Downregulation of MHC-I Expression Is Prevalent but Reversible in Merkel Cell Carcinoma

Kelly G. Paulson1, Andrew Tegeder1, Christoph Willmes2, Jayasri G. Iyer1, Olga K. Afanasiev1, David Schrama2,3, Shinichi Koba1, Renee Thibodeau1, Kotaro Nagase1, William T. Simonson4,5, Aaron Seo1, David M. Koelle5,6,7,8, Margaret Madeleine8, Shailender Bhatia9, Hideki Nakajima10, Shigetoshi Sano10, James S. Hardwick11, Mary L. Disis9, Michele A. Cleary11, Jürgen C. Becker3,12, and Paul Nghiem1,4,8

Abstract

Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is an aggressive, polymavirus-associated skin cancer. Robust cellular immune responses are associated with excellent outcomes in patients with MCC, but these responses are typically absent. We determined the prevalence and reversibility of major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) downregulation in MCC, a potentially reversible immune-evasion mechanism. Cell-surface MHC-I expression was assessed on five MCC cell lines using flow cytometry as well as immunohistochemistry on tissue microarrays representing 114 patients. Three additional patients were included who had received intralesional IFN treatment and had evaluable specimens before and after treatment. mRNA expression analysis of antigen presentation pathway genes from 35 MCC tumors was used to examine the mechanisms of downregulation. Of note, 84% of MCCs (total n = 114) showed reduced MHC-I expression as compared with surrounding tissues, and 51% had poor or undetectable MHC-I expression. Expression of MHC-I was lower in polymavirus-positive MCCs than in polymavirus-negative MCCs (P < 0.01). The MHC-I downregulation mechanism was multifactorial and did not depend solely on HLA gene expression. Treatment of MCC cell lines with ionizing radiation, etoposide, or IFN resulted in MHC-I upregulation, with IFNs strongly upregulating MHC-I expression in vitro, and in 3 of 3 patients treated with intralesional IFNs. MCC tumors may be amenable to immunotherapy, but downregulation of MHC-I is frequently present in these tumors, particularly those that are positive for polymavirus. This downregulation is reversible with any of several clinically available treatments that may thus promote the effectiveness of immune-stimulating therapies for MCC. Cancer Immunol Res; 2(11); 1071–9. © 2014 AACR.

Introduction

Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) is a skin cancer with 46% disease-associated mortality (1) and increasing impact. Several lines of evidence point to a key role for T-cell immunity in preventing and controlling MCC. Multiple forms of T-cell immune suppression (including immunosuppressive medications, HIV/AIDS, and lymphoid malignancies) have been associated with increased risk of MCC (2), and T-cell immunesuppressed patients have poorer outcomes (3–5). Conversely, robust intratumoral CD8+ and CD3+ lymphocyte infiltration is associated with excellent MCC patient survival; however, most tumors lack these responses (6, 7). Greater than 90% of patients with MCC have no clinically appreciable systemic immune suppression, suggesting that T-cell evasion may instead be local and/or tumor driven.

In 2008, MCC was associated with a novel but highly prevalent polymavirus (8), the Merkel cell polymavirus (MCPyV or MCV). Viral oncoproteins (T antigens) are expressed in at least three quarters of MCCs (9–11), and their persistent expression is necessary for MCC cell division (12). Furthermore, these nonhuman oncoproteins are targets for adaptive immune responses in patients with MCC, with humoral (13) and more importantly cellular (including CD8+ T-cell) immune responses demonstrable in the blood and in the tumor microenvironment (14, 15). Therefore, these viral antigens suggest that the tumor is immunogenic and must have specifically avoided CD8+ T-cell recognition. They further represent compelling targets for MCC-specific immunotherapy, including adoptive T-cell therapies.
Nucleated cells express major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I), a requirement for presenting peptides from intracellular proteins to CD8+ T cells. Multiple viruses (16) and virus-associated cancers (e.g., Kaposi sarcoma, ref 17; cervical cancer, ref. 18) are known to directly or indirectly downregulate MHC-I as a mechanism of immune escape.

