Introduction

Cancer/Testis (CT) antigens are normally only expressed in germ cells and yet are aberrantly activated in a wide variety of human cancers. Most chromosome X-encoded CT antigens (CT-X) show restricted expression in pre-meiotic germ cells in adult testis, except for the expression of SPANX in post-meiotic germ cells. In the present study, the expression of eight CT-X antigens (MAGE-A, NY-ESO-1, GAGE, MAGE-C1/CT7, MAGE-C2/CT10, CT45, SAGE1, and SPANX) in non-seminomatous germ cell tumors was evaluated immunohistochemically, including 24 embryonal carcinomas, 20 yolk sac tumors, 9 teratomas, and 3 choriocarcinomas, and the results were compared to our previous study of 77 classic seminomas and 2 spermatocytic seminomas. SPANX was not detected in any germ cell tumors tested. Spermatocytic seminoma showed strong expression of all CT-X antigens tested (except SPANX), reflecting their origin from adult CT-X-positive pre-meiotic germ cells. Classic seminomas, originating from prenatal gonocytes, showed widely variable frequency of CT-X antigen expression, ranging from > 80% (CT7, CT10, CT45, and GAGE), 63% (MAGE-A), 18% (NY-ESO-1) to only 4% (SAGE1). In comparison, non-seminomatous germ cell tumors expressed CT-X antigens much less frequently and usually only in small subsets of tumor cells. Intratubular germ cell neoplasia (ITGCN) were mostly CT-X-negative, even in CT-X-positive classic seminomas. These findings indicate that CT-X antigens are not expressed in the fetal precursor cells for germ cell tumors, and their expression likely reflects germ cell differentiation of the neoplastic cells (in seminomas) or aberrant gene activation as cancer antigens (in non-seminomatous tumors).
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Cancer/Testis (CT) antigens are initially identified during the search for immunogenic tumor antigens capable of eliciting spontaneous immune responses in cancer patients. MAGE, BAGE, and GAGE antigens (1), the first group of tumor antigens shown to elicit cell-mediated immune responses in melanoma patients, were found to have their mRNA expression limited to tumors, and no expression in any other normal adult tissue was detected. Subsequent serological cloning of antigens that elicited antibody responses in cancer patients identified SSX, NY-ESO-1, and CT7, all of which also share this distinctive characteristic of testis-restricted expression and aberrant activation in various types of human cancer. This unique feature led us to designate this group of antigens as CT antigens (2, 3), and clinical trials using CT antigens as cancer vaccine targets are ongoing (4-6). The distinctive CT mRNA expression pattern also provided in silico analytic tools and led to subsequent identification of many novel genes with similar characteristics, and the number of CT and CT-like genes in the literature expanded from 20 in 2002 (7), 44 in 2004 (8), to more than 110 in the most recent version of CTpedia (9, 10), a CT-database established by the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research (11). These genes can be separated into two main groups. The first group consists of genes on non-X chromosomes, many of them encoding proteins with known biological functions either in spermatids or in the process of spermatogenesis, including ACRBP (acrosin-binding protein), ADAM2, and meiotic proteins SCP1, SYCE, and HORMAD1 (12-14). Most of these genes are expressed during or after meiosis, but not in the pre-meiotic spermatogonia or spermatocytes. In contrast, the second group comprises approximately 30 genes (or gene families) on X chromosome, most of them encoding proteins with unknown functions. These genes have been referred to as CT-X genes (3, 10). By immunohistochemical studies, we recently showed that most of these genes, including MAGE-A, NY-ESO-1, GAGE, CT7, CT10, CT45, and SAGE1, are expressed in spermatogonia and/or primary spermatocytes in adult testis, but not in post-meiotic germ cells. The only exception to this rule is the SPANX gene family that encodes proteins that are involved in the morphogenesis of mature sperm cells and are expressed in spermatids and sperm cells (15, 16).

