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ABSTRACT

Over the last decade, immunotherapy has rapidly changed the therapeutic landscape and prognosis for many hematologic malignancies and adult solid tumors. Despite this success, immunotherapy for pediatric solid tumors remains in the early stages of development, and significant clinical benefit has yet to be realized, with anti-GD2 for neuroblastoma being the exception. The limited neoepitope expression and paucity of T-cell infiltration into the tumors unresponsive to approaches such as immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI). As such, survival benefit from immunotherapy has not improved among pediatric cancers.

Current treatment paradigms for pediatric solid tumors consist of chemotherapy and surgery, with or without radiation. For children with high-risk metastatic and/or relapsed disease, survival remains poor, and devastating long-term morbidities are often unavoidable. An obvious unmet need exists for less toxic and more effective approaches. Immunotherapy holds promise not just for refractory disease, but in improving long-term survival without significant additive late toxicities. For example, with the integration of anti-GD2 into standard of care, 50% to 60% of children with high-risk neuroblastoma, a disease once incurable, are long-term survivors (2, 3). Nevertheless, the pain associated with treatment, though manageable, remains a clinical challenge, and the promise of immunotherapy in most other pediatric solid tumors remains elusive. Although non-antibody–based platforms remain encouraging, only two vaccines and two cellular therapies have been approved for cancer therapy in the United States and Europe, compared with over 30 approved monoclonal antibodies (mAb). In this review, we summarize immunotherapies for pediatric solid tumors, highlighting known immunologic hurdles unique in pediatric patients with an emphasis on the tumor microenvironment (TME) and focus on classic antibody-based approaches, as well as novel genetically engineered forms to target T cells and precision radiation.

Immunotherapy of Pediatric Tumors: Special Hurdles

Aside from the immunosuppressive tumor milieu, special challenges of immunotherapy in pediatric solid tumors include: (i) a young age and immature immune system; (ii) extent of metastatic spread at diagnosis and fast disease progression, with possible privileged sites, such as the brain, not accessible by standard immunotherapies; (iii) the need to use intensive chemotherapeutic and/or radiation for induction, which deplete immune cells, especially lymphocytes and natural killer (NK) cells; (iv) the resulting comorbidities and infections from such intensive therapies requiring antibiotics, with subsequent alterations in the microbiome; (v) the lack of predictive biomarkers for response to immunotherapy, which is further confounded by the accrual of multiple disease types in phase I/II trials and the small number of eligible patients; (vi) the paucity of mutations at diagnosis, resulting in low T-cell clonal frequencies; and (vii) potential for acute and late toxicities in a young child resulting from overaction of the immune system or on-target, off-tumor effects. With such roadblocks in place, the role of immunotherapy in pediatric solid tumors remains at a crossroads.

The TME in Pediatric Solid Tumors

The interaction between tumor cells and the host immune system evolves over time, from initial elimination, to equilibrium, and finally to escape (4). This latter step occurs as tumor cells evolve under pressure through a variety of mechanisms, including the loss of tumor neoantigens; a decrease in MHC class I expression; increased production of immunosuppressive cytokines and immune cells such as regulatory T cells (Treg), M2 macrophages, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC); and increased expression of inhibitory receptors and inhibitory ligands on T cells and tumor cells, respectively (i.e., PD-1 and PD-L1), eventually leading to a state of T-cell exhaustion. Higher TMB correlates with better response to ICIs across many adult cancer types (5). With the exception of pediatric patients with...
tumors having biallelic mismatch repair deficiency, TMB and consequently immunogenicity and antigenicity for immunotherapy are all low (1, 6). Most pediatric solid tumors carry just one or a few driver mutations, which is typical for embryonal tumors due to insufficient time or exposure to accumulate genotoxic environmental insults. With the absence of TILs, the expression of PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2 is also low across pediatric solid tumors (7). MDSCs, tumor-associated macrophages, tumor-associated fibroblasts, and Tregs can also inhibit lymphocyte infiltration or derail TIL function (8). Strategies to increase T-cell clonal frequency and drive them into tumors are a major unmet need in immunotherapy, and reversing the immunosuppressive tumor milieu should enhance T-cell-based immunotherapy to its full potential (8).

