Metastatic melanoma patient had complete response with clonal expansion after whole brain radiation and PD-1 blockade
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ABSTRACT

We report here on a patient with metastatic melanoma who had extensive brain metastases. After being treated with the sequential combination of whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) followed by the PD-1 inhibitory antibody, pembrolizumab, the patient had a durable complete response. Retrospective laboratory studies of T cells revealed that, after treatment with anti–PD-1 commenced, effector CD8+ T cells in the blood expanded and the ratio of CD8+:Treg T cells increased. A CD8+ T-cell clone present in the initial brain metastases was expanded in the blood after anti–PD-1 treatment, which suggested an antitumor role for this clone. Immunohistochemistry analysis confirmed the presence of CD8+ T cells and low PD-L1 expression in the brain metastases before immunotherapy initiation. This sequence of therapy may provide an option for melanoma patients with unresponsive brain metastases.
INTRODUCTION

Melanoma is the third most common solid tumor to metastasize to the brain (1,2). Approximately 40% to 50% of patients with advanced melanoma develop brain metastases (3,4), and brain metastases are found in up to 70% of patients upon autopsy (4,5). The development of brain metastasis is one of the most common and devastating complications and is associated with poor prognosis and a median overall survival (OS) of 4–5 months (3,6,7). Radiotherapy (RT) approaches, such as whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) and stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), remain the cornerstone of management of brain metastases for most patients, due to poor responses to current systemic treatment, which is partially explained by the presence of the blood-brain barrier. Although surgical resection and SRS have shown high local control rates in selected patients who have good performance status, well-controlled extracranial disease, and a small number of brain lesions, WBRT remains the main treatment modality for patients with multiple brain lesions. WBRT can reverse acute neurological deficits, provide symptomatic relief, and decrease intracranial relapse, but many tumors are or become refractory, which leads to challenging and morbid clinical situations. The clinical outcome for patients who require WBRT is poor, with a median OS of 3–4 months (6,8,9), which could be attributed to both worsening intracranial and systemic disease. Therefore, new effective therapeutic approaches are needed to improve clinical outcome of brain metastasis. BRAF inhibitors and ipilimumab now show promising clinical activities in brain metastases. However, BRAF inhibitors are effective only in patients with BRAF V600 mutant melanoma, who comprise ~50% of melanoma patients. Median progression-free survival with BRAF inhibitor therapy is only 4 months in patients with metastatic brain disease, with a clinical response rate of 30% to 40% (10,11). In contrast, ipilimumab has shown a clinical response rate of only 5% to 10% in metastatic brain disease (12). Recently, pembrolizumab (anti–PD1) was approved for advanced
melanoma and has shown better clinical response rate, PFS, OS, and toxicity profile than ipilimumab (13). However, data about clinical activity of pembrolizumab in metastatic brain disease is limited. Here, we report a patient with extensive brain metastatic disease who experienced a durable complete clinical response following sequential treatment of WBRT and pembrolizumab. CDR3 sequencing of the T cells present in the brain metastases and in the blood revealed the expansion of a unique CD8+ T-cell clone during tumor regression. Overall this combination appears therapeutic for patients with metastatic brain disease by providing access to the tumor site and reactivation of the antitumor immune response.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Flow Cytometry. TILs were stained using Live/Dead® Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (Life Technologies, CA) according to manufacturer’s instruction. Cells were stained with a combination of antibodies from BD (unless indicated otherwise) including: CD3 FITC (SK7), CD4 PerCP-Cy5.5 (RPT-T4), CD8 PB (RPT-T8), 41BB (4B4-1), PD-1 (EH12.2H7, Biolegend), CTLA-4 (BNI3), ICOS (ISA3, eBioscience), OX40 (ACT35), CD45RO (UCHL1, Biolegend), CD45RA (HI100, eBioscience), CD62L (DREG-56, eBioscience), CCR7 (G043H7, Biolegend), and Ki67 (B56). For all flow cytometry assays described, data was acquired using a Canto II cytometer (BD Biosciences), and analyzed using FlowJo software (Treestar version 7.6.5).