In fetal testis, all germ cells are derived from OCT3/4-positive primordial germ cells (PGCs). As these OCT3/4-positive pluripotent cells migrate to the gonads from the dorsal yolk sac and develop into germ cells, the expression of OCT3/4 is lost (17-19). By immunohistochemical analysis, we recently showed that the expression of OCT3/4 and CT-X antigens are almost always mutually exclusive, and CT-X expression in fetal testis only appears when fetal testicular gonocytes have lost OCT3/4 expression and committed to spermatogenesis (20). This finding of CT-X antigen expression in fetal testicular germ cells, possibly including primordial germ cells, raises the possibility that CT-X antigens might be frequently expressed in germ cell tumors (GCTs). Although several studies have investigated this issue, the analyses were limited to one or two CT antigens, e.g., MAGE-A (21-24), NY-ESO-1(25), SSX (26), GAGE (27), and CT45 (28), and the results were discrepant, particularly in terms of their expression in non-seminomatous germ cell tumors and in intratubular germ cell neoplasia (ITGCN). We recently evaluated the expression of multiple CT antigens in fetal gonads and in seminoma (20), and we have now expanded this study to non-seminomatous germ cell...
tumors (NSGCTs) to explore the possible differential expression of CT antigens in this heterogeneous group of tumors that might reflect different mechanisms of gene activation and evaluate their potential values as diagnostic markers or therapeutic targets.

Results

Of the eight CT-X antigens (MAGE-A, NY-ESO-1, GAGE, CT7, CT10, CT45, SAGE1, and SPANX) examined, no SPANX expression was identified in any germ cell tumors. In comparison, all seven other CT-X antigens were expressed at variable frequencies in different types of germ cell tumors, more abundantly in spermatocytic seminomas and classic seminomas, as previously reported (20). Non-seminomatous germ cell tumors expressed CT-X antigens at variable but in general much lower frequencies, and these results are summarized in Table 1 in conjunction with the previous results on seminomas.

Embryonal carcinoma

In comparison to seminomas, embryonal carcinoma showed no or minimal expression of CT-X antigens. Of 24 embryonal carcinomas, 10 were totally negative for all CT-X antigens and 11 showed positivity in a very small subset of tumor cells, comprising < 1% of the tumor cells in most cases. The only exception to this very focal pattern of CT-X expression was seen in a case of mixed germ cell tumors that contained yolk sac tumor, choriocarcinoma, and embryonal carcinoma components. In this particular case, GAGE expression was observed in all three components, including in > 90% of the embryonal carcinoma component (Figure 1). The other 7 CT antigens tested were all negative in the embryonal carcinoma component.

Among the eight CT-X antigens analyzed, GAGE was most frequently expressed in embryonal carcinomas, observed in 10 of 24 (42%) cases. Other CT-X antigens were only expressed in 4% to 21% of cases, all in extremely focal patterns.

Yolk sac tumor

Among non-seminomatous GCTs, yolk sac tumors showed most frequent expression of CT-X antigens. Twenty yolk sac tumors were examined, including 3 pediatric yolk sac tumors, and CT-X expression was detected in 12 (60%) cases, 9 of them expressing 3 or more CT-X antigens. Two of the three pediatric yolk sac tumors were CT-X-positive but expressed only CT45 and not any other CT-X antigens. The extent of positivity is highly variable, from < 1% of tumor cells being positive to diffuse positivity in 80-100% of the tumor cells (Figure 2).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression of CT-X antigens in germ cell tumors.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong># examined</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seminoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YST (adult)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YST (pediatric)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teratoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choriocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGCN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent noted in parentheses.
* SS, spermatocytic seminoma; EC, embryonal carcinoma; YST, yolk sac tumor; ITGCN, intratubular germ cell neoplasia
** ITGCN was only evaluated for MAGE-A and CT7 by dual staining in conjunction with OCT3/4, NT, not tested.

Among the CT-X antigens, CT45, CT7, and MAGE-A were most frequently expressed in adult yolk sac tumors, detected in 59%, 43%, and 47% of cases, respectively. In comparison, CT10, GAGE, SAGE1, and NY-ESO-1 were expressed in 24-35% of the cases.

