The Regulatory Role of Invariant NKT Cells in Tumor Immunity (CME)

Rosanna M. McEwen-Smith, Mariolina Salio, and Vincenzo Cerundolo

Abstract

Invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells are a unique population of T lymphocytes, which lie at the interface between the innate and adaptive immune systems, and are important mediators of immune responses and tumor surveillance. iNKT cells recognize lipid antigens in a CD1d-dependent manner; their subsequent activation results in a rapid and specific downstream response, which enhances both innate and adaptive immunity. The capacity of iNKT cells to modify the immune microenvironment influences the ability of the host to control tumor growth, making them an important population to be harnessed in the clinic for the development of anticancer therapeutics. Indeed, the identification of strong iNKT-cell agonists, such as α-galactosylceramide (α-GalCer) and its analogues, has led to the development of synthetic lipids that have shown potential in vaccination and treatment against cancers. In this Masters of Immunology article, we discuss these latest findings and summarize the major discoveries in iNKT-cell biology, which have enabled the design of potent strategies for immune-mediated tumor destruction. *Cancer Immunol Res; 3(5); 425–35. ©2015 AACR.*

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Editor's Disclosures

The following editor(s) reported relevant financial relationships. G. Dranoff—None.

CME Staff Planners' Disclosures

The members of the planning committee have no real or apparent conflicts of interest to disclose.

Learning Objectives

Research on the fundamental aspects of αβ T-cell receptor (TCR) structure and function has informed infectious disease and oncology disciplines about the nature of cognate antigen recognition by the T-cell adaptive immune system. This information, in turn, will lead to effective development of CD8 T cell–based vaccines for preventive and immunotherapeutic purposes. Upon completion of this activity, the participant should gain a basic knowledge of the molecular structure of the αβ TCR and the mechanobiology that allows a TCR to recognize a distinct foreign peptide among a myriad of antigens bound to the major histocompatibility complex with the required sensitivity and specificity for host protection.

Acknowledgment of Financial or Other Support

This activity does not receive commercial support.

Introduction

Invariant natural killer T (iNKT) cells represent a distinct population of T lymphocytes, which have features of both conventional T cells and natural killer (NK) cells (1). As a result of their unique ability to recognize CD1d-bound endogenous lipid antigens, iNKT cells have a constitutive memory phenotype and are capable of rapidly responding to stimulation, producing a broad range of cytokines. In addition, through direct interactions, in particular via CD1d and CD40L–CD40 signaling, as well as indirect interactions with other immune cells, iNKT cells are capable of maturing dendritic cells (DC) and activating B cells, and thus are crucial in enhancing antigen-specific B-cell and T-cell responses (2). The use of iNKT-cell–deficient mice and iNKT-cell–specific adjuvants has provided compelling evidence demonstrating that iNKT cells play an important role in mounting an antitumor response. Indeed, the importance of iNKT cells in tumor immunosurveillance is further emphasized with the observation that reduced iNKT-cell numbers and function have been documented in a large number of cancer patients, including in patients with progressive malignant multiple myeloma (3),

Medical Research Council Human Immunology Unit, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Headington, Oxford, United Kingdom.

Corresponding Author: Vincenzo Cerundolo, Medical Research Council Human Immunology Unit, Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Headington, Oxford OX3 9DS, United Kingdom. Phone: 44-1865222412; Fax: 44-1865222502; E-mail: vincenzo.cerundolo@imm.ox.ac.uk

AACR

prostate cancer (4), and a broad range of other solid malignancies (5). In this Masters of Immunology article, we discuss the role of iNKT cells in enhancing tumor immunity and introduce clinical strategies that are currently being considered to harness iNKT cells in cancer patients to encourage stronger anticancer immune responses.