**Current Immunotherapeutic Approaches for Pediatric Solid Tumors**

**Non-antibody-based platforms for pediatric solid tumors**

As is exemplified by the large discrepancy in number of FDA approvals for antibody-based versus non-antibody-based immunotherapies for adult tumors, the efficacy of the latter in pediatric solid tumors has been so far elusive (Supplementary Table S1). Despite the success of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T therapy in hematologic malignancies, these therapies in solid tumors have largely been unsuccessful, due to multiple hurdles: failure of T cells to survive and expand, T-cell exhaustion, activation-induced cell death (AICD), trogocytosis and fratricide, antigen loss, and inadvertent gene transduction of tumor cells (9, 10). Novel CAR-T receptor constructs to confront these limitations include alternative costimulatory domains, weaponizing with “armor” to enhance cytokine secretion, improving in vivo persistence and expansion, overcoming antigen heterogeneity or antigen loss, and limiting cytokine release syndrome and neurotoxicity (9–11). Because certain treatments can persist in the body, its on-target, off-tumor toxicity could be life-long (e.g., B-cell aplasia) and devastating (e.g., GD2-related neurotoxicity; ref. 12). Hence, finding the ideal target, although daunting, is much needed.

Novel T-cell platforms targeting multiple antigens highlight the potential to overcome antigen loss in pediatric solid tumors. The first-in-human trial evaluating tumor-specific T cells targeting Wilms tumor gene 1 and survivin showed safe administration and a 73% response rate in 15 pediatric patients with relapsed/refractory solid tumors (13). Gamma-delta (γδ) T cells constitute another viable cell product given their T cell–like properties, lack of MHC restriction, presence of CD16, and ability to mediate antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC). Their expansion was made possible with the combination of zomerdronate plus IL2, and their clinical investigation in pediatric cancer has accelerated, although their in vivo survival and persistence are uncertain (14).

In view of the paucity of neoepitopes in pediatric solid tumors, the innate ability of NK cells to recognize activating ligands on tumors is a distinct advantage. Their possession of CD16 (FcγRIII) that mediates NK-cell ADCC (NK-ADCC) in the presence of tumor-selective IgGs adds a critical dimension. A small pilot study utilizing haploidentical stem cell transplant with haploidentical NK-cell infusion showed some responses in refractory pediatric solid tumors (15), and many studies evaluating the role of NK cells in pediatric solid tumors are ongoing (NCT03420963, NCT02573896, and NCT02650648). Although these studies have shown feasibility, NK-cell antitumor responses have been modest. With improved ex vivo proliferation of NK cells seen with expression of membrane-bound IL21 (16), as well as novel platforms that can enhance target specificity and redirection of NK cells to tumor cells (e.g., NK CAR cells and bispecific NK-cell engagers; ref. 17), NK cell–based therapy for pediatric solid tumors remains encouraging.

Vaccines using whole tumor cells, peptides, lysates, and proteins have all been developed and tested in various settings for pediatric tumors, without clear clinical benefit. In a large clinical trial in patients with high-risk neuroblastoma in remission, subcutaneous GD2- and GD3-lactate-keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) in the presence of the subcutaneous adjuvant QS21 plus oral beta-glucan reported no significant toxicities, and excellent survival rates were achieved. Both progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) correlated with higher anti-GD2 titer, which was boosted by oral glucan (18). This strong correlation of immune response with clinical outcome is uncommon given the disconnect between laboratory evidence of immune responses and clinical benefit in most vaccine trials, where biomarkers chosen might be irrelevant, the response insufficient, or the tumor bulk too advanced.

Finally, checkpoint blockade using ICIs is now accepted as the standard immunotherapy modality for many adult solid tumors, as well as Hodgkin lymphoma (5, 19). However, their performance in pediatric solid tumors has not been efficacious. Combination studies (e.g., nivolumab and ipilimumab) are ongoing, but outcomes are uncertain.

