Antigen-Presenting Intratumoral B Cells Affect CD4⁺ TIL Phenotypes in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients
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Abstract

Effective immunotherapy options for patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) are becoming increasingly available. The immunotherapy focus has been on tumor-infiltrating T cells (TILs); however, tumor-infiltrating B cells (TIL-Bs) have also been reported to correlate with NSCLC patient survival. The function of TIL-Bs in human cancer has been understudied, with little focus on their role as antigen-presenting cells and their influence on CD4⁺ TILs. Compared with other immune subsets detected in freshly isolated primary tumors from NSCLC patients, we observed increased numbers of intratumoral B cells relative to B cells from tumor-adjacent tissues. Furthermore, we demonstrated that TIL-Bs can efficiently present antigen to CD4⁺ TILs and alter the CD4⁺ TIL phenotype using an in vitro antigen-presentation assay. Specifically, we identified three CD4⁺ TIL responses to TIL-Bs, which we categorized as activated, antigen-associated, and nonresponsive. Within the activated and antigen-associated CD4⁺ TIL population, activated TIL-Bs (CD19⁺CD20⁻CD69⁺CD27⁺CD21⁻) were associated with an effector T-cell response (IFNγ⁺ CD4⁺ TILs). Alternatively, exhausted TIL-Bs (CD19⁺CD20⁺CD69⁻CD27⁻CD21⁻) were associated with a regulatory T-cell phenotype (FoxP3⁺ CD4⁺ TILs). Our results demonstrate a new role for TIL-Bs in NSCLC tumors in their interplay with CD4⁺ TILs in the tumor microenvironment, establishing them as a potential therapeutic target in NSCLC immunotherapy, Cancer Immunol Res; 5(10), 898–907. ©2017 AACR.

Introduction

The goal of cancer immunotherapy is to use the immune system to target cancer cells without harming normal cells; for example, kill cancer cells but not lung epithelial cells in non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. Vaccination with tumor-specific antigens and blockade of the inhibitory PD-1–PD-L1 pathway on CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ tumor-infiltrating T cells (TILs; refs. 1–11) have increased survival in lung cancer patients. Despite these successes, only 20% to 40% of lung cancer patients respond to the current immunotherapies (7–11). In addition, TIL-Bs are organized in tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) with CD4⁺ TILs, and these structures also positively correlate with survival in NSCLC (18–19). These correlations suggest an anti-tumor role for TIL-Bs in NSCLC, however, there is still controversy as to whether or not TIL-Bs have an anti- or protumor role in the tumor microenvironment.

Here, we address the contribution of tumor-infiltrating B cells (TIL-Bs) to the immune response in these primary tumors. Similar to CD8⁺ and effector CD4⁺ TILs, TIL-Bs positively correlate with overall survival in several solid tumors, including NSCLC (12–19). In addition, TIL-Bs are organized in tertiary lymphoid structures (TLS) with CD4⁺ TILs, and these structures positively correlate with survival in NSCLC (18–19). These correlations suggest an anti-tumor role for TIL-Bs in NSCLC; however, there is still controversy as to whether or not TIL-Bs have an anti- or protumor role in the tumor microenvironment. In addition to correlating with survival, TIL-Bs generate anti-tumor antibodies (19–23). Germain and colleagues demonstrated that germinal center somatic hypermutation and class switch recombination machineries are activated in TIL-Bs from NSCLC patient tumors (19). Further, approximately 50% of patient samples tested have antibody reactivity to tumor antigens (19). It has also been suggested in murine models that TIL-Bs generate antitumor cytokines, directly kill tumor cells, and present tumor antigens (24–31). Antigen presentation is an important function of B cells. Activated B cells from control donor peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) present antigens to CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (27, 29). Autoimmunity and allograft rejection studies describe the importance of B cells in the formation of TLS and in presentation of auto- or allo-antigens that ultimately influence the CD4⁺ T-cell phenotype (25, 29–31). TIL-Bs may also present antigens more effectively than tumor dendritic cells (DC), due to selective presentation of cognate antigen through surface Ig molecules. It is currently hypothesized that CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ TILs are primed by
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DCs in the lymph node and then TIL-Bs elicit a recall response in the tumor. Thus, TIL-Bs serve as a local antigen-presenting cell (APC) by providing secondary stimulation to CD4+ TILs, ultimately increasing their survival and proliferation, which is similar to what occurs in chronic viral infection (32–33).