We hypothesized that MCC tumors would exhibit reduced expression of MHC-I as a mechanism of immune escape and that this may be reversible. To investigate this possibility, we studied surface MHC-I expression with immunohistochemistry (IHC) on samples from more than 100 unique patients. To study the reversibility of MHC-I downregulation, we tested the effects of clinically available treatments, including several IFNs, cytotoxic chemotherapy, and radiotherapy (XRT), on MHC-I expression on MCC cell lines. IFNs were of special interest, as prior studies in other settings suggest that they often promote antiviral immune responses, possess anti-polyomavirus (19, 20) and anti-MCC activity (19, 21), and induce MHC-I expression. Moreover, IFNs are broadly clinically available in the United States, with current indications for antiviral, immunomodulatory, and anticancer applications. This study demonstrates that MHC-I downregulation is prevalent in MCC and can be induced using any of several clinically available therapies. Reversal of MHC-I downregulation has the potential to increase exposure of tumor antigens to augment endogenous immunity and immunotherapy.

Materials and Methods

MCC cell lines

MCC cell lines MKL-1 (22), WaGa (12), UISO (23), MCC13 (24), and MCC26 (25) were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% fetal calf serum, and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Invitrogen). MCC13, MCC26, and UISO cell lines were obtained from their original creators, MKL-1 from Masa Shuda, and WaGa was created in the Becker laboratory and previously characterized as referenced above. The MCPyV status of these lines has been previously reported (12) and was determined based on a PCR assay and Southern blot analysis. The majority of studies were performed with MKL-1 as it is best characterized, is relatively easy to maintain (although nonadherent), and is well established to be positive for MCPyV (12). Our aliquots of MKL-1 were confirmed to be MCPyV-positive by Western blot analysis (14) using the CM2B4 antibody (9). No other authentication assay was performed.

Flow cytometric detection of MHC-I expression

Flow cytometry was performed using the w6/32 antibody (26), which detects the expression of MHC-I on the cell surface with an epitope shared by classic and non-classic HLA antigens. K562 cells, which lack cell-surface expression of MHC-I, served as negative controls. One of the known MHC-positive lymphoblastoid cell lines listed above served as a positive control. Cells were treated with XRT, etoposide, carboplatin, or one of three recombinant IFNs: IFNg2b (Intron A; Mercck), IFNβ-1b (Betaseron; Bayer), or IFNγ-1b (ActImmune; InterMune). Dosages are listed in the legend for Fig. 1.

Patients and tumors

All materials and data were obtained from the MCC Data and Tissue Repository at the University of Washington/Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center [Seattle, WA; Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval #6585]. Of note, 117 patients were included, with 88 enrolled from the United States, 28 from Germany, and 1 from Japan. Of these, 114 were cases that were part of the tissue microarrays (TMA) screened to determine MHC-I tumor expression, whereas an additional and nonoverlapping three cases were not featured on the microarray but instead represented retrospective materials obtained from patients treated with IFNs. All patients whose samples were on the microarray with at least one adequate core were included in the study. All patients had MCC, as assessed by two or more pathologists. Diagnoses occurred between the years 1985 and 2011.

mRNA expression data

mRNA array expression data from a previously published dataset representing 35 MCC tumors from 34 distinct patients were used [ref. 6; Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) accession number GSE22396]. Please see previous publication for complete description of methods (6). In brief, MCC tumors were macrodissected, RNA was extracted, and cDNAs were prepared. cDNAs were applied to the human Rosetta custom Affymetrix 2.0 chip (Affymetrix) in a single batch at Rosetta Inpharmatics, and analysis was performed with Resolver software (version 6.0; Rosetta Biosoftware).

β-Microglobulin reverse transcription quantitative PCR

RNA was isolated from MKL-1 cells by RNeasy (Qiagen). RNA quality was confirmed by spectrophotometry. cDNA was generated using the Applied Biosystems High Capacity Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). β-Microglobulin (B2M) and 18s (control) transcript quantities were determined by TaqMan PCR using commercially available reagents (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI 7900 platform in a 384-well format (Applied Biosystems), as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

Tissue microarrays

Of note, 114 tumors from 114 distinct patients were represented on at least one of five TMA slides composed of 0.6-mm cores of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumors. Seventy-seven (67%) were primary lesions, 19 (16%) were nodal metastases, two (2%) were recurrences, eight (7%) were skin metastases, and eight (7%) lesions were from undetermined sites.