Teratoma

A component of mature or immature teratoma was identified in nine cases as part of mixed non-seminomatous germ cell tumor. These teratoma components showed either no CT-X expression (5/9) or minimal (4/9) expression in < 1% of the tumor cells. In one of the 4 positive cases, the same small cluster of cells were found to co-express MAGE-A, CT7, GAGE, and NY-ESO-1 (Figure 3). The other three cases were positive for one to three CT-X antigens.

Figure 1

Expression of CT-X antigens in embryonal carcinoma. Examples of MAGE-A (A), NY-ESO-1 (B), GAGE (C), and CT7 (D) expression are shown, illustrating the highly focal nature of CT-X expression in embryonal carcinoma, the only exception being the expression of GAGE in a case of mixed germ cell tumor with embryonal carcinoma component (C). (Magnification, 200X).

Choriocarcinoma

Only three choriocarcinomas were in this series and two of three were CT-X antigen-positive. One case showed expression of GAGE, MAGE-A, CT10, and CT45, but in highly variable
Introncular germ cell neoplasia (ITGCN)

CT-X-positive germ cells were often identified in atrophic seminiferous tubules adjacent to the germ cell tumors regardless of the CT-X expression status of the main tumor. Whether these represent ITGCN or residual spermatogonia or both could be difficult to distinguish histologically (Figure 4). Positive staining was observed in both cytotrophoblasts and syncytiotrophoblasts. The other case was only positive for CT45, detected in about 40% of the tumor cells.

Intratubular spermatocytic seminoma

In contrast to the rare expression of CT-X antigens in ITGCN, the intratubular component of the spermatocytic seminoma that is present in one of the two cases expressed all seven CT-X antigens in a homogeneous manner, similar to its invasive component (Figure 6).
Supporting these prior findings and expanding on our recent study (20), we now found that all pre-meiotically expressed CT-X proteins, including GAGE, SAGE, NY-ESO-1, CT7, CT10, and CT45, are diffusely expressed in spermatocytic seminomas, including in the intratubular in situ component. This finding supports the notion that spermatocytic seminomas are derived from CT-positive pre-meiotic adult germ cells. With the exception of CT10 and CT45 that show strongest expression in primary spermatocytes (27, 30), all antigens above have strongest expression in spermatogonia, indicating that spermatocytic seminomas likely originate from spermatogonia, as was previously proposed (24). However, since all CT-X antigens examined in the study are expressed at both spermatogonia and primary spermatocytes stages, albeit at different levels, it remains possible that spermatocytic seminomas can originate from primary spermatocytes (31). Different from these finding of 100% CT-X expression in spermatocytic seminoma, however, Satie et al. (25) detected NY-ESO-1 expression in only 8 of 16 spermatocytic seminomas. This less frequent expression of NY-ESO-1 might reflect the fact that all spermatogonia, while positive for MAGE-A, are not NY-ESO-1-positive (20, 33). Alternatively, the absence of NY-ESO-1 expression in some spermatocytic seminomas in their study might be due to a lower sensitivity in their immunohistochemical detection of NY-ESO-1, as different antibodies were used in their and our studies.

In contrast to the expression of almost all CT-X antigens in the intratubular spermatocytic seminomas, the in situ precursors of all testicular seminomatous and non-seminomatous germ cell tumors, ITGCN cells, were mostly CT-negative in our study, even when the corresponding invasive tumors were CT-positive. This finding was also observed by Stoop et al. (26) who noted that ITGCN cells in all three SSX-positive seminomas in their series were SSX-negative. In contrast to this finding, however, were studies that found ITGCN cells to be at least partially positive for MAGE-A in 13 of 15 cases (21), for NY-ESO-1 in 7 of 15 cases (25), and for CT45 expression in most, if not all, cases (28). This reason for this discrepancy in the prevalence of CT-X expression in ITGCN is not entirely clear. One main difference between the current and the earlier studies, however, was that we defined our ITGCN population by positive OCT3/4-positive, rather than relying on histological criteria alone. We found this to be necessary as atrophic tubules adjacent to the GCTs often contain residual spermatogonia that are histologically almost indistinguishable from ITGCN cells (Figure 5A vs. 5C). Based on this observation, we suspect that at least some of the CT-positive ITGCN cases described in the earlier literature might represent residual benign spermatogonia in atrophic tubules, and not ITGCN cells. Combining our data with those of earlier studies, we believe that ITGCN cells could be either CT-X-positive or -negative, and these two populations can co-exist in the same case. Since the