**Antibody therapy for pediatric solid tumors**

mAbs target tumor-specific surface antigens, resulting in the activation of Fc-mediated killing including complement-mediated cytotoxicity (CMC), NK-ADCC, neutrophil-ADCC, complement-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (CDCC), and antibody-dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis (ADCP; Fig. 1). The first use of anti-GD2 and the later clinical development of rituximab in non-Hodgkin lymphoma have benefited pediatric patients and provided impetus to parallel efforts for solid tumors. The clinical benefit using mAbs to target GD2 in neuroblastoma has since transformed the treatment paradigm and prognosis for patients with high-risk neuroblastoma, where the murine IgG3 anti-GD2, 3F8, was used alone and in combination with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF; refs. 3, 20). The landmark phase III Children’s Oncology Group (COG) randomized trial confirmed the survival benefit of the chimeric GD2 antibody ch14.18 (dinutuximab), combined with GM-CSF and IL2 for neuroblastoma patients in first remission (2), although the role of IL2 was questioned in a subsequent phase III trial (21). To decrease human anti-mouse antibody formation, humanized versions, hu3F8 (naxitamab) and hu14.18-K322A, were developed and show excellent antitumor activity with less toxicities, with the latter carrying the K322A mutation to eliminate complement activation in the hope of reducing pain side effects (22, 23).

Given the efficacy and relative lack of long-term toxicities of anti-GD2, humanized or chimeric anti-GD2 are being explored in other GD2+ pediatric solid tumors including osteosarcoma and soft-tissue sarcomas (NCT02484443, NCT01419834, NCT01662804, NCT02159443, and NCT00734946). Beyond GD2, another promising target for mAb therapy is B7-H3, a cell surface immunomodulatory glycoprotein overexpressed in a broad spectrum of adult and pediatric tumors. Compartamental radioimmunotherapy (RIT) using 89H (a mouse mAb targeting B7-H3) has shown promising safety and efficacy in phase I studies, including patients with desmoplastic small round-cell tumors, neuroblastoma, and diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (24–26). Enoblituzumab, another mAb targeting B7-H3, is undergoing a phase I trial (NCT02982941).
Antibody-based immunotherapy in pediatric solid tumors: Fc-dependent and Fc-independent mechanisms. The low mutational burden in pediatric solid tumors, the immaturity of the immune system in children, downregulation of tumor HLA molecules, and the use of intensive chemotherapy all combine to make the TME of pediatric solid tumors poorly immunogenic (8). Examples of surface antigen–antibody pairs include B7-H3 (omburtamab, 8H9), CD20 (rituximab), EGFR (cetuximab), GD2 (naxitamab or dinutuximab), GD3 (R24), HER2 (trastuzumab), PD-1 (pembrolizumab or nivolumab), and PD-L1 (atezolizumab).

A, In the presence of IgG mAbs targeting one of these antigens, tumor cells succumb to Fc-dependent cytotoxic killing: antibody-dependent NK cell–mediated cytotoxicity (NK-ADCC), granulocyte-mediated ADCC (granulocyte-ADCC), CMC by binding to C1q (thereby activating the complement cascade), antibody-dependent macrophage-mediated phagocytosis, and CR3-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity through recognition of complement breakdown products (e.g., C3bi).

B, Through genetic engineering, IgG antibodies can now be reformatted to engage T cells, which have no Fc receptor functions, by exploiting CARs or bispecific antibodies (anti-GD2 x anti-CD3), where polyclonal T cells can be driven into cold tumors as TILs to initiate the tumor killing process. Multistep pretargeted RIT (PRIT) and external-beam radiotherapy can also be utilized to increase neoantigen presentation and TIL infiltration. ICIs as a monotherapy have been largely unsuccessful in pediatric solid tumors due to the paucity of neoepitopes and low number of TILs, but combinatorial approaches with radiotherapy, bispecifics, or CAR T cells that can attract TILs are promising for enhanced functioning of ICIs. Cytokines such as IL2, IL15, and IL21 can also combat the “cold” phenotype by attracting T cells and NK cells to the tumor.

