Charting Immune Signaling Proteomes En Route to New Therapeutic Strategies
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Abstract

The activation state of an antitumor effector T cell in a tumor depends on the sum of all stimulatory signals and inhibitory signals that it receives in the tumor microenvironment. Accumulating data address the increasing complexity of these signals produced by a myriad of immune checkpoint molecules, cytokines, and metabolites. While reductionist experiments have identified key molecules and their importance in signaling, less clear is the integration of all these signals that allows T cells to guide their responses in health and in disease. Mass spectrometry–based proteomics is well poised to offer such insights, including monitoring emergence of resistance mechanisms to immunotherapeutics during treatments. A major application of this technology is in the discovery and characterization of small-molecule agents capable of enhancing the response to immunotherapeutic agents. Such an approach would reinvigorate small-molecule drug development aimed not at tumor cells but rather at tumor-resident T cells capable of producing dramatic and durable antitumor responses. Cancer Immunol Res; 3(7): 1–7. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

Over the past few years, it has become clear that immunotherapy, previously thought to be useful in only a few select malignancies, has considerable clinical activity in a variety of cancers, including lung, bladder, head and neck, cervical, and other cancers. This clinical response has been accomplished with the blockade of a single immunoinhibitory mechanism in the tumor microenvironment (TME), signaling through the PD-1 immune checkpoint receptor on the surface of T cells. Clinical outcomes have been dramatic, with very significant improvements in survival, as demonstrated by “raising the tail of the survival curve,” reflecting durable responses produced by these agents (1). However, depending on the cancer, less than half of the patients benefit with disease control (stable disease or better), so there remains considerable opportunity for improvement (2). There are three general categories of mechanisms whereby tumors evade rejection by the immune system: (i) there may be insufficient numbers of tumor-reactive effector T cells generated within the lymphoid compartment; (ii) T cells may not extravasate into the tumor parenchyma; and (iii) if T cells enter the tumor parenchyma, they may be shut down by a number of different immunosuppressive mechanisms operational within the TME. Here, we focus on the latter, the situation in which understanding immune signaling proteomes could lead to the discovery of new immunotherapeutic strategies to improve the overall efficacy of this modality.

What mass spectrometry–based signaling proteomics brings to the table

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, depending on the tumor, may be exposed to one or more likely many of these immunosuppressive processes, each delivering a negative signal that is transduced within the T cell through signaling cascades, producing a complex network of interacting signaling pathways. Mapping this immune signaling proteome could provide insight into these networks, which could be a biomarker for resistance to...
immunotherapeutic agents, and may suggest new immunotherapeutic strategies with agents designed to disrupt critical, converged downstream signaling pathways. Figure 1 is a cartoon showing how mass spectrometry (MS)–based signaling proteomics can be used to create a molecular snapshot of T-cell activation circuits to facilitate the design of small-molecule inhibitor strategies. Signaling in cells is increasingly recognized as a complex adaptive system produced by contextual expression of cellular gene products and their interaction with environmental features and cues. Signaling proteins (e.g., kinases and substrates) act as heterogeneous agents that are decision makers and evolve over time. Signaling proteins usually do not work in isolation but act in multienzyme complexes and in networks. Emergent behavior results from integrated effects of signaling proteins that affects cell physiology and ultimately drug response. The major challenge and thus opportunity is to understand how components work together and respond to the myriad of on/off molecular switches that affect T-cell recognition of tumor cells.

One major opportunity may lie in utilizing the power of system-level MS-based proteomics to embrace complexity of signaling in T cells. MS-based proteomics allows a system-wide and quantitative view of signaling and drug action in cells of interest, be they tumor cells or immune cells (6–8). Proteomics has the ability to better inform about active pathways and networks governing T-cell responses in normal cells or tumor cells, consisting of many adaptor proteins, signaling kinases, and phosphatases, and connected within feedback loops. To comprehend this complexity, mass spectrometry facilitates the dissection of these networks by quantification of peptides and posttranslationally modified (phosphorylated, acetylated, and ubiquitinated) peptides and can do so in a dynamic fashion. Ultimately, this knowledge can be converted into network maps of T-cell signaling that enable molecular snapshots of T-cell activation circuits and can facilitate a strategy to insert small molecules onto this network.

