The Tumor Immune Microenvironment Drives a Prognostic Relevance ThatCorrelates with Bladder Cancer Subtypes
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Abstract

Muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) represents approximately two-thirds of invasive urothelial bladder cancers (UBC) and has high morbidity and mortality. Men are over 3-fold more frequently affected by UBC than women. Despite intensive efforts to improve patient treatment and outcome, two-thirds of patients with UBC will have a recurrence or disease progression within 5 years. We demonstrated that the quantity and spatial distribution of stromal tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (sTIL) within the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) predict stages of tumor burden. Patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy showed a favorable prognosis, which was dependent on high sTILs. Determination of sTILs and tumor subtypes may stratify therapy success and patient survival, and considering sTILs can easily be quantified using simple morphologic parameters, like hematoxylin and eosin. sTILs can be implemented for predicting patient survival in a routine manner.

Introduction

Urothelial bladder cancer (UBC) is one of the 10th most common malignancies worldwide and the second most common cancer of the genitourinary tract (1). Current therapy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) consists of radical cystectomy, in patients with extravesical tumors and/or lymph node metastasis (2). MIBC is characterized by a poor 5-year survival of 40%–60% (2).

Molecular characterization of MIBC demonstrates two major phenotypes, luminal and basal tumors with significant prognostic and predictive value (3, 4). Immunotherapeutic agents offer new therapy options for patients with metastasized UBC (5). The FDA has approved immunotherapeutic agents targeting PD-1 or...
PD-L1, which have demonstrated prolonged stable responses in metastatic UBC (6). Therapeutic response of many tumors, including UBC, correlates with amounts of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL), tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS), the number of neoantigens, and tumor mutational burden (TMB; refs. 5, 7–9).

TILs in the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) have been researched for over 40 years, with one initial article describing infiltrating T cells in UBC (10). TILs can consist of T, B, and natural killer (NK) cells. CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-effector cells (T eff) play a critical role in restraining tumor development, whereas CD4⁺ Th cells can have pro- or antitumor effects (11). TILs predict a better prognosis for patients with different tumor types, including colorectal, breast, and ovarian cancers (12–15). Immune gene expression patterns are enriched in tumors, for example, T-cell inflammation–related genes (16, 17). Only limited data of small patient cohorts have indicated positive prognostic relevance of TILs for patient survival (18–20). Because the presence of TILs seems to predict response to immuno-oncologic treatments, characterization of the MIBC TIME is needed (5).

We present comprehensive data on the role of stromal tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (sTIL) in MIBC. sTILs quantities predicted different immune phenotypes, survival, and tumor subtypes in 542 patients with MIBC. Five inflammation-associated genes correlated with sTILs and delineated high, low, or absent levels of tumor inflammation, which correlated with tumor subtype and patient survival. Using IHC, we revealed detailed knowledge about spatial tumor organization and identified three different inflammatory phenotypes and a unique tumor evasion phenotype, all affecting patient outcomes. We further showed a significant correlation of sTILs with APOBEC mutational load, neoantigens, and TMB.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study cohorts and histopathologic review**

We investigated two independent MIBC cohorts: (i) the Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen Metropol Region Nuremberg cohort (CCC-EMN; n = 135), and (ii) The Cancer Genome Atlas bladder cancer cohort (TCGA BLCA) cohort (n = 407). Patients within both cohorts were treated with radical cystectomy and lymphadenectomy. The CCC-EMN cohort initially consisted of 148 MIBC cases, of which 13 were excluded due to the following issues: 8 were metastasized and for 5 cases, no representative tissue was available. Out of the TCGA BLCA cohort (n = 413), 6 cases were excluded due to missing RNAseq data and DNA mutational analysis. No further exclusion criteria were applied.

For analysis in the CCC-EMN cohort, standard formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissues (paraffin blocks) were collected from pathologic routine case files. The blocks were stored at room temperature until further use. For each analysis, fresh tissue cuts were taken from the blocks.

The CCC-EMN cohort was reevaluated and classified according to the most recent TNM classification (2017) and the WHO 2016 classification of genitourinary tumors. Clinical, pathologic, and molecular data of the TCGA cohort were updated accordingly (3). Clinicopathologic data are depicted in Supplementary Table S1.

### sTILs assessment

sTILs were analyzed on hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained tissue sections of both cohorts by two observers (M. Eckstein and C. Pfannstiel). TCGA images were accessed via the Cancer Digital Slide Archive (cancer.digitalslidearchive.net). sTILs were scored in the desmoplastic tumor stroma according to a validated methodology of the International Working Group on TILs (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B; refs. 13, 20). Large fibrotic and necrotic areas and sTILs within noninvasive papillary tumor regions were excluded (20). sTILs of normal healthy bladder tissues (n = 65) were also scored according to the above criteria (Supplementary Fig. S1A). The normal tissue samples were collected from healthy patients without the diagnosis of bladder cancer or inflammatory diseases of the bladder.

### CCC-EMN: Tissue microarray

FFPE blocks with at least 50% tumor (minimal tumor size 5 × 5 mm), well delimited invasion borders, and without necrotic areas or granulomatous inflammation were selected from each patient out of the CCC-EMN cohort (n = 148). H&E-stained slides were scanned (Panoramic P250, 3DHistech) and annotated with CaseViewer 2.0 (3DHistech). Four cores (diameter 1 mm; two cores from the invasion front and two cores from the tumor center) were isolated using the TMA-Grandmaster (3DHistech; refs. 21, 22).