CR3, complement receptor 3; GD2, disialoganglioside GD2; GD3, disialoganglioside GD3; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; GPC2, glypican-2; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; LICAM, L1 cell-adhesion molecule; scFv, single-chain variable fragment.

Figure 1.
Despite these successes, other mAbs for pediatric solids tumors have been less impactful, despite their theoretical potential and encouraging preclinical data (Supplementary Table S1). The success of GD2 antibodies derives in part from the unique sensitivity of neuroblastoma to CMC and both neutrophil-ADCC and NK-ADCC, uncommon among most solid tumors. The probable underlying reason for this is the downregulation or absence of HLA, hence missing ligands for inhibitory killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) during NK-ADCC (27) and for inhibitory leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor subfamily B receptor (LILRB) during neutrophil-ADCC (28). However, these Fc-dependent tumoricidal mechanisms and tissue-selective trafficking of innate effectors have limitations. For example, whereas metastatic neuroblastoma in the bone marrow is effectively eliminated by anti-GD2, soft tissue and CNS diseases are poorly controlled. Here, the accessibility of antibodies and effectors to tumors in the bone marrow is key. Other IgG antibodies have encountered unexpected biodistribution issues, such as anti-CD99 MAB-O13 for Ewing sarcoma (NCT00582608). As drug carriers, IgGs are hampered by their unfavorable pharmacokinetics and suboptimal therapeutic indices (see below). By overcoming these limitations, novel antibody forms to redirect T cells and to deliver payloads such as cytotoxic drugs, toxins, or radionuclides could offer new opportunities.

In cancer immunotherapy, cell-engaging bispecific antibodies (BsAb) are tumor-binding antibodies reformatted with additional non-Fc specificity for effector cells (Fig. 1). The bispecific T-cell engagers (BiTE) consist of two binding domains: (i) a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) that binds to tumor, and (ii) a second scFv to engage an activating receptor on T cells (e.g., CD3 of the T-cell receptor complex). More than 60 different T cell–based BsAb platforms are in preclinical and clinical testing (29). By directing T cells to tumor cells, BsAbs have the potential to steer T cells deep into tumors and activate TILs for tumor ablation. Blinatumomab, a BiTE specific for CD3 and CD19, has shown clinical benefit in pediatric patients with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (30). Using the IgG(L)-scFv platform, polyclonal T cells can be quantitatively driven into solid tumors and can overcome PD-1/PD-L1 inhibition to ablate GD2+ human xenografts in mice (31). Phase I/II studies are underway treating patients with neuroblastoma, osteosarcoma, and other GD2+ tumors with anti-GD2 × CD3) BsAbs (NCT02173093; NCT03860207).

BsAbs can also be used for ex vivo arming of expanded T cells before reinfusion into patients (NCT02173093; ref. 31), avoiding cytokine storm or neurotoxicity. Detailed structural studies have shown that cis–configuration and inter-binding domain distance are critical parameters for building BsAbs, and the IgG(L)-scFv format could outperform BiTE, BiTE-Fc, heterodimeric constructs, and chemical conjugates (32). Fc silencing is also critical for optimal function of BsAbs (33). Other BsAbs with relevance for pediatric solid cancers are being explored including those targeting HER-2 (34), polysialic acid, LICAM, ROR2, STEAP-1, and those directed against B7-H3 (MGD009) and glypican-3 (ERY-974; Fig. 1). An approach using combinations of target-specific BsAbs for ex vivo arming could theoretically broaden target coverage, thereby, overcoming tumor heterogeneity while modulating multiple activators or inhibitors on the same effector cell (31).

Future Strategies of Antibody-Based Therapies: A Reassessment

Given the many challenges, discovery and preclinical validation of novel immune targets with limited expression on normal human tissues is only the first step, even when utilizing modern omics techniques. Specific antibody clones can be rapidly identified using human IgG transgenic animals, human phage libraries, or humanized single VH domain libraries (35). These antibody binding domains can then be reshaped into chimeric receptors, multivalent and/or multi-specific formats for diagnostic and therapeutic applications. Nonetheless, one should not lose sight of the established targets (e.g., NCI priority list; ref. 36), many of which have already been proven safe in humans, although ineffective in their classic IgG forms. Inhibitory cells in the TME such as fibroblasts, macrophages, Tregs, MDSCs, and type-2 innate lymphoid cells are all potential candidates for immune modulation (8). Vasculature and hypoxia are also viable areas for immune interventions (Supplementary Table S2).