MHC-I IHC

The EMR8-5 antibody (MBL International) was used to determine MHC-I expression. EMR8-5 is reported to recognize the extracellular domains of the following classic HLA molecules: HLA-A*2402, -A*0101, -A*1101, -A*0201, -A*0207, -B*0702, -B*0801, -B*1501, -B*3501, -B*4001, -B*4002, -B*4006, -B*4403, -Cw*0102, -Cw*0801, -Cw*1202, and -Cw*1502 (27). Epitope retrieval was performed with 20 minutes of steam in a pH 6 citrate buffer. Primary antibody was used at a 1:100 dilution, blocking was with 15% swine/5% human serum.
mouse EnVision secondary detection was used (Dako). Tonsil cores provided on-slide positive tissue staining controls. Normal mouse serum (NMS) was run as a negative isotype control. Further supporting the adequacy of staining were within-tumor controls: strong membranous MHC-I staining was observed as expected on stromal cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes but not on erythrocytes. Specimens were assessed for tumor cell membrane staining by three observers who were blinded to the identity of the samples. TMAs were scored using the Allred method (28) as follows: A score between 0 and 8 is determined from the sum of a proportion score (0–5 scale reflecting the fraction of cells with any stain) and a staining intensity score (0–3 scale reflecting the strength of staining among the positive cells). The median of the triplicate tumor cores was determined for each observer, and then the median of the observers’ scores was used in analyses. When scorers disagreed by more than two points on the combined 0–8 scale, scores from an independent pathologist blinded to the previous reads were used instead, or the specimen was eliminated if the independent observer determined the sample quality to be inadequate. If a patient had more than one lesion represented, a single lesion was included on the basis of priority: primary > nodal metastasis > recurrence > regional skin metastasis > distant metastasis.

**MCPyV IHC**

Two TMAs, containing samples from 82 patients, had previously been stained for MCPyV T-antigen (13) with the CM2B4 antibody (9). An Allred score of 2 or greater defined MCPyV positivity.

**CD8 IHC**

CD8 infiltration data were available and previously reported for 77 tumors (6).

**Statistical analysis**

Linear regression was used for two-way comparisons in Fig. 2A and B. The nonparametric Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used in Fig. 4B to compare MHC-I expression between...
virus-positive and virus-negative tumors. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was also used to compare CD8+ cell infiltrates between MHC-I strongly expressing tumors (defined as Allred score of maximal 8) and weakly or nonexpressing tumors (Allred score of 7 or less). The paired t test was used for the comparison of MHC-I expression before and after treatment for IFN-treated tumors (Fig. 5). A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using Stata version 11.0 (StataCorp).

Results

MHC-I expression is downregulated but reinducible on MCC cell lines

The cell-surface expression of MHC-I was determined by flow cytometry on five MCC cell lines (Fig. 1A). Two of three polyomavirus-negative MCC cell lines demonstrated maintained MHC-I expression. In contrast, two of two polyomavirus-positive cell lines were MHC-I negative.

IFNs are well-characterized mediators of antiviral immune responses, with upregulation of MHC-I being one of their classic functions. We therefore tested their effects on MHC-I expression in MCC cell lines. Treatment with IFNα resulted in significantly increased expression of MHC-I in both MCPyV-positive cell lines (Fig. 1A). Among the MCPyV-negative cell lines, a modest increase was observed in UISO cells, whereas MHC-I was already present at high levels at baseline in the remaining two cell lines. Although virus-positive cell lines are well established to be similar in nature to human MCC tumors, it is less clear that the virus-negative cell lines are biologically representative as they lack many key hallmarks of MCC, including cytokeratin-20 expression (29).

We also investigated whether other clinically available treatments could reverse MHC-I downregulation. IFNβ (Fig. 1B) and IFNα (data not shown) each strongly induced MHC-I in a dose-dependent fashion, although higher dosages were needed to achieve the same effect as for IFNγ. Etoposide, a standard MCC chemotherapeutic agent, also induced MHC-I expression (Fig. 1C), while platins (cisplatin and carboplatin) did not (data not shown). Finally, XRT resulted in modest MHC-I upregulation (Fig. 1D), and this effect was dose dependent (data not shown).

Mechanism of MHC-I downregulation and IFN-mediated reversal

Delivery of MHC-I onto the cell surface requires the expression not only of the relevant MHC-I heavy chain gene but also of B2M and numerous antigen-processing genes.