### CCC-EMN: IHC and analysis of PD-L1 and TLSs

IHC was performed on 4-μm tissue sections on a Ventana Benchmark Ultra autostainer (Ventana) according to accredited staining protocols (https://www.dako.dk/en/) using the following antibodies: PD-L1 (SP263 assay, Ventana), CD3 (F7.2.38, monoclonal mouse; Thermo Fisher Scientific; dilution 1:50), CD8 (C8/144B, mouse monoclonal; Thermo Fisher Scientific; dilution 1:50), CD79a (ICB117, mouse monoclonal; Zytomed Systems; dilution 1:50), PD-1 (NAT105, mouse monoclonal; Ventana), CD68 (PG-M1, mouse monoclonal; Thermo Fisher Scientific; dilution 1:60), and CD56 (MRQ-42, monoclonal mouse; Cell Marque; dilution 1:50; example pictures depicted in Supplementary Fig. S1C).

PD-L1⁺ immune cells (IC) and tumor cells were scored on TMAs by two pathologists (A. Hartmann and M. Eckstein) according to the pd-L1 scoring algorithm (21, 22). Positivity of tumor cells was scored as a percentage with specific, membranous staining using the PD-L1 assay described above (Ventana SP263). Number of ICs was scored as the percentage ratio of the area occupied by positive ICs per tumor area (22). Data ranges based from the hierarchical cluster analysis for defining absence, very low, low, moderate, or high expression of these variables were as follows: (i) sTILs: very low = interquartile range (IQR) 1%–5%, low = IQR 5%–20%, moderate = IQR 20%–27.5%, and high = IQR 27.5%–75%; (ii) PD-L1⁺ ICs: IQR 0.81–3.16, low = IQR 2.0–4.57, moderate = IQR 3.85–5.1, and high = IQR 4.90–7.12; (iii) PD-L1⁺ ICs: very low = IQR 0%–0.5%, low = IQR 0.5%–3.0%, high = IQR 3.0%–15.7%; (iv) PD-L1⁺ ICs: absent = IQR 0%, moderate = IQR 0%–27.5%, high = IQR 53.8%–100%.

TLSs were scored on digitalized whole mount IHC sections stained with the above mentioned antibodies by two observers (M. Eckstein and C. Pfannstiel). Slides were scanned with a slide scanner (Panoramic P250, 3DHistech) and viewed with the Case-Viewer 2.0 (3DHistech). Total amounts of TLSs were scored in the
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tumor surrounding tissue and within the tumor tissue. TLSs were defined by the following criteria: (i) germinal centers with CD3\(^+\) B cells; (ii) CD68\(^+\) macrophages; and (iii) CD3\(^+\)CD8\(^+\) T\(_{reg}\) cells. The TLS minimal distance (in \(\mu\)m) was also measured with the CaseViewer 2.0 (3DHistech). TLS distance of TLSs with direct contact to the tumor mass at the invasive margin or with localization in the tumor was scored as 0 \(\mu\)m.

**CCC-EMN: Automated image analysis by the Definiens Developer Software**

For this analysis, TMA sections stained for CD3, CD8, PD-1, CD68, and CD56 were scanned with a slide scanner (Panoramic P250, 3DHistech). The files were imported into the Definiens Developer Software (Definiens AG). Tumor, normal, and stroma tissue and empty spaces on all TMA cores were segregated by a machine-learning approach (Composer module of Definiens Developer Software). Correct area detection was visually checked for all cores with the Definiens Tissue Studio (Definiens AG) "region of interest correction" and revised to include digitally undetected tumor cells and exclude staining artifacts (diamino-benzidine remnants) and empty spaces. CD3\(^+\), CD8\(^+\), PD-1\(^+\), CD68\(^+\), and CD56\(^+\) immune cells (IC) were then quantified automatically by the software algorithm. Cell counts were obtained as counts per mm\(^2\) and log\(_2\)-transformed for further analysis.

**CCC-EMN: Molecular subtyping via NanoString**

RNA was isolated from five 10-\(\mu\)m FFPE sections with at least 50% tumor content using the Maxwell Promega RNA purification kit (Promega). Sections were deparaffinized with xylol and rehydrated with ethanol. Fractionated tumor tissue was digested with 30 \(\mu\)L Proteinase K (Promega), and RNA was extracted by an automated magnetic bead-based approach using the Promega Maxwell (Promega). Purified RNA was measured via a Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to NanoString assay recommendations. Four-hundred nanograms of RNA of each sample was hybridized with 8 \(\mu\)L of the NanoString detection probe-containing mastermix for 16 hours at 67°C on a Mastercycler X509 (Eppendorf AG). After hybridization, samples were loaded in standard 12-stripe NanoString tubes and purified by the nCounter Prep-station (NanoString). Purified samples were loaded in the analysis cartridge by the Prep-station, and the cartridge containing purified RNA hybrids was analyzed via the MaxFlex nCounter system (NanoString). According to NanoString recommendations, no technical replicates were analyzed. A sample of an MIBC patient was used as an external tissue control. For internal controls, every codeset library of NanoString contains premade positive and negative control. A custom NanoString panel of 22 IC populations based on the baseline expression of genes that varied significantly between early and late stages of the disease (MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC, Houston, TX) subtyping approach (ref. 23; Supplementary Table S2). Gene expression was normalized using two reference genes (\(SDHA, HPRT1\)) and log\(_2\)-transformed for further analysis by the nSolver 4.0 software (NanoString). Further analysis was carried out with JMP SAS 13.2 (SAS Institute).