Studies examining system-level changes in phosphorylation in tumor cells have uncovered sometimes paradoxical features. We have observed proteins in which phosphorylation is elevated following kinase inhibition, and other groups have started to identify similar compensatory feedback that mediated changes in cancer cells (9–13). In tumor cells, these system-level changes could modulate the effects of kinase inhibitors by activating cell-intrinsic compensatory mechanisms sustaining cell survival. Similarly, these events have been observed in yeast, in which kinase loss can result in increased levels of phosphorylation in some substrates.
We suspect that similar events occur in immune cells, where therapeutics, such as checkpoint antibodies, may have paradoxical signaling effects that can only be identified through an unbiased and large-scale discovery experiment, as offered by MS-based approaches. In addition, therapeutics such as kinase inhibitors or epigenetic agents may affect immune cells in novel ways that are best defined through global proteomic approaches.

Charting Signaling Immunoproteomes

A few studies have reported results using MS-based proteomics related to T-cell activation. Quantitative phosphoproteomic studies in the Jurkat T-cell leukemia line identified protein modules activated upon TCR stimulation (14). Pathways of protein phosphorylation have been identified to be preorganized prior to TCR activation, and these pathways can dictate the ability of the T cell to mediate effector function in response to signals activating the TCRs (15). Therefore, organization of signaling cascades, mediated by protein kinases and phosphatases, and written in the language of phosphoproteomic patterns, modulates tumor-specific T cells and their responses both to TCR activation by tumor antigens and to checkpoint inhibitory or stimulatory pathways. Phosphoproteomic studies have also shed light on the manner in which T cells integrate cytokine stimulation into signaling networks (16). Although a few studies have reported global signaling events in primary T lymphocytes using MS-based proteomics, there are no studies to date using tumor-infiltrating T cells directly from the tumor. Further opportunities exist to look at posttranslational modifications (PTM) beyond phosphorylation. It is well known that the activation state, localization, turnover, and protein–protein interactions are determined by PTMs beyond phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and acetylation (17). Multiple PTMs can coexist on a single protein, and this enables cells to fine-tune protein functions (18, 19). Results from some studies have suggested cross-talk among kinases, acetylase/deacetylase enzymes, and ubiquitin-modifying enzymes, including reports suggesting links between tyrosine kinases and acetylated proteins (p53 and Myc; refs. 20–27). Combining studies examining immune signaling events with methods to address PTM cross-talk may provide new opportunities for elucidating complex network-level interactions and opportunities for novel therapeutic approaches. Such studies will be enabled through a new strategy called sequential enrichment of PTMs (SEPTM) that enables quantifying the simultaneous PTM-omes under different stimuli or physiologic conditions from the same set of samples (rather than biological replicates; refs. 18, 28).

Convergent Opportunity Areas for MS-Based Analysis of Signaling Proteomes

System-wide signaling states related to immune suppression

There are a myriad of potential immune suppressive mechanisms exploited by tumors to evade rejection. The finding that a single intervention with anti-PD-1 can reverse tumor-mediated evasion of immune-mediated killing suggests that there are "driver" immunosuppressive mechanisms operational in subsets of cancer patients. Although some aspects of the CTLA-4 and PD-1 effects of signaling in T cells are known, few studies investigated how these affect global signaling networks, especially in tumor-derived T cells (29). Global mechanisms of other checkpoint molecules, such as BTLA and TIM3, remain poorly understood. These results suggest an opportunity to produce an unbiased and system-level analysis of protein phosphorylation in T cells facilitating characterization of both basal signaling networks in T cells and the effects of perturbation by TCR stimulation and checkpoint activation. A well-designed MS-based approach can determine the effect of checkpoint inhibitors/stimulators on tumor-derived T-cell signaling pathways, characterize signaling cross-talk, and facilitate future rational combinatorial therapeutic strategies.