NKT Cells: Classification and Subsets

In contrast with conventional T cells, which recognize protein-derived antigens presented by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and class II molecules, the T-cell receptors (TCR) on NKT cells recognize both exogenous and endogenous lipids presented in the context of the nonpolymorphic, MHC class I–like CD1d molecules (6, 7). NKT-cell development requires thymic selection, similar to that of conventional T cells, which results in the release and expansion of a population of cells with the ability for specific antigen recognition, but also with a range of innate immune functions (2). Analysis of the phenotype and cytokine profile of NKT cells has led to the identification of two main NKT-cell subsets: iNKT cells, otherwise known as type I NKT cells, and diverse NKT cells, which are more commonly called type II NKT cells (8). iNKT cells express an antigen-specific TCR composed of a semi-invariant α-chain (Vα14-Jα18 in mice and Vα24-Jα18 in humans) paired with a restricted repertoire of β-chains (Vβ2, Vβ7, and Vβ8.2 in mice, or Vβ11 in humans; ref. 9). Similarly, type II NKT cells are CD1d restricted, but in contrast with iNKT cells, they express a polyclonal TCR repertoire and are more comparable with the highly diverse TCRs of conventional CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells (10–12). The importance of antigen presentation by CD1d molecules in NKT-cell activation and development was highlighted by the observation that $Cd1d^{-/-}$ mice lack both iNKT cells and type II NKT cells (13–15). Indeed, to distinguish the roles of the two NKT populations, researchers commonly compare the phenotype of $Cd1d^{-/-}$ mice (13–15) with that of $J\alpha18^{-/-}$ mice (16), which lack only iNKT cells. Notably, recent studies have highlighted that $J\alpha18^{-/-}$ mice exhibit additional defects in the T-cell repertoire (17); therefore, the iNKT-cell relevance of results obtained using $J\alpha18^{-/-}$ mice should be considered in the context of these findings. The heterogeneity of Vα14^{+} iNKT cells has been further appreciated with the identification of several subsets of iNKT cells with distinct developmental and functional properties (18–21). Indeed, a distinct Vα50-Jα10 iNKT-cell subset was identified, which, although absent in $Cd1d^{-/-}$ mice, was found to be present in $J\alpha18^{-/-}$ mice (22); it is clear that considering these subsets will be critical in order to accurately interpret forthcoming data.

Although a lack of reagents to monitor type II NKT cells has slowed down functional and phenotypic analysis of these cells, access to CD1d tetramers loaded with iNKT-cell agonists has allowed characterization of the frequency and phenotype of iNKT cells in both mice and humans (23–25). In mice, iNKT cells comprise approximately 1% to 3% of the lymphocytes in the circulation and lymphoid organs, and are unusually enriched in the liver, where they can comprise up to 30% of resident lymphocytes (26). Conversely, although found to be enriched in the adipose tissue and omentum (27), the frequency of iNKT cells in the human periphery is lower and more variable than in mice (28).

iNKT Cells Recognize a Diverse Range of Antigens

Despite their semi-invariant TCRs, iNKT cells are able to recognize a diverse range of antigens (29). Structural and functional studies have been fundamental in determining which features of lipid recognition modulate the potency and activation of iNKT cells, and importantly, have been crucial in optimizing the design of iNKT-cell agonists suitable for use in the clinic (30–36). α-Galactosylceramide (α-GalCer), derived from the glycosphingolipid extract of the marine sponge *Agelas mauritianus*, was the first lipid identified that potently activates iNKT cells (ref. 37; Fig. 1); the α-linked glycan in α-GalCer has since been shown to be a structural motif common to many of the identified α-linked bacterial pathogens, which can directly and potently activate iNKT cells (38–41). Recently, a β-linked lipid, Asperamide B, was identified as the first example of a fungal-derived iNKT-cell agonist (42), although in other models of fungal infection, iNKT-cell reactivity was shown to be driven through Dectin-1– and MyD88-mediated upregulation of IL12 by antigen-presenting cells (APC; ref. 43). In addition to recognizing synthetic and microbial-derived antigens, iNKT cells react against CD1d^{+} APCs in the absence of exogenous antigens, a feature defined as autoreactivity. iNKT-cell autoreactivity underpins the constitutive memory phenotype of iNKT cells and their ability to be activated during a wide variety of immune responses, including infections, cancer, and autoimmunity (44, 45). Although complete elucidation of endogenous and exogenous lipids mediating iNKT-cell activation has been challenging due to poor sensitivity of assays, which are often unable to detect low lipid concentrations purified from cellular extracts and pathogens, seminal studies in the last year identified the gut mucosa (46–48) and alternative enzymatic pathways in mammals (49, 50) as potential sources of exogenous and endogenous iNKT-cell lipid agonists. Further investigations are warranted to fully characterize these lipids, which will be highly valuable for understanding the role of iNKT cells in cancers, where endogenous lipids undoubtedly play a key role in triggering the immune response.

iNKT-cell Activation and Downstream Signaling

Activation of iNKT cells can occur directly or indirectly

Direct activation of iNKT cells involves the endocytosis of glycolipid antigens by APCs and their presentation to iNKT cells via CD1d–antigen complexes. In addition to direct iNKT-cell activation by exogenous lipid agonists, we and others have shown that signaling events downstream of Toll-like receptors (TLR; refs. 44, 45, 51), inflammasome components NOD1 and NOD2 (52), and the formyl peptide receptor 2 (FPR2), which recognizes Serum Amyloid A-1 (53), result in the loading of CD1d molecules expressed on APCs with endogenous lipid antigens, and subsequent iNKT-cell activation. In addition, because a number of tumor cells express CD1d (3, 54–57), it is hypothesized that tumor cells may also present endogenous lipids to iNKT cells directly, although to date the identity of such tumor cell–derived endogenous iNKT-cell agonists remains contentious. Importantly, CD1d-dependent activation of iNKT cells triggers release of IFNγ and IL4, as well as of a diverse range of other cytokines, including IL2, IL5, IL6, IL10,