Figure 2. Mechanism of MHC-I downregulation in MCC tumors. A and B, mRNA expression of MHC-I HLA genes was highly correlated to mRNA expression of B2M and antigen-processing genes among 35 MCC tumors. Values in B represent R² values for linear correlation comparing relative expression of gene at left to gene at top (B2M example is indicated with a double box). C, treatment of MKL-1 MCC cells with IFNβ is associated with induction of B2M mRNA expression as determined by real-time, reverse transcription PCR. D, transfection of HLA-A24 under a constitutive promoter (CMV) was insufficient to restore expression of MHC-I in MKL-1 cells, suggesting that deficiencies in surface MHC-I expression were not solely due to poor HLA gene expression. However, surface expression of MHC-I was induced when HLA-A24 was combined with IFN.
Among the 35 MCCs (6), expression levels of MHC-I mRNAs were highly correlated to those of B2M and genes involved in peptide processing and presentation such as components of the transporter associated with antigen processing (TAP) complex (Fig. 2A and B). This implies simultaneous down-regulation of multiple components of this pathway in MCC tumors. Furthermore, IFN treatment of MKL-1 cells was associated with the upregulated mRNA expression of pathway components other than HLA genes (e.g., B2M; Fig. 2C), suggesting that the effects of IFN on MHC-I expression in MCC are not limited to upregulating MHC-I heavy chain genes.

To determine the importance of these non-HLA components on the observed upregulation of MHC-I on the surface of MCC tumor cells, MKL-1 cells (HLA-A/C3/2402 negative) were transfected with HLA-A/C3/2402 driven by a constitutive cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (Fig. 2D). Transfection of HLA-A/C3/2402 alone was not sufficient to restore MHC-I expression on the surface of MKL-1 cells. However, when IFNβ-1b was added to the HLA-A/2402 transfection, surface HLA-A/2402 expression was induced (Fig. 2D).

MHC-I cell-surface expression is reduced in the majority of human MCCs

MHC-I expression was determined by IHC on TMAs of MCC tumors from 114 patients (Fig. 3). MCC tumors (84%) demonstrated MHC-I downregulation on tumor cells, as compared with stroma, and 51% demonstrated marked downregulation (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Table S1).

Among patients with primary tumors represented on TMAs (n = 77), median expression was 5 (corresponding to faint expression on most tumor cells) and 83% had some downregulation in MHC-I expression. A trend toward lower MHC-I expression was observed among patients who were instead represented with a nodal (n = 19) or distant skin (n = 8) metastasis (median of 4 and 2.5, and downregulation of 89% and 100% of tumors, respectively); however, this difference did not achieve statistical significance.

To determine whether MHC-I expression was associated with intratumoral CD8⁺ lymphocyte infiltration, we compared CD8⁺ infiltration with MHC-I expression for 77 MCC cases with both data types available. No statistically significant difference was found in CD8⁺ infiltration between cases with...

Figure 3. MHC-I IHC of MCC tumors. A total of 114 MCC tumors were represented on five TMAs and were stained with the EMR8-5 antibody that recognizes HLA-A, -B, and -C. These were scored for proportion of cells expressing MHC-I and intensity of the expression using the Allred scoring system ranging from 0 to a maximal score of 8. Representative MCC tumors at each combined Allred score are shown at right. Scale bar, 50 μm.

Scoring scheme per Allred et al., 1998 (28)

Membrane proportion score:
0 = 0% cells stained
1 = <1% cells stained
2 = 1%–10% cells stained
3 = 11%–33% cells stained
4 = 34%–66% cells stained
5 = 67%–100% cells stained

Membrane intensity score:
0 = no reactivity
1 = weakly reactive
2 = moderately reactive
3 = strongly reactive

Membrane proportion score:
0 = 0% cells stained
1 = <1% cells stained
2 = 1%–10% cells stained
3 = 11%–33% cells stained
4 = 34%–66% cells stained
5 = 67%–100% cells stained

Membrane intensity score:
0 = no reactivity
1 = weakly reactive
2 = moderately reactive
3 = strongly reactive

8
7
6
5
0
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Tonsil controls
MCC tumors
(3 columns are triplicates,
median score used)

Representative MCC tumors for each
MHC-I expression score:
strong MHC-I expression (Allred score of 8) or reduced/absent MHC-I expression (Allred score of 0–7).

**MCCs with detectable MCPyV exhibit less MHC-I expression**

Approximately 80% of MCCs express MCPyV-derived oncoproteins, and these oncoproteins have been demonstrated to be substrates for CD8⁺ T cells (14). We hypothesized that these tumors would be particularly likely to have lost MHC-I expression. Indeed, MHC-I expression was significantly lower in MCCs with detectable virus (median score of 4 vs. 5.5; Fig. 4B; \(P < 0.01\)).