Cell sorting. Bulk CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells were sorted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) using an Aria II (BD Biosciences) cell sorter directly into media (RPMI 1640 + 10% human serum). Only populations with a ≥ 95% post-sort purity were used for experiments. Immediately following sorting, cells were washed twice in chilled PBS and flash frozen for DNA extraction.

Tracking TCR clonotypes through CDR3 sequencing. DNA was extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) brain metastasis, sorted CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, as well as bulk PBMCs. Total DNA was isolated using the Qiagen AllPrep Kit, and samples were shipped to Adaptive Biotechnologies (Seattle, WA) for sequencing of the T-cell receptor Vβ CDR3 region using the immunoSEQ assay (14). All analysis was performed in-house.

Immunohistochemistry for PD-L1 and CD8 expression on brain metastasis. Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue sections (4 μm) were subjected to heat-mediated antigen retrieval with Epitope Retrieval 1 solution (pH6, Leica Biosystems) for 20 minutes, then stained with the
Bond RX system (Leica Biosystems) using the standard protocol. The sections were incubated with anti-CD8 at 1:25 dilution (Thermo Scientific #MS-457) or anti–PD-L1 at 1:100 dilution (Cell Signaling #13684S). Visualization was performed using the Bond Polymer Refine Detection kit (Leica Biosystems) as according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Patient tumor sample acquisition. Tumor samples were obtained from Stage IIIc to Stage IV melanoma patients undergoing surgery at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center according to an Institutional Review Board–approved protocol and patient consent (IRB# LAB00-063).
RESULTS

Clinical evaluation

A 60-year-old otherwise healthy female was diagnosed with unknown primary metastatic melanoma in the subcutaneous adipose tissue of the right thigh in June of 2012. She underwent wide local excision of the lesion and a sentinel node biopsy which revealed no residual melanoma and negative sentinel nodes. PCR-based DNA sequencing analysis determined the tumor cells to be BRAF wild-type. Subsequently, she began adjuvant high-dose interferon-α treatment. However, after 2 weeks the treatment was discontinued due to suicidal ideation. She was well until June of 2013, when two new metastatic lesions were noticed in her right cerebellum. The brain lesions were treated with SRS. Subsequently, she had symptomatic disease progression of her brain lesions and in November of 2013 underwent a craniotomy with resection of the brain lesions. In March of 2014, a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan of the brain revealed a new lesion in the right cerebellum without symptoms, for which she received 4 doses of ipilimumab (3 mg/kg). Upon repeat MRI in June of 2014, further progression of previous lesions and at least 17 new brain lesions were detected (Fig. 1, left). The largest brain lesion measured 2.4 cm in its greatest dimension with multiple additional lesions ranging in greatest dimension from 3–7 mm. Subsequently, she was treated with WBRT of 30 Gy in 10 fractions and rapid tapering of dexamethasone treatment, which was completed within 10 days. In July of 2014, a post WBRT MRI study showed no new brain lesions, but the existing multiple tumors had not responded (Fig. 1, middle). Following WBRT, the patient was started on pembrolizumab (2 mg/kg) every 3 weeks. Six weeks after starting pembrolizumab and 8 week after completing WBRT, a repeat MRI of the brain showed complete resolution of most of the brain lesions, with the exception of two enlarged lesions with hemorrhagic components, which we interpreted as treatment-related changes. These two lesions improved significantly after 4 more doses of pembrolizumab and completely resolved after a total of 10 doses (Fig. 1, right).
Currently, 29 months after her first dose of pembrolizumab, the patient remains alive with no evidence of systemic or intracranial disease. Clinically, her performance status is excellent, with major complaints being low grade fatigue and short-term memory loss.