**CCC-EMN: qRT-PCR**

RNA was extracted on the basis of a magnetic bead approach (RNA-Xtract-Kit, STARTIFYER) using a single 10-\(\mu\)m FFPE section with tumor content of at least 50% on a STARTIFYER XTRACT platform (STARTIFYER, Molecular Pathology GmbH; ref. 21). RNA/cDNA concentration was assessed via a Qubit 4.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Expression of CD3Z (CD247), CD8A, PD-L1 (CD274), PD-1 (PDCD1), and CCL19 were assessed in triplicates by qRT-PCR on a Versant kPCR system (Siemens) according to the following protocol using approved qRT-PCR Kits from STRATIFYER Molecular Pathology (STRATIFYER; refs. 24, 25): 5 minutes at 50°C, 20 seconds at 95°C followed by 40 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 60 seconds at 60°C. Forty amplification cycles were applied, and the cycle quantification threshold (\(C_T\)) values of target genes and the reference gene for each sample (S) were estimated as the median of triplicate measurements. Primers were designed from Eurogentec. Primer sequences are depicted in Supplementary Table S2.

Data were normalized, using three reference genes (\(RPL37A, B2M, CALM2\)) according to MIQE guidelines (26). Final values were generated using \(\Delta C_T\) from the total number of cycles to ensure that normalized gene expression obtained is proportional to the corresponding mRNA expression level.

**Gene expression normalization of adjuvant chemotherapy cohort**

To compare gene expression data of PD-1 (PDCD1), CD3Z (CD247), CD8A, PD-L1 (CD274), and CXCL9 in the cohort of 102 patients treated with adjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy, gene expression was normalized by a common approach to calculate \(Z\)-Scores \([Z = (x - \mu) / \sigma]\), where \(x\) is the sample value (40DCT value in CCC-EMN-cohort; log\(_2\)-transformed value in the TCGA-cohort); \(\mu\) is the median gene expression in entire cohort; \(\sigma\) is SD of the cohort.

Reference cohort for patients out of the CCC-EMN-Cohort was the entire CCC-EMN-Cohort (n = 135). Reference cohort for patients out of the TCGA-Cohort was the entire TCGA-Cohort (n = 407). This cohort consists of 69 patients of the TCGA BLCA cohort and 33 patients of the CCC-EMN cohort. Clinicopathologic data of this cohort are displayed in Supplementary Table S1.

**TCGA BLCA: Gene expression and mutational data analysis**

Assignments for mRNA subtypes, APOBEC mutational load, mutational signatures (MSig), mutational burden [total single-nucleotide variant (SNV) count], and amount of predicted neoantigens were adapted without changes from Robertson and colleagues (3). Tertile assignments of mutational burden and neoantigen load were kindly provided by Jaegil Kim (Broad Institute, Boston, MA) and Gordon Robertson (BC Cancer Agency, Vancouver, Canada). RSEM RNA-seq data were accessed via cBioportal (http://www.cbioportal.org/) and log\(_2\)-transformed (27).

**TCGA BLCA: IC population using the CIBERSORT algorithm**

The relative fraction of 22 IC populations based on the whole-exome TCGA RNA-seq dataset was calculated using the CIBERSORT algorithm (https://cibersort.stanford.edu/) with 1,000 permutations (28).

**TCGA BLCA: Antigen-presenting cell signature**

Cell surface expression of genes in plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDC), conventional dendritic cells (cDC), and M1 and
M2 macrophages, as well as genes related to antigen processing and presentation, were extracted from the open access pathway analysis database [https://pathcards.genecards.org/] provided by the Weizmann Institute (Rehovot, Israel; Supplementary Table S3).

TCGA BLCA: IC inflammation gene signature

Genes for the IC inflammation signature defining immune cell clusters (ICC) were obtained by coexpression analyses performed with Biotyper (http://www.biotyper.org/; ref. 27). The cutoff for gene expression of CD3Z and CD8A was set at 0.5 SDs from the mean cohort expression (Z-score; see section below for calculations). Genes of interest (n = 59) with coexpression correlation (Spearman) of higher than 0.70 were selected (Supplementary Table S4). The gene list (n = 59) is also represented in Supplementary Table S5.

Cutoffs and cut-off calculations

The p-quantiles p = 1/4 and p = 3/4 were calculated with JMP SAS 13.2 (SAS Institute; tertile split; T1: c < p1 = 1/4; T2: p1 < c < p2 = 3/4; T3: c > p2 = 3/4) to split the respective cohorts into three homogenous parts. Tertile ranges in respective subgroups are depicted in Supplementary Table S5.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were employed to characterize the distributions of continuous variables (mean, SD, SEM, quartiles, median, range) and nominal variables (frequency, percentage). Disease-specific (DSS) and overall survival (OS) curves were estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method. Median potential follow-up was calculated by Reverse Kaplan–Meier analysis. For each subgroup, the number of patients at risk at various milestone time points are shown in a table below the survival plot. Statistical comparisons of subgroups included nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables, Pearson χ2 test for nominal variables, and log-rank test for time-to-event outcomes. Although a number of related statistical tests were conducted (such as TCGA/all, TCGA/basal, TCGA/luminal), to avoid the inflation of type I error, significance adjustment for multiple testing was not performed.