Success in these proteomics studies can affect outcome of patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. First, they offer the possibility to examine potential biomarkers in T cells that can help predict which patients may benefit from these types of therapy. Patterns of phosphorylation (or other PTMs) may be related to therapeutic sensitivity and/or resistance. Second, studies on global signaling may guide the clinical development of combinatorial strategies. For example, because kinases drive protein phosphorylation, and numerous clinical-grade kinase inhibitors exist, it is possible to envision therapeutic cotargeting strategies for checkpoint inhibitors and kinase inhibitors, where the underlying target is the T cell, not the tumor cell. There have been few inroads in understanding system-wide mechanisms of checkpoint inhibitors and cross-talk with kinase pathways. Kinases still remain an important target group for cancer therapy, yet academic research appears biased toward kinases with well-established roles in signaling—large regions of the kinome remain unexplored and could serve as biomarkers as well as present therapeutic opportunities for immuno-oncology (30).

Future studies should aim to characterize these phosphorylation networks induced by tumor antigen and other costimulatory/inhibitory molecules using global and less biased methods that MS offers. One goal could be to better characterize a targetable immunosuppressive checkpoint on T cells and nominate additional regulatory circuits or signaling proteins that may affect sensitivity or resistance to immune checkpoint therapy.

Tumor phosphorylation networks and relationships with pY peptide presentation

Another major opportunity relates to understanding how tumor signaling and production of tumor-specific phosphopeptides may relate to tumor rejection and how this knowledge can drive new therapeutic insights. Phosphopeptides can be presented by MHC molecules, and these phosphopeptides can serve as strong tumor antigens, leading to T-cell activation and tumor rejection (31–34). Recent studies in human leukemia identified tumor-specific phosphopeptides and demonstrated differences across different disease cells (35). These results parallel phosphoproteomic studies in human tumors, which can demonstrate differences in phosphorylation patterns even among histologically similar types of tumors (36, 37). One opportunity will be to align patterns of altered tumor phosphoproteomes with phosphopeptides presented by MHC molecules and eliciting recognition by tumor cells. Experiments to conduct these studies would be quite ambitious, because fine-level mapping and quantification of phosphopeptides in tumor would require access to both large numbers of cases and rapid collection and optimized storage of tumors to allow protein phosphorylation to be maintained. Peptide elution from tumor tissues, as opposed to cells, would also require large amounts of starting materials. Challenges aside, the potential exists to connect signaling events in tumors to antigen presentation. As protein phosphorylation is a dynamic process that can be manipulated by drugs (kinase inhibitors), the opportunity exists to perturb systems to alter...
tumor phosphorylation events, facilitating phosphopeptide presentation to antigen-presenting cells (APC) and T-cell recognition. This could usher in new approaches that use small-molecule kinase or phosphatase inhibitors to drive production of immunogenic phosphopeptides and combine these with mechanisms to enhance immune response (checkpoint blockade, increasing T-cell influx into tumors, etc.) to improve antitumor responses. While discovery approaches may depend on MS-based approaches, it appears now possible to directly examine tumor tissues for peptide–MHC molecule interactions. Proximity ligation assays can be used to directly visualize protein complexes in tumor tissues, and a recent report used this technology to demonstrate IDH1R132H presentation in tumor tissues (38, 39). This may then enable biomarkers to identify existence of drug-induced changes in phosphopeptide–MHC interactions on tumor cells.

Signaling proteomes in advanced coculture models

The major limitation of most in vitro cell-based experiments, especially those used in MS-based proteomics, is the removal of tumor cells or immune cells from their TME. Ideally, it would be best to study immune signaling in models consisting of both tumor cells and T cells. Not only the microenvironment but the true effects of checkpoint engagement, for example, may only be evident after TCR engagement with MHC/peptide and in the presence of other coreceptors or adhesion molecules. The major disadvantage of a coculture system is the inability to assign contributory peptides/proteins from each cell population. It will be important to overcome this limitation and allow development of a platform to study signaling networks within T cells alongside those of tumor cells. To overcome the inability to assign peptides from each cell population from a coculture system (for example, T cell/tumor cells), two groups recently reported a novel technology for quantitative MS-based proteomics, called “cell type-specific labeling using amino acid precursors” (CTAP; refs. 40, 41). This technology uses stable isotope labeling of proteins in analogy to the widely used stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC; ref. 42). However, in contrast with SILAC, CTAP uses amino acid "precursors" that can only be utilized by specifically engineered cells, allowing for the simultaneous metabolic labeling of two cocultured cell types with different abilities to generate labeled amino acids. This enables differential quantitative proteomics analyses of more complex coculture systems that more accurately incorporate important elements of the TME, e.g., interactions between cancer cells and T cells. This technology still remains complex, and it is unclear how immune cells can tolerate culture conditions lacking key amino acid precursors. If technically achievable, further advancements can be made through coculture models of T cells and primary tumor cells from patients to recapitulate the tumor/immune cell interface. Generating these primary tumor cells directly from tumor tissues along with T cells allows the ability to generate coculture model systems, for example, for studying influence of tumor cells on T-cell phosphoproteomes and responses of checkpoint inhibitor antibodies (43–45).