However, TIL-Bs have also been reported to have a protumor function. TIL-Bs can suppress the antitumor immune response, and the tumor microenvironment can promote TIL-B dysfunction. The most prevalent TIL-B–mediated immunosuppression is secretion of IL10 by regulatory B cells (Breg; refs. 34–38). In NSCLC patients, the frequency and absolute number of IL10-producing Bregs is elevated and positively associated with advanced clinical stage (36). Further, Bregs can secrete IL35 and TGFβ, which can also suppress the immune response (39–41).

There is also evidence for B cell–mediated immunoregulation in addition to Bregs. In autoimmunity and autotransplantation, removal of B cells from TLS results in decreased T-cell function, and B cells (specifically Bregs) can skew the population toward a regulatory T cell (Treg) phenotype (25, 30–31, 38). In cancer, B cells can convert CD45–CD25+ T cells into Tregs when cocultured with tongue squamous cell carcinoma cell lines (38). Within this same study, Zhou and colleagues demonstrated that IL10–TIL-Bs positively correlated with increased Tregs and decreased survival. B cell–mediated immunoregulation has also been shown using B and T cells isolated from the ascites of ovarian cancer patients (37). However, studies of TIL-Bs from NSCLC patients have not yet been published.

Based on preliminary studies of TIL-Bs in NSCLC patients, we hypothesized that TIL-Bs present antigen to CD4+ TILs and promote a prolonged antitumor immune response. However, given the evidence for B-cell exhaustion in the context of chronic antigen exposure (42–43), we also hypothesized that B cells may be exhausted in some NSCLC patients. To test these hypotheses, we compared TIL-Bs to other immune cell subsets that infiltrated primary NSCLC tumors. We also assessed the function of TIL-Bs as APCs in the tumor microenvironment. To further assess TIL-B–mediated immunoregulation of an anti- or protumor CD4+ TIL response, we analyzed the association of an activated or exhausted TIL-B phenotype with the proportion of CD4+ Th1 cells and Tregs. Our results show that B cells are increased at the site of the tumor relative to tumor-adjacent tissues and have variable function as APCs in NSCLC. In addition, we demonstrate that the activated or exhausted TIL-B phenotype predicts the CD4+ TIL phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Patient tissues

All NSCLC tissues were acquired under a University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus and National Jewish Health Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved protocol with written informed consent obtained from each patient in conjunction with the University of Colorado Lung Cancer SPORE. There were no restrictions on cancer subtype, smoking status, age, race, or prior adjuvant therapy; patients were segregated by tumor subtype after final histological analysis. Control donor PBLs were collected through a material transfer agreement with Bonfils Blood Donation Center (Denver, CO). Disease-free control lung tissue was collected through an IRB-approved protocol at National Jewish Health. Control splenocytes and untreated HIV+ PBLs were collected through an IRB-approval protocol at the University of Colorado. NSCLC pleural effusions and additional NSCLC primary tumors were provided through collaboration at the University of Pittsburgh and the University of Pennsylvania or through an IRB-approved protocol with the National Disease Research Interchange. All patient demographics are summarized in Table 1.

Sample processing and lymphocyte selection

Patient-matched PBL samples were collected by venipuncture into 10 mL whole blood tubes with heparin solution (BD Biosciences Vacutainer Systems) the day or week prior to surgery, and lymphocytes were enriched using a Ficoll gradient (GE Healthcare). Tumor and tumor adjacent tissue in each patient specimen was identified by University of Colorado pathologists. Gross identification of the tumor was performed, and tumor adjacent tissue was procured at the farthest distance from the tumor allowed by surgical margins. Within 1 hour of resection, lung tumor and tumor-adjacent tissue were mechanically disrupted and incubated with the enzyme Liberase DL (Roche, 50 μg/mL) for 25 minutes at 37°C to facilitate the release of lymphocytes from tissue. After the incubation, the tissue was passed through a 100-μm filter to obtain a single-cell suspension. Cells were resuspended in complete medium (RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 1% l-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 10% FCS) for counting. Cells were evenly distributed for cell staining and/or resuspended in 1 mL of Dynal buffer for cell separation (PBS supplemented with 25% BSA). B cells and T cells were positively isolated using the Dynal CD19, CD8, or CD4 Positive Isolation Kit (Invitrogen) and the manufacturer’s recommended protocol, including magnetic bead detachment. Positively isolated cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Adenocarcinoma Squamous cell other</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>Previous or current smoker</th>
<th>Mutations*</th>
<th>Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>45–86</td>
<td>24 M, 34 F</td>
<td>pT1a–pT4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3 KRAS mut</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 EGFR+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 TTF-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 p53 mut</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen presentation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56–71</td>
<td>4 M, 6 F</td>
<td>pT1a–pT3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 KRAS</td>
<td>2 NED, 2 DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49 (72.0%)</td>
<td>11 (16.2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>8 (11.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survival key: NED, no evident disease; DOD, dead of disease.