Results

High sTILs associate with increased immune cells and checkpoint gene expression

Tumors within the CCC-EMN cohort with high infiltration of sTILs were characterized by dense infiltrates of CD3+, CD8+, CD68+, CD56+, PD-1+, and PD-L1+ ICs and high numbers of TLSs (Fig. 1A–C; Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1C). This was in contrast to normal healthy bladder tissue, where significantly lower amounts of sTILs were noted (Supplementary Fig. S1A). We found no association between sTILs and different tumor stages. To test whether sTIL phenotypes render information about the spatial organization of the TIME at the invasive front and tumor center, we performed a hierarchical cluster analysis (Fig. 1A). Four immune tumor phenotypes emerged: (i) cluster A (uninflamed; 36% of cases)—very low infiltration of sTILs (median sTILs: 3%), PD-1+ and PD-L1+ ICs, and absent PD-L1+ TCs (both median: 0%); (ii) cluster B (inflamed-low; 29% of cases)—low sTILs (median sTILs: 10%), including low PD-1+ and PD-L1+ ICs (median: 0.5%) and absence of PD-L1+ TCs (median: 0%); (iii) cluster C (inflamed-high; 22% of cases)—high sTILs (median sTILs: 35%), high PD-1+ and high PD-L1+ IC expression (median: 10%), moderate PD-L1+ TCs (median: 0%; upper quartile 27.5%); and (iv) cluster D (evasion; 13% of cases)—moderate sTILs (median sTILs: 15%), moderate PD-1+ and low PD-L1+ ICs (median: 1.5%) and high PD-L1+ TCs (median: 80%). A disease-specific 5-year survival of patients with inflamed-high tumors (cluster C) was 80.0% (95% CI: 59.6%–91.6%), whereas less than 24.1% (95% CI: 13.8%–38.6%) of patients with uninflamed tumors (cluster A) survived a 5-year period (Fig. 1D). Patients with the evasive tumor phenotype (cluster D) with very high PD-L1 TC expression (4.4-fold compared with cluster C) showed an unfavorable 5-year DSS of 29.3% (95% CI: 11.9%–55.9%; Fig. 1D). Amount of sTILs correlated strongly with different immune cell populations at the invasion front and tumor center (Fig. 1E).

Gene expression of T-cell genes [CD3Z (CD247), CD8A], a T-cell chemoattractant induced by IFNγ (CXCL9), and two immune checkpoints [PD-1 (PDCD1) and PD-L1 (CD274)] associated with high infiltration of sTILs (Fig. 2A and B). Clustering these five genes revealed three hierarchical clusters corresponding with declining amounts of sTILs (median sTILs 40% vs. 3% in the cluster “no expression; Fig. 2A and B). Tumors with an evasion phenotype assembled throughout all three immune gene clusters (Fig. 2A).

We then quantified TLSs in the peri- and intratumoral stroma, which mediate T cells to the tumor and promote interactions with antigen-presenting cells to generate an antitumoral immune response (refs. 29, 30; Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). Tumors with an inflamed-high phenotype had the highest number of TLSs (median: 11 TLSs), whereas the uninflamed and evasion phenotypes had the lowest [uninflamed median: 0 TLS; evasion median: 3 TLSs (Fig. 1C)]. The inflamed phenotype correlated with close distances (μm) of TLSs to the tumor-invasive front (median: 37
μm vs. median: 423 μm in uninflected tumors; Fig. 1C). Close-range localization of TLSs to the tumor (≤129.5 μm; median split), as well as high overall number of TLSs (≥4 TLSs; median-split) associated with a significantly higher DSS and emerged as an independent predictor for better DSS in multivariable Cox regression analysis (Supplementary Fig. S2C and S2D; Supplementary Table S6).

High infiltration with sTILs identifies patients with favorable prognosis

Because sTILs can fight tumors, we predicted that sTILs positively influence bladder cancer patient survival. To test this, we applied tertile splitting of the cohort into three equal parts (Supplementary Table S5). Tertile splits revealed that patients with high infiltration of sTILs and high T-cell–associated gene expression have a significantly better DSS (Fig. 2C and D). High sTIL infiltration also was an independent predictor for better DSS in a multivariable Cox regression model (multivariable P < 0.0001 for sTILs indicator; Fig. 2C; Supplementary Table S6).

sTIL infiltration is dependent on bladder cancer subtypes

MIBCs can be classified into molecular subtypes (3, 4, 23): luminal, basal, and neuronal/neuroendocrine-like. Depending upon gene expression signatures, several lineage subclasses were also noted by different groups: p53-/EMT-like luminal tumors (MDACC subtyping; ref. 23) and less aggressive luminal papillary tumors, as well as the epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT)-enriched luminal and luminal-infiltrated tumors (TCGA [3]). To investigate whether sTILs were associated with tumor subtypes, we performed gene expression subtyping of the CCC-EMN cohort using MDACC subtyping (Supplementary Fig. S3A; ref. 23). Forty-five tumors were assigned as luminal EMT-like/p53-like, 28 as luminal, 52 as basal, and 10 as double negative, whereas 8 showed partial neuroendocrine differentiation (Supplementary Fig. S3A; ref. 23). Basal tumors exhibited the highest sTIL infiltration (Supplementary Fig. S3A). To validate this, we performed unsupervised hierarchical clustering with the same 21 target genes in 407 tumors [TCGA BC cohort (Supplementary Fig. S3B)]. A total of 114 tumors were classified as luminal EMT/p53-like, 147 as luminal, 126 as basal, and 20 were double negative (Supplementary Fig. S3B). Congruent with our findings from the CCC-EMN cohort, basal tumors demonstrated the highest sTIL infiltration (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B). A correlation of sTILs with tumor subtypes of the TCGA cohort also confirmed that basal squamous tumors exhibited the highest sTILs compared with luminal-infiltrated tumors (second highest count), and luminal and luminal papillary tumors (TCGA subtyping; Fig. 3A).

Prognostic impact of sTILs in the TCGA cohort

To investigate the prognostic impact of sTILs, we applied tertile-splitting. The tertile split was an independent predictor for better OS in multivariable Cox regression analysis (multivariable P = 0.0002 for sTILs indicator; Fig. 3B; Supplementary Table S6). Congruent with a high sTIL infiltration, gene expression of the specific immune cell genes CD8A, CD3Z, CXCL9, and FOXP3 and immune cell checkpoint–regulatory genes PDCD1 (PD-1), CD274 (PD-L1), LAG3, CTLA4, and IDO1 was significantly higher in groups with high sTILs (Fig. 3C).

