Melanoma Brain Metastasis Pseudoprogression after Pembrolizumab Treatment


Abstract

The role of immunotherapy in treatment of brain metastases is unknown because most trials exclude patients with active brain lesions. As new immunomodulating agents gain approval for many malignancies, it is important to know if they have unique effects in the central nervous system (CNS). Here, we present a case of a patient with progressing brain metastases treated with a single cycle of pembrolizumab, who presented with mental status changes 11 days thereafter. MRI of the brain showed enlargement of CNS lesions with intense central enhancement and diffuse perileSIONal edema. Histologic evaluation of a resected lesion revealed isolated clusters of tumor cells surrounded by reactive astrocytosis, scattered inflammatory cells, and an abundance of microglial cells. Given the increasing use of immune checkpoint inhibitors in patients with brain metastases from melanoma and other diseases, recognition of pseudoprogression and management with immune suppression are essential.
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Introduction

Ten to 40% of patients with metastatic melanoma develop brain metastases (1). Standard management of brain metastases involves surgery or radiotherapy, depending on size and number of tumors (2). Although systemic therapy might have a role in treating brain metastases, the ability of newer systemic therapies to cross the blood–brain barrier (BBB) remains unknown and is the subject of ongoing investigations (1, 3). Most systemic therapy trials exclude patients with active brain metastases due to their expected poor prognosis, concerns about confounding neurologic symptoms, and possible inability of drugs to cross the BBB (4, 5). In recent years, a few systemic therapy trials have been tailored specifically to brain metastasis patients (6–11). All of these studies were small, with the exception of the BREAK-MB trial (dabrafenib in patients with V600E mutated BRAF-mutant melanoma metastatic to the brain), which enrolled 172 patients.

Drugs with known ability to cross the BBB, fotemustine and temozolomide, both alkylating agents, have shown limited activity, with brain metastasis response rates of 25% and 6%, respectively (12–14). Most of the experience in treating melanoma brain metastases with immune therapy has been with ipilimumab. There is evidence that T cells activated by the CTLA-4 inhibitor ipilimumab cross the BBB, resulting in intracerebral antitumor responses. A phase II trial of patients with asymptomatic and symptomatic brain metastases showed median overall survival of 7 and 3.7 months, respectively, with 2-year survival rates of 10% (15). Activity in asymptomatic patients was thus similar to that of patients without brain metastases (16).

As immunomodulating antibodies play an increasing role in treatment of melanoma and other cancer types, the effects of these agents on brain metastases need to be assessed. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the most common modality for assessing response of brain metastases, but the range of imaging responses of brain metastases to immunotherapy is not well studied. We present a case of metastatic melanoma to the brain associated with significant pseudoprogression after treatment with the PD-1 inhibitor pembrolizumab.

Case Presentation

A 56-year-old woman presented with BRAF V600E-mutant metastatic melanoma to subcutaneous tissues, axillae, and adrenal glands 4 years after excision of a 2.13 mm, nonulcerated primary lesion. She was treated with vemurafenib for 4 months with disease progression in the brain. She received whole-brain radiation following induction of ipilimumab without response and was switched to dabrafenib and trametinib (BRAF and MEK inhibitors, respectively), to which she had a mixed response. She then developed innumerable new small brain metastases, seen on surveillance MRI, for which radiosurgical salvage was not easily achievable. To facilitate safe management, one of her intracranial lesions was resected. She was enrolled on a trial of pembrolizumab for melanoma patients with active brain metastases (NCT02085070), receiving her first dose of 10 mg/kg of pembrolizumab. She presented to the emergency room 11 days later with mental status changes. MRI showed significant worsening of cerebral edema surrounding all of her brain lesions, many of which were larger on post-gadolinium T1 imaging. The enlarging lesions showed unusual target-like appearances (Fig. 1). Given the MRI and the speed of imaging growth that was atypical for tumor progression, we resected a representative accessible small left parietal–occipital...
Histopathology of this second lesion showed small clusters of tumor cells surrounded by an admixture of hemorrhage, reactive astrocytosis, scattered inflammatory cells, and an abundance of microglial cells, as identified by CD68 immunostaining—all changes consistent with response to treatment rather than tumor growth (Fig. 2). CD45 staining highlighted scattered inflammatory cells, of which surprisingly few were CD8⁺ T cells. Sparse tumor cells showed reactivity to S100, HMB45, and Melan A. The patient passed away 3 weeks later. No autopsy was done, and the pathologic disease status could not be determined.

