 blockade with cetuximab therapy to improve clinical outcome for HNSCC patients.
In this report, we demonstrate that the frequency of PD-1⁺ and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ T cells in the TME of the HNSCC patients increased during cetuximab monotherapy. We also observed that the frequency of granzyme B⁺ and perforin⁺ cells was also concomitantly increased in these CD8⁺ TILs, which also coexpressed PD-1 and TIM-3. These results indicate that activated CD8⁺ TILs are increased at the tumor sites during cetuximab therapy and may be controlled or suppressed of their cytolytic activity by PD-1 and/or TIM-3 in the TME. More importantly, PD-1⁺ and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TILs increased after cetuximab treatment were strongly associated with clinical outcome in these patients.

Table 2. Summary for patients and figures using their specimens (UPCI #08-013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responder: before &amp; after</th>
<th>Figures using specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6675 &amp; HN12-6741</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6864 &amp; HN12-6938</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-7031 &amp; HN12-7089</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-7081 &amp; HN12-7148</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-7131 &amp; HN12-7197</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-7252 &amp; HN12-7308</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonresponder: before and after</th>
<th>Figures using specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6453 &amp; HN12-6487</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6479 &amp; HN12-6528</td>
<td>Fig. 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6631 &amp; HN12-6687</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6658 &amp; HN12-6721</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6465 &amp; HN12-6517</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6768 &amp; HN12-6829</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6829 &amp; HN12-6901</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6837 &amp; HN12-6884</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6933 &amp; HN12-6983</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-6908 &amp; HN12-6980</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-7036 &amp; HN12-7091</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN12-7006 &amp; HN12-7097</td>
<td>Fig. 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Increased frequency of PD-1⁺ and TIM-3⁺ CD8⁺ TILs is inversely correlated with clinical outcome in cetuximab monotherapy. A, Representative PET/CT scanning results of a responder and a nonresponder during cetuximab monotherapy clinical trial (UPCI-#08-013). The frequency of PD-1⁺ (B) or TIM-3⁺ (C) cells in CD8⁺ TILs was measured using flow cytometry and compared in pre- and post single-agent cetuximab monotherapy for 5 responders and 13 nonresponders, respectively. n.s., not significant.
patients. Taken together, these results suggest that combination therapy using blockade of PD-1 or TIM-3 in the cetuximab therapy might improve clinical outcome of cetuximab-treated HNSCC patients by breaking immune tolerance induced by these cetuximab-induced immune checkpoint receptors on CD8+ TILs in the TME.

We speculate that T-cell activation takes place through TCR triggering in the TME, which is presumably enhanced by cetuximab-mediated cross-presentation (22), contributing to increase of PD-1+ and TIM-3+ CD8+ TIL subsets. Previously, we reported that cetuximab therapy significantly increases the frequency of tumor antigen–specific CD8+ T cells in HNSCC patients (20). In this report, we also demonstrated that cetuximab-activated NK cells subsequently induced dendritic cell (DC) maturation by producing IFNγ. On the basis of these observations, we expect that cross-presentation function of DC might be enhanced by cetuximab treatment, thus contributing to activation and expansion of tumor antigen–specific T cells in the TME of head and neck cancer patients. Indeed, we also have observed that the frequency of CD137+ and CD107a+ intra-tumoral NK cells was increased during cetuximab monotherapy, confirming that NK cells are activated in the TME of the HNSCC patients treated with cetuximab (17). Given that granzyme B/perforin as well as PD-1/TIM-3 are induced upon T-cell activation, cetuximab therapy activates CD8+ T cells in the TME. Taken together, these results support the idea that CD8+ T-cell activation actively takes place in the TME presumably through cetuximab-promoting cross-presentation.

It has been proposed that chronic antigen stimulation, such as in chronic virus infection or tumors, leading to upregulation of immune checkpoint receptors associated with T-cell exhaustion, a hyporesponsive status of T cells (23). However, it is challenging to define the most important functions of T cells expressing immune checkpoint receptors in artificial tumor models. Several lines of research showed that tumor antigen–specific CD8+ TILs expressing high levels of PD-1 are defined as functionally impaired T cells in the TME (24–26). However, it was reported that the frequency of PD-1+ TILs positively correlated with a better clinical outcome in HPV-associated head and neck cancer patients (27). In this article, treatment with cancer vaccine increases frequency of PD-1+ TILs and combination therapy using PD-1 blockade enhances antitumor activity, suggesting that PD-1+ TILs could be reactivated by PD-1 blockade (27). Regarding the roles of TIM-3 on TIL functions, the majority of TIM-3+ TILs were found to coexpress PD-1 in both mice bearing tumors (9) and melanoma patients (1). In the mouse tumor model, TIM-3+ PD-1+ TILs were defined as severely exhausted cells based on their impaired capability to proliferate and produce cytokines, including IL2, TNFα, and IFNγ. However, PD-1+ CD8+ and TIM-3+ CD8+ TILs in melanoma patients, but not PD-1+ CD8+ and TIM-3+ CD8+ TIL, are capable to recognize and lyse autologous tumor and react to tumor-derived mutated antigen. Importantly, blockade of TIM-3 led to rejection of carcinogen-induced sarcomas by producing IFNγ in a preclinical model (28), and in response to antigen stimulation, it enhanced cytokine productions and expansion of tumor antigen–specific CD8+ T cells isolated from melanoma patients (13). Although TIM-3+ CD8+ T cells express high level of perforin as well as T-bet in HIV infection, they are impaired in their degranulation capacity (29). In this study, blockade of TIM-3 increased the cytotoxicity of TIM-3+ CD8+ T cells to lyse CD4 T cells expressing HIV antigens by enhancing degranulation (release) of granzyme B and perforin. Thus, TIM-3 may negatively control cytotoxicity of CD8+ T cells by inhibiting degranulation of granzyme B and perforin. These two studies imply that TIM-3+ CD8+ T cells are activated cells but are suppressed in their cytolytic activity by TIM-3 molecules. Therefore, they might be recovered from the suppressed status and reactivated upon antigenic stimulation by using blockade of TIM-3.

In our study, granzyme B was coexpressed with 60% to 70% of TIM-3+ CD8+ and PD-1+ CD8+ TILs, whereas this cytolytic molecule was not expressed by 20% to 30% of TIM-3+ CD8+ and PD-1+ CD8+ TILs. Given the amount of granzyme B indicates cytolytic ability of activated CD8+ T cells, TIM-3+ CD8+ and PD-1+ CD8+ TILs coexpressing granzyme B could be defined as activated cells in the TME and have the potential to be reactivated using blockade of PD-1 or TIM-3. In addition, PD-1+ CD8+ and TIM-3+ CD8+ TILs, compared with their negative counterparts, contain significantly more granzyme B+ and perforin+ cells both before and after cetuximab monotherapy. Our results also show that these activated CD8+ T cells increased and coexpressed PD-1 and TIM-3 in the TME during cetuximab monotherapy, suggesting that their cytolytic activities are being dampened. Hence, these results provide us with an insight into understanding dysfunction of CD8+ T cells induced by PD-1 and TIM-3 in the TME during cetuximab therapy as well as provide a rationale to combine cetuximab therapy with blockade of PD-1 and/or TIM-3 to improve clinical outcome for HNSCC patients.
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