The TIME of MIBC correlates with the prognostic impact of sTILs

We predicted that the presence of significantly higher sTILs would correlate with increased antitumor immune responses. Distinct IC populations within the TCGA cohort were calculated (28). Nonparametric Spearman rank correlation revealed correlations of sTILs with the relative amount of all IC populations (ρ = 0.63): CD8+ T cells (ρ = 0.49), NK cells (ρ = 0.52), CD4+ T cells (ρ = 0.58), as well as M1 macrophages (ρ = 0.63) and M2 macrophages (ρ = 0.43; Fig. 3D; Supplementary Table S3). Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analysis of 59 inflammatory immune cell–related genes in the TCGA cohort was performed to determine correlation of sTILs and IC inflammatory genes (Supplementary Table S4). Three distinct hierarchical inflammation immune cell clusters (ICC) were detected: high inflammation (ICC-high), low inflammation (ICC-low), and uninfamed (Fig. 3A). Inflamed tumors demonstrated high sTIL infiltration and correlated with the basal squamous phenotype (70.3% of ICC-high tumors) and a portion of luminal tumors (ICC-high for 1.0% luminal, 5.9% luminal papillary, and 22.8% luminal-infiltrated; Fig. 3A). A total of 65.9% of luminal papillary tumors were assigned as uninfamed, with lower or no sTILs (Fig. 3A).

We then separately analyzed basal (n = 142) and luminal tumors (n = 243; Supplementary Table S1) of the TCGA cohort for the same 59 immune genes and sTILs to investigate their importance in the specific subgroups. Three inflammation clusters significantly correlated with sTILs in basal tumors (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Fig. S4A and S4B). Basal MIBC has been reported as the most aggressive subtype, with poor OS (3, 23). However, we found that patients with basal tumors and high infiltration of sTILs have a favorable prognosis (multivariable P < 0.0001 for sTILs indicator; Fig. 4B), which is consistent with the basal subtype-specific CRC (13). Three inflammation clusters correlating with sTILs were also present in luminal tumors (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S5A–S5C). Luminal-infiltrated tumors within the ICC-high cluster demonstrated high sTIL infiltration, whereas luminal papillary tumors segregated into the ICC-low and uninfamed gene expression clusters (60% in ICC-low, 85% in uninfamed; Fig. 5A). Although luminal and luminal-infiltrated tumors are considered aggressive with poor prognosis (3), we demonstrated that high infiltration of sTILs was an independent predictor for better OS in these respective subgroups (multivariable P = 0.027 for sTILs indicator; Fig. 5B; Supplementary Table S6).

To understand the basis for increased sTILs in the TIME, we tested whether increased somatic tumor mutations could lead to presentation of novel peptides (neoantigens) recognized by the immune system and antitumor activity (8, 31). Tumors with high nonsynonymous single nucleotide variants/high TMB (SNV), a high APOBEC-driven mutational burden, and high neoantigen load are indicators for prolonged survival (32). Although these early molecular events have been described for MIBC (3), a direct correlation of these factors with downstream immunologic cells, like sTILs, has not been determined. We found significantly elevated SNVs, APOBEC-mediated mutations, and neoantigens in infiltrated tumors within the TCGA cohort (Fig. 6A–D; Supplementary Fig. S6). Increased expression of genes mediating antigen presentation, like HLA, also associated with high sTILs and the basal subtype (Supplementary Fig. S7A; Supplementary Table S7). The infiltration of sTILs was significantly higher in subpopulations with high TMB, high neoantigen load, high APOBEC-mediated mutational burden,
Figure 2.

sTILs and immune gene expression in the CCC-EMN Cohort (n = 135). A, Immune gene expression using unsupervised hierarchical cluster analyses based on the subtypes and phenotypes discussed in Fig. 1A. B, immune gene clusters’ infiltration of sTILs. C, Kaplan–Meier regression of DSS based on sTILs tertile split (tertile 1–3; T1–T3). Log-rank: P < 0.0001; multivariable P < 0.0001 for indicator “sTILs tertiles.” Univariable log-rank P value is depicted in the lower left corner of the survival plot. P value for the entire indicator “sTILs tertiles” derived by multivariable Cox regression is depicted above the survival curves followed by the multivariable HR for Tertile group 3 versus Tertile group 1. The table below the survival plot shows patients at risk in different tertile split groups (first column total amount of patients in each split group) with following columns showing the number of patients at risk in 20-month increments. D, Immune gene expression (PDCD1, CD274, CXCL9, CD3A, CD3Z) based on tertile groups (P < 0.0001; multivariable HR: 3: T1 T2 T3). Log-rank: P < 0.0001; multivariable HR: T3 vs. T1 P = 0.20 P < 0.0001; multivariable HR: T2 vs. T1 P = 0.0063; multivariable HR: T1 vs. T2 P = 0.0002.

sTILs correlate with better survival after adjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy

High sTIL infiltration is an indicator for a favorable outcome after peri-operative chemotherapy in breast cancer (13). Platinum-based chemotherapeutic agents enhance antitumor immunomodulatory mechanisms, such as recruitment and stimulating proliferation of T\textsubscript{EFF} cells (33). To investigate whether patients treated with cis- or carbo-platinum adjuvant chemotherapy showed a dependency on sTIL levels and profited from therapy, we combined 69 patients from the TCGA and 33 patients from the CCC-EMN cohorts (Supplementary Table S1 and S5; and distinct mutational signatures described by Robertson and colleagues (Msig; ref. 3; Fig. 6B).

Figure 1.