Activation of effector CD8+ T cells following WBRT and pembrolizumab

Given the complete resolution of disease, we retrospectively examined T-cell subsets in the peripheral blood collected over the course of the sequential therapy of ipilimumab → WBRT → pembrolizumab by flow cytometry. Blood was collected beginning with the 2nd cycle of ipilimumab, with the last sample collected just prior to the patient’s complete response (collections relative to treatments are shown in Fig. 2A). CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell proliferation, as well as expression of inhibitory markers, activation markers, differentiation status, and CD8+:Treg ratio were examined. Consistent with previous findings from Wang et al., the percentage of Ki67-positive cells within both the CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell pool increased during treatment with ipilimumab (15) (Fig. 2B). In addition, the expression of ICOS on both the CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell subsets was increasing during ipilimumab therapy as has been previously reported (15,16) (Fig. 2C and D). After WBRT and subsequent anti–PD-1 therapy, the percentage of proliferating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells first peaked briefly, then dropped to minimal levels (Fig. 2B). In addition, the expression of ICOS was also downregulated on both the subsets following initiation of anti–PD-1 (Fig. 2C and D). However, the percentages of both CD4+CTLA-4+ and CD8+CTLA-4+ T cells increased in blood early after initiation of pembrolizumab, which was followed by increased expression of PD-1 by both T-cell subsets. This suggests activation of a subset of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells after sequential WBRT and pembrolizumab. Following WBRT (time-point 4), an increase in the terminally differentiated effector CD8+ T cells (TEMRA) was observed and was maintained across time-points 5–9, as the tumors regressed (Fig. 2E). The ratio of CD8:Treg (Fig. 2F) as well as CD8:CD4 (non-Tregs, Fig. 2G) was also increased during pembrolizumab therapy. Overall, WBRT followed by
pembrolizumab resulted in the activation of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, an increase in the numbers of effector CD8+ T cells, and an increased CD8:Treg ratio in the blood, during which time the patient was responding to therapy.

Tracking intratumoral T cells present in the brain metastasis in response to pembrolizumab

The above assays are based on the assumption that changes in T-cell activation/differentiation and subsequent antitumor responses may be observed in the blood. However this type of analysis does not provide insight into the presence of an initial immune response at the site of disease, nor does it allow for a defined tracking of the impact of these immunotherapy regimens on those T cells. In the case of this patient, only one biopsy was done on the brain metastases prior to the induction of ipilimumab (Fig. 2A, schematic, craniotomy). Immunohistochemical analyses confirmed the presence of CD8+ tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) at the tumor periphery that correlated with PD-L1 expression mostly in the stromal cells at the same site (Fig. 3A), demonstrating potential suppression of the CD8+ immune response. To determine the impact of the immunotherapy regimen on the expansion and/or contraction of CD8+ TILs, we utilized high-throughput CDR3 sequencing. We compared the CDR3 sequences from intratumoral T cells present in the brain metastasis to those in circulating peripheral blood T cells collected during subsequent ipilimumab therapy and at the time of complete response to WBRT + pembrolizumab. CD3+CD4+ and CD3+CD8+ T-cell subsets were sorted from the last PBMC time point to backtrack these T-cell subsets through time in the blood, as well as to determine their presence in the brain metastases. Sequencing of the TILs in the brain showed a higher frequency of CD8+ T cells, compared to CD4+ T cells ($P < 0.0001$, Fig. 3B). The CD8+ T-cell clones found in the brain metastasis were then assessed in the blood. The frequency of these clones did not significantly increase between ipilimumab cycle 3 and pembrolizumab cycle 11 ($P = 0.114$, Fig. 3C). However, analysis of the top 100 CD8+ T-cell clones present in the circulation showed a significant expansion after pembrolizumab ($P = 0.0241$, Fig. 3D).
T-cell clone with the highest frequency in the blood was also found in the brain metastases and had expanded following pembrolizumab. This CD8⁺ T-cell clone was the dominant clone found in the tumor site (frequency of 17.2%, dark red color, Fig. 3B) suggesting an antitumor response that was unable to clear the tumor. There was also no change in the frequency of the top 100 CD4⁺ T cells at the time points examined ($P=0.9202$, Fig. 3E). Overall this type of analysis demonstrates that a CD8⁺ T-cell response was present in the brain metastases prior to initiation of immunotherapy and was subjected to inhibition by the local tumor microenvironment via the PD-1/PD-L1 axis. In addition, although WBRT + pembrolizumab did not appear to have an impact on the clonal expansion of CD4⁺ T cells, a significant expansion was observed from the CD8⁺ T-cell subset.
DISCUSSION