*Specific mutations are not matched with the lung cancer subtypes.
were counted and used for functional assays. Using directly conjugated monoclonal antibodies against CD19, CD8, CD4, and CD3 (clones HIB19, RPA-T8, RPA-T4, and HIT3a, respectively, Biolegend), approximately 1,000 cells from each patient were stained and analyzed by flow cytometry to verify >90% purity of positively isolated B and T cells.

Isolation of CD11c

CD11c

+ myeloid cells

CD11c

+ myeloid cells were isolated by culturing single-cell suspensions for 2 hours at 37°C for adherence to the culture plate. Subsequently, adherent cells were stimulated for 24 hours with LPS (100 ng/mL, Sigma) and harvested the next day for an in vitro AP assay. Prior to the assay, cells were analyzed with monoclonal antibodies to CD11c, HLA-DR, and CD86 (clones 3.9, L243, and IT2.2, respectively, Biolegend) to verify mature CD11c

+ myeloid cells.

Antibody staining and flow cytometry

Single-cell suspensions of tumor and tumor-adjacent tissue were stained with surface antibodies for CD19, CD20, CD3, CD8, CD4, CD56, and CD11c (clones HIB19, 2H7, HIT3a, RPA-T8, RPA-T4, HCD56, and 3.9, respectively, Biolegend) and an intracellular stain for the transcription factor FoxP3 (clone PCH101, Ebioscience) for Figure 1 and for CD19, CD20, CD21, CD69, CD27, and HLA-DR (clones HIB19, 2H7, HB5, FN50, O323, and L243, respectively, Biolegend and Ebioscience) for Figs. 2 and 3. Positive and negative controls for staining were PBLs from patients or control donors, lymphocytes from control donor spleens, lymphocytes from control (disease-free) lungs, lymphocytes from NSCLC pleural metastases, and PBL from untreated, HIV

+ patients. Flow cytometry was conducted using an LSRII (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.). For Fig. 2B, total lymphocyte infiltration was calculated using the following equation: [Total cell number from tissue × % lymphocyte gate × % lymphocyte population, i.e., CD19

+ ]/[mass of tissue in grams].

Antigen presentation (AP) assay

CD4

+ T cells, B cells, and DCs were selected from the indicated tissues. CD4

+ T cells were labeled with CFSE and cocultured at an equivalent ratio with B cells or DCs ± the indicated protein ± costimulation with anti-CD40 (clone 5C3, 4 µg/mL, Biolegend) and anti-CD28 (clone CD28.2, 1 µg/mL, Ebioscience). Anti–HLA-DR, DP, DQ was utilized to block MHC class II antigen presentation (clone Tu39, 1 µg/mL, BD Biosciences). CD4

+ T cells were left unstimulated for a negative control and were activated with plate bound anti-CD3 (clone OKT3, 0.5 µg/mL, Ebioscience) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 µg/mL) as a positive control for proliferation and intracellular protein staining. Control PBL donors with increased exhausted B cells (solid triangles) were rested for 2 days in vitro without stimulation to decrease CD21 and CD27 expression. Control PBL donors with increased activated B cells (open triangles) were used ex vivo. The cells were cocultured for 5 days and were then harvested and restimulated for 4 to 6 hours in vitro with PMA (0.1 µmol/L, Sigma) and ionomycin (0.5 mmol/L, Sigma) plus BD Golgistop (Monensin, BD recommended concentration) for intracellular cytokine analysis. Cell division was analyzed by CFSE dilution and Ki67 nuclear protein. Ki67, IFNγ,
and FoxP3 expression (clones Ki-67, 4S.B3, and PCH101, respectively, Biolegend and Ebioscience) was detected using a nuclear intracellular staining kit (FoxP3 staining kit, Ebioscience). Flow cytometry was performed as above.