**Discussion**

Immune checkpoint inhibitors, alone and in combination, are currently the focus of intense study for a wide range of malignancies, including melanoma and lung cancer—diseases that metastasize to the brain frequently. Patients with brain metastases have previously been excluded from these trials, and literature describing effects of immunomodulating antibodies on brain lesions and microenvironment consequently remains sparse. There is evidence that T cells activated by ipilimumab may cross the BBB, enabling an intracerebral antitumor response (17, 18). Several studies have shown a positive effect of ipilimumab on selected patients with small asymptomatic brain metastases not requiring steroid therapy (7, 15, 16, 19–22). Hodi and colleagues (23) described 1 patient with brain metastases from melanoma who did not respond to ipilimumab. Pathologic review of a resected brain metastasis following treatment with ipilimumab showed focal necrosis with marked tumor infiltration by lymphocytes and an abundance of melanophages and CD8⁺ tumor-
infiltrating lymphocytes with a paucity of FoxP3+ regulatory cells. The lesion in our patient showed only small clusters of tumor cells with similar reactive brain changes. The inflammatory infiltrate in our patient was composed predominantly of activated microglial cells and scattered CD8+ T cells. While the effects of pembrolizumab on normal brain and brain metastases are largely

Figure 2.
A, a representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) section from one of the patient’s brain lesions prior to pembrolizumab treatment showing diffuse area of malignant cells (×10). B, HMB-45 immunostaining of the lesion depicted in A showing diffuse positivity consistent with metastatic melanoma (×10). C, a representative H&E section post-pembrolizumab treatment showing small clusters of melanoma cells admixed with areas of hemorrhage (×20). D, immunohistochemical staining with HMB-45 of the lesion depicted in C highlighting scattered foci of melanoma cells (×20). E, reactive astrocytosis and infiltration of macrophages in surrounding brain tissue of the lesion in part C (×40). F, CD45 immunohistochemical staining showing scattered perivascular and interstitial inflammatory cells as well as activated microglial cells (×20). G and H, CD8 immunostaining highlighting infiltration of cytotoxic lymphocytes (×40 and ×20). I, CD4 immunostaining showing only rare helper T lymphocytes (×20). J, CD68 immunostaining showing microglial/macrophagocytic cells (×20).
unknown, it might cause an inflammatory response similar to that of ipilimumab, as supported by our pathology. A recent study has shown that regrowth of lesions on MRI after GKRS can histologically show either tumor recurrence or post-radiation inflammation (24) and often it is impossible to differentiate these entities using MRI. Rauch and colleagues (25) reported histopathologic changes in 10 patients with symptomatic growing lesions 8 to 17 months after GKRS and increased FLAIR signal on MRI. They observed radiation-induced leukoencephalopathic changes (inflammatory infiltrate, demyelination, coagulative necrosis, and reactive astrogliosis) and vasculopathic pathology, without evidence of tumor, indicative of treatment-related changes.

Our case demonstrates that lesion enlargement on gadolinium-enhanced T1 MRI associated with increased perilesional FLAIR on MRI in a patient treated with pembrolizumab may not necessarily reflect true tumor progression, but can also be an inflammatory effect. Patients may become symptomatic from this inflammation, and management of the inflammation with corticosteroids might be necessary despite diminishing the immune effect. Recognition of the possibility of pseudoprogression is therefore crucial for management of these patients. Moreover, correct interpretation of effects of immunomodulatory agents such as pembrolizumab on brain metastases and correct classification of patients by clinical trial response criteria (RECIST or RANO) remain a challenge.
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