**MCCs treated with IFNβ had greater MHC-I expression after treatment**

Two cases have been reported in which intralesional IFNβ injection has been successful as primary therapy for MCC (30, 31). We obtained slides from before and after injection from one of these cases (30), as well as from an additional two cases that have been treated with IFNβ (and later went on to receive other therapies, including surgery and XRT). As this study represented a retrospective case review, these patients were not part of a standardized protocol, but all analysis was carried out after IRB approval. Lesion shrinkage was observed in all 3 cases with intralesional IFN injection.

We hypothesized that intralesional IFNβ injection would be associated with increased MHC-I expression on the tumor cells. Before treatment, MHC-I on tumor cells was strikingly lower than on surrounding tissues (median score of 4 vs. 5.5; Fig. 4B; \(P < 0.01\)). However, after IFN treatment, strong expression of MHC-I was observed (Allred score of 8 in each case; \(P = 0.04\)).

**Discussion**

MCC is an often lethal skin cancer associated with a persistent requirement for expression of viral oncoproteins (T antigens; refs. 8, 12). Although T-cell responses are associated...
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with excellent disease-specific outcomes (6, 7) and viral T antigens have been demonstrated to elicit specific CD8+ T-cell responses in patients with MCC (14), the majority of tumors lack intratumoral CD8+ infiltration, suggesting cytotoxic T-cell avoidance. In the present study, we found that the majority of 114 MCC tumors exhibit poor expression of MHC-I. Although this result is in keeping with findings in other virus-associated malignancies (16), this observation is important because it represents an obstacle to native immune responses and to adaptive immunotherapies. Our finding that MHC-I downregulation in MCC appears to be reversible has clinical significance for therapeutic approaches that target immune stimulation.

MCC is an especially appealing target for immunotherapy, given the associations between immune responses and outcomes as well as the targetable viral oncoproteins present in most cases. In this study, the most effective in vitro agents for MHC-I upregulation were IFNs. Furthermore, these highly active biologic compounds have been shown to inhibit the growth of MCC cell lines (19, 21) and are associated with downregulation (but not complete loss) of MCPyV T-antigen protein. However, clinical experience with IFNs in MCC has been mixed, with some reported cases (30, 31) of successful intralesional IFNβ treatment and other reported failures of systemic IFNα treatment (32–34). More study is needed to determine whether and how these compounds can complement other traditional and immune therapies.

We observed an inverse association between MCPyV T-antigen expression and MHC-I expression in human MCC tumors. It remains to be determined whether MCPyV T antigens are able to mediate MHC-I downregulation; our study was limited by the inability to test this hypothesis in vitro due to significant cell death with MCPyV knockdown. It is possible that MCPyV is directly downregulating MHC-I expression; alternatively it is possible that MCPyV-positive tumors are more likely to be MHC-I negative due to selection against MHC-I-expressing tumors. However, the presence of tumors with high expression of both MHC-I and MCPyV as well as those with a lack of MHC-I and detectable MCPyV expression suggests that it is not the only factor at play in MHC-I downregulation.

Tumors that undergo significant downregulation of cell-surface MHC-I should become targets for natural killer (NK) cell recognition. However, the persistence of these tumors suggests NK cell evasion by MCCs. Further work is needed to determine the mechanism of NK cell evasion by MCC tumors with low or no MHC-I. Plausible mechanisms would include upregulation of inhibitory receptors or downregulation of NK-activating receptors such as NKG2D (35). Should NK responses be deficient, therapies aimed at augmenting NK responses may represent an alternate immunotherapeutic approach to T cell-directed therapies in MHC-I-negative MCC tumors.

In summary, MCC is an aggressive skin cancer with persistent expression of immunogenic viral oncoproteins. Clinically, improved CD8+ T-cell immune responses are associated with excellent outcomes. Thus, MCC is an appealing target for novel and established immunotherapies. MHC-I downregulation represents one mechanism of immune evasion used by a majority of MCCs. This presents an obstacle to both native immune responses and T-cell or vaccine-based immunotherapies, but may be reversed with multiple clinically available treatments. Therapies aimed at restoring T-cell responses represent a promising avenue for MCC treatment.
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