Generation of cell lysates and purified XAGE-1b protein
NSCLC patient tumor lysates were generated by two freeze/thaw cycles followed by sonication. EBV lysates were prepared by 0.45-μm filtration of B95-8 EBV cell line supernatant and freeze/thaw lysate. XAGE-1b protein was purified utilizing a previously described method (44).

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.0. In vitro experiments were repeated at least three times for statistical significance. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant, and statistical differences were measured as follows: Fig. 1B, Fig. 2B, Fig. 4B–E, and Fig. 5B used the paired, one-sided Student \( t \) test, and Fig. 3A, Fig. 4A and Fig. 6C used the unpaired, one-sided Student \( t \) test.

Results
B cells are increased in the tumors of NSCLC patients
To define the role of TIL-Bs in human NSCLC, we first performed a comprehensive quantification of intratumoral TILs compared with lymphocytes in tumor-adjacent tissue using flow cytometric analyses. TIL-Bs were increased in frequency and total number compared with tumor-adjacent tissue in all subtypes of NSCLC, but most prominently in adenocarcinomas (Fig. 1A and B). Whereas Tregs have been well studied in NSCLC patients (45), TIL-Bs have been understudied. Thus, because we observed an increase of TIL-Bs in NSCLC tumors, we assayed their function as an APC.
TIL-Bs presented antigen to CD4⁺ TILs

We first established an in vitro assay to test B cells for antigen presentation. Utilizing healthy donor splenocytes, B cells were cocultured with CFSE-labeled T cells and T-cell proliferation measured as a marker of successful antigen presentation. As expected, CD4⁺ T cells from control PBL divided in the presence of B cells with EBV lysates, but not in the presence of EBV lysates and an MHC class II blocking antibody (Fig. 3A–B). In addition, antigen presentation by B cells was similar to CD11c⁺ myeloid cells in this assay (Fig. 3A).

Next, we examined the expression of antigen presenting molecules, MHC class II (HLA-DR) on TIL-Bs. Most TIL-Bs expressed MHC class II, although at lower levels than in B cells from control, healthy donor spleen (Fig. 4A). We compared TIL-Bs to other intratumoral CD11c⁺ myeloid cells, which are potent APCs. Although the density of B cells in the tumor relative to the tumor-adjacent tissue increased more than the density of CD11c⁺ myeloid cells (Fig. 2B), there were similar total numbers of intratumoral TIL-Bs and CD11c⁺ myeloid cells in NSCLC (Fig. 4B). Because these findings suggested an APC function for TIL-Bs, we utilized the AP assay to determine if TIL-Bs present antigen to CD4⁺ TILs in NSCLC patients. As with cells from healthy donor splenocytes, CD4⁺ T cells divided in the presence of B cells with EBV lysate (Fig. 4C). Further, we tested if patient-derived autologous tumor lysate and a purified cancer–testis protein, XAGE-1b, were presented by TIL-Bs to CD4⁺ TILs. We identified three types of CD4⁺ TIL responses: activated responses, antigen-associated responses, and no responses. Activation of some CD4⁺ TIL responses did not require exogenous antigen, just the addition of TIL-Bs (Fig. 4D, purple). Antigen-associated CD4⁺ TIL responses occurred when exogenous antigen was added to the TIL-B coculture (Fig. 4D–E, green). In this group, CD4⁺ TILs responded to addition of EBV lysate, autologous tumor lysate, and purified XAGE-1b protein. Lastly, there were patients with no CD4⁺ TIL responses regardless of addition of TIL-Bs alone or TIL-Bs with exogenous antigen (Fig. 4D–E, blue).