Drug:protein network curation and drug repurposing for immune therapy

There is currently a high interest and demand for identifying small molecules that amplify antitumor immune therapy and broaden the patient base that responds to immune checkpoint targeting. As a consequence, various cell-based high-throughput drug screens are being conducted. However, in addition to the aforementioned challenge to distinguish target proteins from tumor and microenvironment cells, small-molecule drugs display wide ranges of target selectivity. This is well recognized for kinase inhibitors (46, 47), but has also been reported for other drug classes, such as histone deacetylase (HDAC; refs. 48, 49) and poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors (50). There is mounting evidence that targeting multiple proteins simultaneously does not necessarily imply a safety liability, but that such polypharmacology can also be advantageous by enhancing a compound’s cellular activity. Accordingly, the cellular mechanism of action of polypharmacology drugs can be complex and either involve one or more targets in addition to the intended target (51) or can be entirely unrelated to it. As this may similarly apply for small molecules that modulate the efficacy of anticancer immune therapy, proteome-wide characterization of drug target profiles in cancer and microenvironment cells may be necessary to dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying immunostimulatory drug activities of interest. Chemical proteomics is a post-genomic drug-affinity purification approach that is powered by modern high-resolution MS and bioinformatics and that is excellently suited to this task (52). For instance, applying a chemical proteomics approach, we recently described several protein targets to be engaged by the multitargeted kinase inhibitors dasatinib and sunitinib in primary lung tumors (53). Interestingly, comparison with the target profiles of lung cancer cell lines and mouse xenografts suggested kinase targets not only in the lung cancer cells themselves but also in the TME, particularly cells of hematopoietic origin. Combining chemical proteomics with sophisticated labeling technologies, such as CTAP, can be a powerful approach to dissect target profiles and mechanisms of action of immune-stimulatory drug effects in cocultures of cancer cells with, for instance, T cells, ultimately leading to novel actionable targets, drug combinations, and therapeutic strategies. Figure 2 shows a possible chemical proteomics workflow using T-cell activation screen data to drive identification of targets that mediate immune-stimulatory drug activities.

Conclusions

Regulation of tumor cell survival by receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling has parallels between T-cell activation driven by the engaged TCR. Both systems require cooperation of signaling molecules, including kinases, phosphatases, and key adaptor molecules. These molecules, acting in a coordinated fashion and interconnected through networks, are able to fine-tune responses. Similarly, deregulation of both systems can lead to disease pathology, either transformation of cells by RTK leading to cancer, or deregulation of T-cell signaling leading to immune suppression in cancer or autoimmune diseases. While reductionist experiments have identified key molecules and their importance in signaling, the time has come to produce insights that arise from integration of all signaling inputs. MS-based proteomics is well poised to offer such insights. Through this approach, insights will be realized related to monitoring emergence of resistance mechanisms to immunotherapeutics during treatments (e.g., by detection of phosphorylation or dephosphorylation events associated with a resistance pathway) that can also contribute to biomarker development. In addition, we believe that a major application of this technology is in the discovery and characterization of small molecules capable of enhancing the response to immunotherapeutic agents. Global studies can also inform new directions for
the development of immunotherapeutic approaches gearing toward neutralizing resistance to specific immunotherapeutics analogous to studies that are identifying global mechanism of resistance to small-molecule agents used in cancer therapy. Combining these landscape approaches with functional experiments and chemical proteomics allows assessment of drug effects on tumor and key nontumor immune cells, and therefore provide a rationale for specific combinatory approaches. Achieving the promise of immune proteomics will require collaborative teams of immune biologists, immune therapy physicians, experts in cellular signaling, and experts in mass spectrometry.
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