Hierarchical clustering of tumor immune cell phenotypes of the CCC-EMN cohort. A, sTILs and macrophages according to immune cell phenotypes (left) and spatial tumor location (IF, invasion front; center, tumor center; TC, tumor cells; IC, immune cells). Unsupervised hierarchical cluster analyses (average-linkage algorithm) representing the absolute cell counts per mm\(^2\) (log\(_2\)-transformed) revealed four tumor immune phenotypes (indicated on the left and below the heatmap). Four clusters were revealed: Cluster A (uninflamed), cluster B (inflamed-low), cluster C (inflamed-high), and cluster D (evasion). sTILs in percent (shown below heatmap, scale in percent, left). B, Percentage of PD-L1\(^\text{+}\) TCs (left) and ICs (middle), as well as count of PD-L1\(^\text{+}\) ICs (right) according to immune phenotypes described in A. C, Number and distances (mm) of tertiary lymphoid structure (TLS)-containing lymphocytes and their association with tumor phenotypes shown in A (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; tested by Mann–Whitney test). D, Kaplan–Meier regression of disease-specific survival (DSS) based on immune cell phenotypes. Univariable log-rank P value is depicted in the lower left corner of the survival plot. P value for the entire indicator “immune phenotypes” derived by multivariable Cox regression is depicted above the survival curves, followed by the multivariable HR for inflamed-high versus uninflamed. E, Nonparametric Spearman rank correlations (Spearman \(\rho\)) of sTILs scores with counts of CD3\(^\text{+}\) and CD8\(^\text{+}\) T cells, CD68\(^\text{+}\) macrophages, PD-1\(^\text{+}\) immune cells, CD56\(^\text{+}\) NK cells, and PD-L1 scoring of ICs and TCs.
Figure 3.
TCGA-TIME (n = 407). A, Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of 59 genes related to immune cell inflammation revealed three immune cell clusters (ICC): Inflamed-high, Inflamed-low, and uninflamed. Distribution of tumor subtypes and correlation with the antigen-presenting cell signature (AGPS) are indicated below ICC clusters, as well as in pie distributions (right) and graphs with sTILs (%) (right). B, Kaplan–Meier regression of survival based on sTILs tertile split (T1–T3). Log-rank P < 0.0001; multivariable P = 0.0002 for indicator “sTILs tertiles.” Univariable log-rank P value is depicted in the lower left corner of the survival plot. P value for the entire indicator “sTILs tertiles” derived by multivariable Cox regression is depicted above the survival curves followed by the multivariable HR for “Tertile group 3” versus “Tertile group 1.” Table shows tertile split groups (first column total number of patients) with following columns showing the number of patients at risk in 20-month increments. C, Cytotoxic TFF and Th cells (CXCL9, CD3A, CD72) and immune checkpoint (CTLA4, IDOL, PDCD1 (PD-1), CD274 (PD-L1), LAG3) gene expression based on tertile split (⁎, P < 0.05; ⁎⁎, P < 0.01; ⁎⁎⁎, P < 0.001; tested by Mann–Whitney test). D, Spearman rank correlations (Spearman ρ) of sTILs and different immune cell populations calculated by CIBERSORT algorithm.
Supplementary Fig. S7B). Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of PDCD1, CD274, CD3Z, CD8A, and CXCL9 defined three immune gene expression clusters. The high cluster was represented by 61.9% basal tumors and 38.1% luminal tumors with the highest sTILs (median sTILs count: 27% vs. 7% for low sTILs and 5% for no sTILs; Fig. 7A and B; Supplementary Fig. S7A). Tertile splitting revealed that high sTILs associated with increased patient overall survival after chemotherapy [multivariable \( P = 0.0001 \) for sTILs indicator; multivariable HR = 0.22 (Tertile group 3 vs. Tertile group 1); Fig. 7C; Supplementary Table S6] and increased immune cell inflammation–related gene expression (Fig. 7D). A total of 65.1% of
Figure 5.
TCGA-TIME in luminal tumors (n = 243). A, Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of 59 genes related to immune cell inflammation clusters (ICC) and association with luminal subtypes, the AGPS (below) and sTILs % (below; scale % on left). Pie distributions below shows TCGA luminal subtypes in relation to ICC clusters and subtypes. Right graph shows sTILs (%) based on ICC clusters (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001 tested by Mann–Whitney test). B, Kaplan–Meier regression showing survival based on sTILs tertile split (T1–T3). Log rank P < 0.0001; multivariable P = 0.027 for indicator “sTILs tertiles.” Univariable log-rank P value is depicted in the lower left corner of the survival plot. P value for the entire indicator “sTILs tertiles” derived by multivariable Cox regression is depicted above the survival curves followed by the multivariable HR for Tertile group 3 versus Tertile group 1. Table shows tertile split groups (first column total number of patients) with following columns showing the number of patients at risk in 20-month increments.

Pfannstiel et al.
The tumors within Tertile group 3 were basal. These results indicated that patients with basal and luminal tumors, with high sTILs, benefit from adjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy. This is in line with reports demonstrating a favorable outcome of patients with breast cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy for basal-like tumors with high sTILs (13, 34), and for neoadjuvant chemotherapy of patients with basal MIBC, which show increased chemoresponsiveness (4). In line with these observations, a nonsignificant trend for better survival ($P = 0.055$) was found for patients with basal-differentiated MIBC undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy (Supplementary Fig. S7C).

**Discussion**

Following tumor antigen presentation in lymph nodes, TILs including T, B, and NK cells are primed to mount a powerful immunologic inflammatory response to tumor cells. Negative T-cell checkpoint inhibitors engage with ligands, like PD-1 with PD-L1, to ensure a balanced immune response to avoid an autoimmune reaction (35). Unfortunately, within the TIME the resulting inflammatory immune response is not sufficient to eradicate all tumor cells and is subject to regulation, including immunosuppression and inflammatory cytokines which fuel tumor progression (36).
The main impact of this study was the identification of a role of sTILs in the TIME, whose specific quantities and spatial organization phenotypes predicted antitumor inflammation that associated with patient survival, as well as with molecular tumor subtypes. Using sTILs and a panel of five key T-cell–specific and inflammation genes, we could delineate high, low, or absent tumor inflammation, which was like a 59 immune cell gene cluster within the TCGA cohort. High tumor inflammation was significantly enriched in basal tumors, whereas low inflammation mainly associated with luminal subtypes. High sTILs and inflammation predicted improved patient survival. High TMB, APOBEC mutation, and neoantigen load in MIBC correspond with a favorable 5-year OS of 75% (3). We showed that a high APOBEC signature mutational load, high TMB, and neoantigen load could be responsible for an increased expression of recognizable antigens with...
consecutive upregulation of antigen-presenting–related genes, such as MHC-I and MHC-II class HLA genes, leading to high sTILs infiltration and antitumor inflammation, and could explain improved patient outcome.