Exhausted and activated TIL-B phenotypes found in NSCLC patients

We analyzed B-cell exhaustion as one mechanism to explain CD4⁺ TIL nonresponsiveness to TIL-B antigen presentation. B-cell exhaustion was first described in the tonsils of HIV⁺ patients as a memory population of B cells with downregulation of CD21 and CD27 (42–43). In some studies, there is evidence of decreased function and increased inhibitory receptors on exhausted B cells; however, the phenotype is still being defined. We used untreated HIV⁺ PBL as a positive control for downregulation of CD21 and CD27 on CD21⁺ B cells and NSCLC pleural metastases as a positive control for upregulation of CD27 and CD21 on CD69⁺ B cells. We also utilized control PBLs to demonstrate CD21 and CD27 expression on B cells ex vivo from adult donors (Fig. 5A). We identified both activated (CD69⁺ HLA-DR⁺ CD27⁺ CD21⁺) and exhausted (CD69⁻ HLA-DR⁻ CD27⁻ CD21⁻) TIL-B phenotypes in NSCLC tumors; however, NSCLC patient tumors had more activated than exhausted TIL-Bs (Fig. 5B).

TIL-B phenotype associates with CD4⁺ TIL phenotype

Because we observed both activated and exhausted TIL-Bs in NSCLC and a preferential expansion of CD4⁺ TILs over CD8⁺ TILs in AP assays (Fig. 6A), we queried whether TIL-Bs associated with a
particular CD4⁺ TIL phenotype. To determine whether the TIL-B phenotype correlated with the CD4⁺ TIL phenotype, the TIL-B exhaustion profile prior to the AP assay was analyzed with the final CD4⁺ TIL phenotype at the end of our AP assay. Tregs were identified as CD4⁺ FoxP3⁺ IFNγ⁻ and Th1 cells were identified as CD4⁺ FoxP3⁻ IFNγ⁺ (Fig. 6B). B cells from control donors that had induced B-cell exhaustion and exhausted TIL-Bs from NSCLC patients correlated with increased Tregs at the end of the AP assay (Fig. 6C). Conversely, B cells from control donors that had activated B cells and activated TIL-Bs from NSCLC patients correlated with increased Th1 CD4⁺ TILs at the end of the AP assay (Fig. 6C). Finally, we examined the disease status of the small cohort of patients that had follow-up data from the start of the study. Patients with TIL-Bs that did not efficiently present antigen either had relapsed disease or were deceased (n = 4, Table 1). In contrast, the patients that had either activated or antigen-associated CD4⁺ TIL responses remained tumor-free. These results suggest the hypothesis that some NSCLC patients have a more activated tumor microenvironment and TIL-B antigen presentation, which may contribute to the overall quality of the immune response in NSCLC patients. However, further investigation and more patient samples are required to confirm these findings.

**Discussion**

Conclusions regarding the function of TIL-Bs in human cancer are usually either anti- and protumor, depending on the study. However, in NSCLC patients, evidence suggests an antitumor role for TIL-Bs. TIL-Bs generate antibodies to tumors, and detection of TIL-Bs and TLS correlates with better prognosis. Despite these reports, comprehensive studies on the association of TIL-Bs with other immune subsets had not been completed and TIL-Bs had not been thoroughly studied as APCs in NSCLC patients. Further, many studies on the immune contexture in primary NSCLC tumors are done in histological sections, not in fresh, *ex vivo*
Our data fill the gaps described above and provide two observations about TIL-Bs in NSCLC patients. First, we demonstrated that TIL-Bs can present antigen to CD4+ T cells in some NSCLC patients. Second, we determined that the TIL-B phenotype, activated or exhausted, associates with the CD4+ TIL phenotype. Consequently, we suggest a two-pronged model for TIL-B function in NSCLC patients. In the antigen presentation part of the model, TIL-Bs can present endogenous tumor antigens to CD4+ TILs spontaneously (activated responses) or after re-stimulation with antigen (antigen-associated responses) or not at all (no responses). In the immunoregulation part of the model, the CD4+ TIL phenotype can be predicted by the prevalence of TIL-B exhaustion or activation markers. Thus, the TIL-B phenotype influences the CD4+ TIL phenotype, which may determine if downstream cytolytic CD8+ TIL responses are further activated or suppressed in NSCLC patients.