---

**Figure 4**

Expression of CT-X antigens in a case of choriocarcinoma. Heterogeneous staining patterns were observed, more diffusely positive for GAGE (A) and MAGE-A (B) than for CT45 (C) and CT10 (D). Both syncytiotrophoblasts and cytotrophoblasts were MAGE-A-positive. (Magnification, 200X).

---

**Figure 5**

Expression of CT-X antigens in ITGCN. Seminiferous tubules in two different cases of seminoma showed possible ITGCN (A and C). Using OCT3/4 (nuclear, brown) and CT7 (cytoplasmic, red) dual staining, one case (B) showed ITGCN with mutually exclusive expression between CT7-negative, OCT3/4-positive ITGCN cells; and CT7-positive, OCT3/4-negative residual spermatogonia in the same tubules, whereas the other case (D) showed only spermatogonia (i.e., CT7-positive, OCT3/4-negative), negative for ITGCN. The invasive component of this second tumor (D, on the right) was OCT3/4-positive and CT7-negative. In most CT-X positive cases (E, a case of CT7-positive mixed embryonal carcinoma and yolk sac tumor), the ITGCN component (F, OCT3/4-positive cells in brown) was negative for CT-X expression (CT7, red). However, CT-X-positive ITGCN cells could be identified in some cases, evidenced by co-expression of OCT3/4 and CT7 (E) [or OCT3/4 and MAGE-A (F)], co-existing with CT-X-negative ITGCN cells. (Magnification, 400X).
ITGCN cells toward germ cell lineage (29, 34), it is fully conceiv-
spermatogenesis. Since seminoma represents a differentiation of
be the partial differentiation of tumor cells along the pathway of
subsequently become CT-X antigen-positive in some, but not all,
PGC/gonocytes that normally would be CT-X antigen-negative,
precursors of type II GCTs are OCT3/4-positive pluripotent
nia, with variable staining of the more mature forms. (Magnification, 400X).
Adjacent non-neoplastic tubules showed strong staining of the spermatogo-
NY-ESO-1), or mixed nuclear and cytoplasmic antigens (MAGE-A and GAGE).
tubular tumor cells showed diffuse expression of CT-X antigens, as pure nuclear
All intra-
expression of CT antigens in seminoma than in GCTs that are
findings in our current study. One is the much more frequent
subsequent invasive phase. This concept is corroborated by two
mechanisms of CT gene activation. In spermatocytic seminoma, CT
expression reflects the intrinsic characteristics of the precursor
adult pre-meiotic germ cells and the expression is most homoge-
neous in pattern. In classic seminoma, CT expression is acquired
as a result of germ cell differentiation, likely from CT-negative
ITGCN cells. In NSGCTs, CT expression is likely due to epige-
etic aberrant activation or retained gonocyte phenotype, and
this would account for the heterogeneous and often focal CT-X
antigen expression pattern in these tumors.

In summary, CT antigens, normally expressed in pre-sper-
matrogonia in fetal testis and in spermatogonia and pre-meiotic
 spermatocytes in adult, are expressed most frequently in sper-
matocytic seminomas, less in classic seminomas, and least in
NSGCTs. Given their overlapping expression patterns in these
tumors, CT antigens are not useful diagnostic markers for GCTs.
However, their expression at a significant proportion of GCTs
does suggest CT antigens as candidates for targeted therapy for
GCTs. Biologically, our findings indicate three distinctive mech-
isms of CT gene activation. In spermatocytic seminoma, CT
expression reflects the intrinsic characteristics of the precursor
adult pre-meiotic germ cells and the expression is most homoge-
neous in pattern. In classic seminoma, CT expression is acquired
as a result of germ cell differentiation, likely from CT-negative
ITGCN cells. In NSGCTs, CT expression is likely due to epige-
etic aberrant activation or retained gonocyte phenotype, and
this would account for the heterogeneous and often focal CT-X
antigen expression pattern in these tumors.