In this case report, we observed the presence of CD8+ T cells in the brain metastases prior to initiation of immunotherapy. These T cells were localized to an area where PD-L1 expression was detected, which suggested that an immune response was present and yet being suppressed by the tumor microenvironment, likely through the PD-1/PD-L1 axis. This also provides a rationale for the positive response to pembrolizumab, as response to anti–PD-1 has been demonstrated to be associated with the presence of PD-L1 (13,17,18). Although ipilimumab does not cross the blood-brain barrier, the combination of ipilimumab and SRS can increase overall survival in patients with multiple brain metastases (19). In our patient, the activation of T cells in the circulation following ipilimumab therapy, but the lack of clinical response in the brain, may have been due to an immune escape mechanism other than CTLA-4 signaling. Another explanation for the lack of response could have been the lack of sufficient CD8+ T-cell infiltration into the tumor site (CNS). Although no PBMC samples were available pre–ipilimumab treatment, we cannot discount the possibility that ipilimumab may have primed an immune response that was subsequently observed by the combination of WBRT and anti–PD-1. Although one mode of action of ipilimumab is to bring in new T-cell clones into the tumor, an influx of newly activated cells perhaps was blocked in this patient, due to the absence of adhesion molecules at the blood-brain barrier.

Instead, the mechanism of action may be due to the combination of WBRT and anti–PD-1. WBRT enhances antitumor immunity not only by activation of DCs, but also by upregulation of adhesion molecules, such as ICAM and VCAM in the blood-brain barrier (20, 21). Anti–PD-1 treatment, on the other hand, increases the frequency of effector T cells (Fig. 2E) that are known to have high expression of adhesion molecules ligands, such integrins, which would in turn enhance their infiltration into brain lesions while providing for a re-activation of the T cells already present at the tumor site (22). Although the post-therapy biopsies do not definitively
prove this mode of response, analysis of the CD8⁺ T-cell response following anti–PD-1 by CDR3 sequencing does demonstrate expansion of a subset of CD8⁺ T cells present in the metastases prior to immunotherapy following WBRT + pembrolizumab. This analysis identified the presence of a dominant CD8⁺ T-cell clone in the brain that had the highest frequency and expanded in the blood following pembrolizumab. No such clone, was observed in the CD4⁺ T-cell subset. Given the activated state of the CD8⁺ T cells in the blood following WBRT + pembrolizumab (i.e., upregulation of 41BB followed by expression of PD-1), the increased adhesion molecules present at the blood-brain barrier due to WBRT would have allowed these activated T cells to gain entry and mediate an additional antitumor effect. The combination of radiation therapy and immunotherapy can elicit synergistic antitumor responses (23). Although several clinical trials are testing various combinations, these data emphasize another mechanism of synergy unique to anti–PD-1 and WBRT for patients with brain metastases.

Overall, this case report provides a rationale for the treatment of metastatic melanoma patients with brain metastases with combination of WBRT + anti–PD-1 (1). A larger study using this combination therapy may provide the data to support this as an effective treatment option for patients with unresponsive brain metastases in not only in melanoma, but in lung cancer and other cancers where anti–PD-1 as also been approved.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1. Imaging of brain metastases over the course of therapy.** MRI of the brain shows large numbers of brain metastases after ipilimumab therapy (left), which continued to be detectable after WBRT (middle). All detectable brain metastases resolved after anti–PD-1 therapy (right).