IHC and gene expression analysis of the CCC-EMN-cohort revealed immune cell phenotypes, which were spatially organized and correlated with numbers of sTILs and amounts, distance, and localization of TLSs. We identified two inflamed phenotypes with favorable outcomes and an uninflamed phenotype, as well as a tumor evasion phenotype with high PD-L1 TC expression, with the latter two both associated with adverse outcomes.

An important factor within the TIME are TLSs, which in addition to lymph nodes, can also exhibit antitumor activity via antigen presentation, leading to an efflux of active immune cells (29, 30). Here, high amounts and close tumor distance of TLSs correlated significantly with a favorable DSS. Both the uninflamed and evasion phenotypes showed the lowest amount and the farthest TLS distances, resulting in the shortest DSS. These findings support a negative regulation of immune cells occurring in the TIME. The inflamed-high phenotype, as well as tumors enriched with TLSs, also exhibited high CXCL9. This was validated in the TCGA cohort, including other chemokines of the CXC and CC cytokine subfamilies, which play a positive role in antitumor activity in the TIME.

The importance of investigating sTILs and the spatial arrangement of the TIME stemmed from patients with bladder cancer treated with platinum-based chemotherapy or checkpoint inhibitors. Tumors enriched with sTILs in patients with metastatic UBC treated with a platinum-based chemotherapy indicate prolonged OS (37). Patients with metastatic UBC with high neoantigens and TMB, as well as enrichment of CD8+ T cells, show higher objective response rates toward atezolizumab (5). In liver metastasis, sTILs and PD-L1 expression are significantly reduced compared with matched primary tumors (38), and patients respond worse to checkpoint inhibition (6).

Studies have demonstrated the relevance of UBC tumor subtypes for response to checkpoint inhibition (6). In both cohorts (CCC-EMN/TCGA), uninfamed immune phenotypes were prominently enriched for luminal subtypes, whereas inflamed-high subtypes were mainly basal tumors. Luminal tumors enriched with an EMT-like gene expression signature were associated with low to moderate inflammation and immune checkpoint expression. These tumors are aggressive tumors (3), characterized by low proliferation with chemoresistance (3, 23). EMT-enriched luminal tumors have been shown to evade immune surveillance by upregulation of the TGFβ axis (5). Other immune evasion mechanisms could lead to sTILs exclusion: (i) tumor recruitment of suppressive myeloid cells, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC), tumor-associated macrophages (TAM), immature DCs, and immunosuppressive neutrophils, leading to poor tumor migration and invasion of CD8+ T cells (39, 40); (ii) establishment of a glucose-poor tumor microenvironment by tumor cells, limiting metabolism of TLSs (41); (iii) intratumoral delivery of cytokines, chemokines, and upregulation of other immune checkpoints by tumor cells to restrict T-cell function (42); and (iv) deregulation of chemokine expression by tumor endothelial cells, preventing T-cell homing (42).

The incapacitated immune response observed in uninfamed tumors in our study cohorts, mainly luminal tumors, may be a factor for poor responsiveness to checkpoint inhibition and lower survival of first-line–treated patients with low or absent PD-L1 expression (43). These patients should not solely be candidates for anti–PD-L1 or anti–PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, but need alternative strategies to possibly initiate an immune response. Preclinical work from several groups has shown the potential of therapies that modulate the cancer epigenome to reverse immune evasion in the TIME. DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTi) remove suppressive DNA methylation marks and activate endogenous retro-elements that can be sensed by the innate immune system, resulting in an antiviral response (44–46). This antiviral IFN response recruits and activates host immune cells to the TIME (47) and sensitizes melanoma (44), lung (47), and ovarian (47) mouse cancer models to immune checkpoint blockade therapy. Most favorable tumor responses were seen when DNMTis were combined with another epigenetic therapy, like histone deacetylase inhibitors (47). Separately, DNMTis can also reverse TMB cell exhaustion, reinvigorating them to fight tumors and sensitizing them to immune checkpoint blockade (48). Clinical trials are currently under way in several solid tumors testing the efficacy of this combination of epigenetic and immune therapy (NCT02816021, NCT03019003, NCT01928576, NCT02959437).

Tumors with inflamed-high and evasion phenotypes were mainly represented by basal tumors, which harbor important implications for their treatment. For example, inflamed-high tumors with moderate to high PD-1 and PD-L1 expression, exclusively on immune cells, seem to represent an immune phenotype with a normal restriction on active T-effector (TEFF) cells. A fraction of these tumors also showed increased PD-L1 expression on tumor cells. Because both phenotypes demonstrated a favorable prognosis with the highest DSS, this supports antitumor activity by sTILs. The immune evasion phenotype showed a worse prognosis, which could be due to having the highest constitutive PD-L1 tumor expression, which can lead to sTIL exhaustion (49). We conclude all the tumor phenotypes above would be suitable for PD-L1 or PD–L1–targeting therapies to further unleash an antitumor immune response in inflamed-high tumors and recapacitate the antitumor immune responses in tumors with evasion phenotype.