To understand this two-pronged model of TIL-B function, we first considered the two modalities for TIL-B function. The "B cell–intrinsic model" suggests recruitment of TIL-Bs to the tumor by IFNγ produced by T cells. TIL-Bs in this model do not form TLS with CD4+ TILs and suppress antitumor function via the secretion of the suppressive cytokines IL10 and IL35 (30, 34–41). This intrinsic model does not support our data on antigen presentation and immunoregulation as both of these functions require cell-to-cell contact. In contrast, the "B cell-extrinsic model" suggests that TIL-Bs are recruited to the tumor site and form TLS with CD4+ TILs to influence the overall immune response (30). Our hypothesis that TIL-Bs present antigen to CD4+ TILs and promote an antitumor immune response supports the extrinsic model of TIL-B function. Our hypothesis was supported by the observation of TIL-Bs presenting antigen to CD4+ TILs in NSCLC patients. Support for antigen-specific TIL-B responses has been described in two ways in NSCLC patients: (i) tumor-specific antibody production and (ii) oligoclonality of TIL-Bs in TLS. Further, in studies with mice that receive an antigenic vaccination, B cells enhance the response to vaccine (30). Our data combined with these other studies provide evidence for a B-cell extrinsic role in NSCLC patients. It remains unclear why TIL-Bs, in some patients do not present antigen to CD4+ TILs. Perhaps the TIL-Bs pick up but do not properly process antigen. Or, perhaps TIL-Bs are anergized by other components of the tumor microenvironment.

The second portion of our hypothesis that is supported by the B cell–extrinsic model relates to TIL-B–mediated immunoregulation of CD4+ TILs. In line with our hypothesis, we observed an exhausted TIL-B phenotype in our NSCLC patients. However, the function of these exhausted TIL-Bs does not fit with the definition of lymphocyte exhaustion as described in models of chronic infection or cancer. In these models, T cells and B cells that are exhausted are described as less functional. Such T cells proliferate less and produce less cytokine (46–48); B cells produce less antibody (42–43). The TIL-Bs in our NSCLC patient samples with an exhausted signature still present antigen. However, they also associate with CD4+ T cells of a Treg phenotype. One consideration in understanding the TIL-B exhaustion profile in NSCLC patients is control of host damage during chronic antigen exposure. In chronic infection and autoimmunity, B cells may attenuate T-cell responses in an attempt to decrease host damage. It is possible that in the context of chronic self-antigen, such as in tumors, TIL-Bs may obtain an exhausted phenotype to skew the CD4+ TILs to a Treg population for this purpose, ultimately dampening the overall antitumor response in the tumor. B cell-mediated immunoregulation has been implicated in various malignancies. However, the phenotypic markers of activated and exhausted TIL-Bs must be enhanced to better understand how they differ from Breg populations that dampen the immune response by secretion of suppressive cytokines. Location may contribute to the difference between Bregs and exhausted TIL-Bs. IL10 producing Bregs may be scattered throughout the tumor whereas exhausted TIL-Bs may be found in TLS.
Our data suggest strategies to target TIL-Bs in NSCLC patients to improve patient responses to immunotherapy. Total B-cell depletion has little clinical benefit in patients with solid tumors (49–50); here, we suggest that targeting a specific subtype of TIL-B is necessary for effective immunotherapies. Focusing ablation on B cells with an exhausted phenotype may reduce promotion of the Treg phenotype, resulting in reduced tumor growth. In addition, the link between tumor-specific antibodies and the tumor antigens that are processed and presented by TIL-Bs could be targeted TIL-B–based immunotherapies. TIL-Bs in NSCLC are often in TLS, which suggests an antigen-specific role for TIL-Bs. We observe TLS in our patient samples, and they have been reported in NSCLC patients by other groups (18–19). However, whether the tumor antigens that are targeted by TIL-B antibodies can also be processed and presented to CD4+ TILs is unknown. If so, this strategy would link cellular and humoral immunity in solid tumors. Finally, an increased understanding of the activated and exhausted TIL-B phenotypes may be reflective of an immunological biomarker to measure the quality of the immune response in NSCLC patients who are being considered for immunotherapy.

These studies may improve patient responses to immunotherapy in two ways: (i) increased antigen presentation by TIL-Bs to CD4+ TILs in the tumor microenvironment in combination with anti–PD-1 therapy may further increase tumor-specific immune responses, and (ii) methods to skew exhausted B cells to activated B cells or to deplete exhausted TIL-Bs may promote an activated, antitumor microenvironment in NSCLC patients. An activated microenvironment offers an advantage in obtaining a productive response to immunotherapy. Thus, by targeting TIL-Bs in combination with current immunotherapies, we can further improve NSCLC patient survival.
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