**Figure 2. Expansion of effector cells in the blood during pembrolizumab therapy.** A) A schematic of lesion occurrences and therapies over time. (B-G) PBMC samples were collected at multiple times as depicted and stained for multiple markers including T-cell subset markers CD8, CD4, and FoxP3 as well as markers for T-cell differentiation (CD45RA, CD45RO, CCR7, CD62L), activation and inhibition (41BB, PD-1, CTLA-4, ICOS, and OX40) and proliferation (Ki67) by flow cytometry. Live, single cells were gated first by excluding populations expressing CD14, CD16, CD19, CD20, CD56, CD303 and TCRγδ followed by gating on CD3+CD4+CD8– and CD3+CD4+CD8+ subsets. B) The percentage of proliferating CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, by Ki67 expression. CD4+ (C) and CD8+ (D) T cells were assessed for levels of activation and inhibitory markers 41BB, PD-1, CTLA-4, ICOS, and OX40. The percent expression of these markers within the CD4+ or CD8+ T-cell subset was tracked over time in the blood during therapy. E) The differentiation status of the CD8+ T-cell pool tracked over time as a percentage within the CD8+ subset with gating as follows (TN, CD62L+CCR7+CD45RA+CD45RO–; TCM, CD62L+CCR7+CD45RA–CD45RO–; TEM, CD62L–CCR7–CD45RA+CD45RO+; TEMRA, CD62L–CCR7–CD45RA–CD45RO–). F) The CD8:Treg ratio was determined by dividing the number CD8+ T cells by the number of CD4+FoxP3+ T cells at the same time point. G) The CD8:CD4 ratio was determined by dividing the number CD8+ T cells by the number of CD4+FoxP3– T cells at the same time point.
Figure 3. Presence of immune infiltrate in brain metastases prior to immunotherapy and CD8+ T-cell expansion following pembrolizumab. **A)** IHC staining for CD8 and PD-L1 of a brain metastasis present prior to immunotherapy initiation. **B-E)** CDR3 sequencing of DNA derived from the brain metastasis FFPE, sorted CD3+CD4+ and CD3+CD8+ T cells from PBMCs, and bulk PBMCs. The bulk PBMCs were collected from ipilimumab cycle 3 and pembrolizumab cycle 11. **B)** The frequency of CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell subset in the brain metastasis at the same time point as in A). **C)** CD8+ T cell CDR3 sequences present in the brain metastasis were tracked in the blood at the two time points shown (ipilimumab cycle 3 and pembrolizumab cycle 11). **D)** The frequency of the top 100 CD8+ T-cell clones (in terms of frequency) were assessed at the same time points. **E)** Shows the frequency of the top 100 CD4+ T cells clones across the two time points assessed.
Figure 1

Post Ipilimumab
Pre-WBRT

Post-WBRT

Post anti-PD-1
** Figure 2 

- 6/2013: brain metastasis
- 11/2013: recurrence of brain lesions
- 5/2014: at least 20 new brain lesions
- 8/2014: PR
- 3/2015: CR

- 7/2013: SRS to brain lesions
- 11/2013: craniotomy

**CDR3 sequencing timepoints**

Blood collection timepoints

---

** Figure B 

- **WBRT**
- **pembro**

- CD4+ T cells
- CD8+ T cells

---

** Figure C 

- WBRT
- **pembro**

- CD4+ T cells

---

** Figure D 

- WBRT
- **pembro**

- CD8+ T cells

---

** Figure E 

- WBRT
- **pembro**

- CD8:TEMRA
- CD8:TEM
- CD8:TCM
- CD8:T N

---

** Figure F 

- WBRT
- **pembro**

- CD8:CD4 ratio

---

** Figure G 

- WBRT
- **pembro**

- CD8:CD4 ratio
**Figure 3**

A. CD8 and PD-L1 staining images.

B. Graph showing T cell frequency in brain metastases for CD4+ and CD8+ T cell subsets. $p < 0.0001$.

C. Graph showing CD8+ T cells from brain metastases in the blood with $p = 0.1114$.

D. Top 100 CD8+ T cell clones with $p = 0.0241$.

E. Top 100 CD4+ T cell clones with $p = 0.9202$. 
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