Beyond target discovery and the TME, overhauling pharmacokinetics and amplifying antibody effector functions are major unmet needs. RIT has not materialized because of the inability of single-step whole IgG or its fragments to deliver curative doses. Despite tumor to tissue ratios appearing favorable with time delay, low therapeutic indices are typical. Multistep pretargeted RIT was a major breakthrough (37), and issues regarding immunogenicity, renal retention, and protocol complexity have been resolved (38). With these improvements, curative radiation doses are now possible in several target systems and animal models without chemical, clinical, or histologic toxicities. The coupling of radiotherapy with immunotherapy to enhance immunogenic tumor cell death could be further exploited for neoantigen induction, T-cell infiltration, and modulation of Tregs and the TME (Fig. 1).

Despite the many immune strategies beyond ICIs (Supplementary Table S2), classic IgG-based strategies have relatively low potency. Beyond CAR-T therapies, T-cell BsAbs represent a different approach, and among the many different platforms, the minimal and optimal structural requirements need to be elucidated (32). Already, some of these antibody platforms, such as BiTEs, heterodimeric or IgG(L)-scFv formats, have yielded promising preclinical and clinical data. Although phase I/II studies of these single agents will soon weed out less-effective candidates, combinatorial principles in pediatric solid tumors are needed to advance long-term cures with low toxicities. One old combinatorial strategy that has proven successful in neuroblastoma is the addition of cytokines to anti-GD2. The use of IL15 (with or without its receptor, IL15Rα; ref. 39) and IL21 (40), which can enhance both NK cells and CD8+ T cells, is timely (Fig. 1). Combining ICIs with CAR-T therapy or BsAbs is logical. Similarly, interfering with key immune inhibitory molecules such as TGFβ (41) is now possible, with enhanced ADC delivery seen with TGFβRII blockade in combination with ex vivo–activated NK cells and anti-GD2 (42).

On the whole, the timing of immunotherapy in relation to other cytotoxic therapies must be carefully optimized. Until chemotherapy and radiotherapy are reduced or eliminated, lymphocyte depletion and immunosuppression that occur after such therapies can hamper the immune system (43). However, passive mAb therapy, even after intensive chemotherapy, can be very effective in the consolidative setting, as shown with anti-GD2, because ADCC and cell-mediated cytotoxicity are dependent on complement and myeloid cells such as neutrophils, which recover faster than lymphocytes. Concurrent administration of antibodies with induction chemotherapy also may be beneficial, with response rates of close to 80% and encouraging survival outcomes seen in the phase II setting with anti-GD2 (44). For T cell–based therapies, regeneration of lymphocytes via cytokines or harvesting T cells prior to administering cytotoxic therapy are possible strategies to jumpstart lymphocytes. Arming rejuvenated T cells with CARs or BsAb ex vivo is another passive immunotherapy approach.
Once the immune system is recovered, a vaccine approach could also be introduced, whether antibody-based (e.g., GD2 vaccine) or cell-based. Collaboration among major cancer centers and harmonization of immune monitoring (45) should facilitate data sharing, dissemination of novel discoveries, and transformation from clinical trials to standards of care.

Concluding Remarks

Immunotherapy is an established cancer treatment modality, proven effective in some subtypes, with potential to be applicable to all pediatric cancers. Although chemotherapy has a proven benefit on survival, the quality of survival can be improved as immunotherapy is further optimized. Although childhood cancers share biology and issues confronting adult patients, their development and drivers can be different. In a rapidly evolving landscape in pediatric immunotherapy, where large clinical trials are not possible, a multicenter approach needs to be developed so that we move beyond the crossroads.
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