Abbreviations
CT, Cancer/Testis; ITGCN, intratubular germ cell neoplasia;
NSGCT, non-seminomatous germ cell tumor
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Materials and methods

Tissues

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks of normal and tumor tissues were obtained from the Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine at Weill Cornell Medical College and Washington University School of Medicine following protocols approved by the two Institutional Review Boards (IRB). All hematoxylin and eosin stained tissue sections were evaluated and the diagnoses of seminoma and various components of NSGCTs were confirmed.

Monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies

The antibodies used are summarized in Table 2. Antibodies against GAGE, SAGE1, MAGE-A, and SPANX were purchased commercially. GAGE antibody produced against GAGE-7 is expected to react with all GAGE gene products due to the extreme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGE-A</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>BE1</td>
<td>1 µg/ml</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY-ESO-1</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>E978</td>
<td>1 µg/ml</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAGE</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>clone 26</td>
<td>0.1 µg/ml</td>
<td>BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT7</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>C7T-33</td>
<td>0.1 µg/ml</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT10</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>LX-CT10-5</td>
<td>3 µg/ml</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT45</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>LX-CT45-10</td>
<td>1:5000 (ascites)</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAGE1</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>1.5 µg/ml</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPANX</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>polyclonal</td>
<td>2.5 µg/ml</td>
<td>Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertsville, PA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Research antibodies produced in our laboratory, see text

Table 2

Anti-CT-X antibodies used in the present study.
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high sequence homology among the GAGE proteins. MAGE-A monoclonal antibody 6C1 produced against MAGE-A1 has been shown to be broad-reactive for gene products of MAGE-A multigene family, including MAGE-A1, A2, A3, A4, A6, A10, and A12 protein (36). SPANX antibody, obtained commercially, was produced against SPANX-C. However, due to the polyclonal nature of this antibody, it is highly likely that this antibody would also recognize SPANX-A and SPANX-B proteins. Antibodies against the other CT-X antigens NY-ESO-1, CT7, CT10, and CT45 were produced and characterized in our laboratory and have been previously described (37-40).

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis was performed using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues. Whole sections were used for non-seminomatous germ cell tumors, and expression in seminoma was evaluated using a tissue microarray (TMA), each case represented by three 0.6 mm tissue cores. Selective cases of seminoma were also evaluated on whole sections for the evaluation of ITGCN. Five µM tissue sections on coated slides were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and treated in H2O2 to block the endogenous peroxidase activity. The sections were then subjected to antigen retrieval by autoclaving for 15 minutes in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0. The sections were then incubated with the primary antibody for one hour at room temperature, followed by detection using Dako EnVision+ horseradish peroxidase mouse (or rabbit) detection system (DakoCytomation) and DAB as the chromogen. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin and evaluated. Any staining of the tumor cells is regarded as positive, and positive immunoreactivity was recorded as + to +++ based on the staining intensity. The staining pattern, either focal (<50%) or diffuse (>50%), was also recorded. Adult testis was used as positive control and immunoglobulin isotype controls were also included in all experiments.

For double immunostaining, the first antibody staining was performed as above, using DAB as chromogen. After DAB chromogen reaction, the slides were washed with Tris-buffered saline pH 7.0, blocked by Dual Endogenous Enzyme Block (DakoCytomation), and incubated with the second antibody for 30 minutes at room temperature, followed by detection using LSAB 2 System-Alkaline Phosphatase (DakoCytomation) and developed by Permanent Red chromogen. The slides were then counterstained and scored as described above.
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