All of the above findings, except the specific immune phenotypes by IHC, were confirmed in the TCGA cohort. Classical luminal tumors are characterized by low proliferation, and we now showed that they also have low amounts of sTILs, low to absent expression of immune checkpoint markers, and a low TMB. This indicated that neither chemotherapy nor immune therapy are suitable for those tumors but support a potential benefit from other therapies, like epigenetic modifiers, to ignite an immune response that could be enhanced by an immune checkpoint blockade (3, 6). The observed tumor subtype dependency of immune infiltrates in MIBC is similar to breast cancer (13, 50). Among the different immune phenotypes revealed in this study, we propose that assessment of sTILs is a prognostic factor that allows risk stratification after radical cystectomy. We demonstrated an independent survival advantage of high sTILs and immune cell phenotypes by analyzing all MIBCs, as well as specific subgroups, separately stratified by tumor differentiation or therapy. The prognostic value of sTIL assessment is independent of basal and EMT-like phenotypes. Thus, this assessment adds information for risk stratification of patients with aggressive tumor phenotypes.
(luminal EMT-like, basal tumors). These associations are in line with findings in breast cancer (13). High amount of stILs predicted a benefit of adjuvant platinum-containing chemotherapy. The most benedicted subpopulation had enriched basal differentiation, which has also been shown to be a favorable predictive factor for responsiveness to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (4). Platinum-containing chemotherapy has been shown to increase DCS, eliminate MDSCs (51), enhance NK-cell-mediated antitumor toxicity (52), and increase CD8⁺ T cells that are critical for sustained antitumor immunity (55). Beside the enhancement effects of platinum-containing chemotherapy, our findings support the idea that memory T and B cells play a critical role in sustained antitumor activity after complete tumor resection. Memory immune cells could perform tumor cell clearance of circulating tumor cells or metastatic tumor cells at distal sites, which would result in long-term protective immunity to prevent relapse and improve patient survival. Studies regarding resident memory CD8⁺ T cells in patients with breast cancer demonstrate a correlation with improved survival (56, 57).

Our current investigation supports a rationale that stILs, TLSs, and immune cell phenotypes, along with tumor subtypes of MIBC, are factors for risk stratification. On the basis of our findings, patients with the uninfamed and low-infamned tumor phenotypes with unfavorable prognosis (64 % of MIBC) would need alternative therapies to (re)ignite the immune system. Patients with infamned-high tumors or with an evasion phenotype could benefit from immune checkpoint blockade. Our findings could potentially improve MIBC patient treatment design to personalized therapy for a better outcome.

Limitations are the use of OS data to calculate survival of the TCGA cohort and the merged adjuvant chemotherapy (only OS available). In TCGA survival analyses, age was the most adverse risk factor for poor OS, which is most likely the cause for frequent, non tumor-specific causes of death in these patients. However, all multivariable analyses in this study were adjusted for age and other available demographic characteristics in Cox proportional hazard analyses. In the CCC-EMN cohort, limited numbers of survival events in respective analytic subgroups may have con tributed to precision and power issues. Thus, upcoming studies with larger case numbers are needed to further validate the prognostic impact of immune phenotypes.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
R. Wirtz is an employee and has ownership interest (including stock, patents, etc.) in STRATIFYER Molecular Pathology GmbH. M. Burger has received speakers bureau honoraria from Medac, Takeda, Pfizer, Bayer Healthcare, Cepheid, MSD, and BMS and is a consultant/advisory board member for Medac, Astellas, and Janssen. S. Bertz has received speakers bureau honoraria from BioNTech, Cepheid, Janssen, and Roche, has received speakers bureau honoraria from BMS, MSD, Roche, AbbVie, Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, Novartis, Janssen, Cepheid, and NanoString, and has provided expert testimony for Prosima. M. Eckstein has received speakers bureau honoraria from Roche, Astellas, and AstraZeneca and is a consultant/advisory board member for AstraZeneca and Janssen Cilag. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Authors’ Contributions
Conception and design: P.L. Strissel, R. Wirtz, M. Burger, B. Wullich, C. Bolenz, P. Erben, A. Hartmann, R. Strick, M. Eckstein
Development of methodology: R. Wirtz, A. Hartmann, M. Eckstein
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): C. Pfannstiel, D. Sikie, R. Wirtz, A. Wullweber, H. Taubert, W. Otto, M. Burger, C. Bolenz, N. Fuhrich, C.I. Geppert, R. Stoehr, S. Bertz, B. Keck, A. Hartmann, M. Eckstein
Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): C. Pfannstiel, S. Wach, A. Wullweber, W. Otto, B. Wullich, C. Bolenz, C.I. Geppert, R. Stoehr, M. Eckstein
Study supervision: P.L. Strissel, W. Otto, C. Bolenz, A. Hartmann, R. Strick, M. Eckstein

Acknowledgments
We thank Verena Popp, Elke Veltrup, Natalsha Leicht, and Christa Winkelmann for excellent technical assistance. Furthermore, we are grateful and thank Jægill Kim, Gordon Robertson and Seth Lerner for providing essential information about the TCGA provisional dataset and R-Scripts. This work was performed in [partial] fulfillment of the requirements for obtaining the degree “Dr. Med” (C. Pfannstiel). This study was funded from a general scientific pool of each participating institution.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received October 22, 2018; revised January 9, 2019; accepted April 8, 2019; published first April 15, 2019.

References
The Tumor Immune Microenvironment Drives a Prognostic Relevance That Correlates with Bladder Cancer Subtypes

Carolin Pfannstiel, Pamela L. Strissel, Katherine B. Chiappinelli, et al.


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0758

Supplementary Material
Access the most recent supplemental material at:
http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2019/04/13/2326-6066.CIR-18-0758.DC1

Cited articles
This article cites 57 articles, 12 of which you can access for free at:
http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/7/6/923.full#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/7/6/923.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/7/6/923.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.