Figure 1.
Structure and interactions of the prototypic iNKT-cell agonist α-GalCer with a CD1d molecule and the NKT TCR. A, the biochemical structure of the prototypic iNKT-cell agonist, α-GalCer. B, the crystal structure of α-GalCer (red) loaded onto human CD1d molecules (gray) and binding to the iNKT-cell TCR (yellow/orange). Figure was generated using PyMOL and the Protein Data Bank using accession number 2PO6 from ref. 78, and adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd. Nature (78), copyright 2007. The head group of the lipid is exposed and allows for interaction with the iNKT-cell TCR. Modifications to the lipid head-group, tail length, or saturation affect the ability of iNKT-cell agonists to activate iNKT cells (31), a property that has been used to optimize anticancer therapeutics. Figure 1 was generated by Hemza Ghadbane of the Weatherall Institute of Molecular Medicine and the University of Oxford.

Cancer Immunology Research: Masters of Immunology

AACR

IL17, IL21, TNFα, TGFβ, and granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF; refs. 1, 58–60), in addition to chemokines, such as RANTES, Eotaxin, MIP-1α, and MIP-1β (61). IFNγ and IL4 transcription is activated during iNKT-cell thymic development, and preformed IL4 mRNA in the cytoplasm allows for rapid responses upon antigen stimulation (62, 63). In concert with cytokine release, activation of iNKT cells through TCR stimulation augments the bidirectional cross-talk with DCs in a CD40/CD40L and CD1d-dependent manner; this interaction promotes the maturation, activation, and the upregulation of costimulatory receptors, such as CD80 and CD86, on DCs, as well as the release of IL12. Interestingly, depending on the lipid antigen presented, iNKT cells may also modulate upregulation of inhibitory molecules (such as PD-L1 and PD-L2) on CD8α$^+$ DCs, which may be the mechanism behind the Th2-polarizing effect of some iNKT-cell agonists (64). As a result of direct interaction with iNKT cells, DCs can prime antigen-specific CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells (65–67). Licensing by iNKT cells of CD8α$^+$ DCs results in the secretion of the chemokine CCL17, which attracts naïve CD8$^+$ T cells expressing the chemokine receptor CCR4 (68). iNKT cells can also directly provide B-cell help through CD1d expression on B cells (69, 70). This ability to prime the adaptive immune response indicates that iNKT-cell agonists could be used in the clinic to harness iNKT cells, where they have previously been shown to have adjuvant effects in combination with a number of vaccines (71).

iNKT cells can be activated via soluble factors released by TLR-activated DCs (indirect NKT-cell activation), such as type I IFN, IL12, and IL18 (44, 45, 51, 72–75), or by costimulatory molecules such as OX40/OX40L (76).

Structural and functional analyses of the interaction between the iNKT TCRs and CD1d molecules loaded with endogenous and exogenous iNKT-cell agonists are of importance to characterize further how the quality of iNKT-cell activation can be modulated by the binding affinity, concentration, hydrophobicity, and stability of glycolipid-CD1d complexes (refs. 31, 32, 77, 78; Fig. 1). Indeed, low antigen concentration or weak binding affinity of CD1d–lipid complexes to the iNKT TCRs induces GM-CSF and IL13, whereas a higher antigen concentration or higher binding affinity of CD1d–lipid complexes induces IL4 and IFNγ, along with increased expression of GM-CSF and IL13 (79). In line with this, the lipid C-glycoside, an analogue of α-GalCer, has a weak binding affinity to the iNKT-cell TCR, but as a result of the formation of a stable complex with CD1d, and thus its extended survival *in vivo*, is still able to induce IFNγ production from iNKT cells (80). These mechanisms demonstrate how antigenic activation of iNKT cells can enhance both cell-mediated and humoral immunity through direct or indirect interaction with other immune cells.

iNKT Cells in Tumor Immunity

The initial observation that α-GalCer injected into mice could protect against tumor progression (81, 82), led to the subsequent discovery that α-GalCer specifically activated iNKT cells in a CD1d-resticted manner (37). In addition to exerting a protective role in a range of different tumor models when *in vivo* activated with α-GalCer (83) or IL12 (16), iNKT cells also play a critical role during tumor immunosurveillance. Indeed, following adoptive transfer of iNKT cells into $J\alpha18^{-/-}$ mice, Crowe and colleagues (84) demonstrated their ability to protect mice from methylcholanthrene-induced sarcomas via direct interaction of the iNKT TCR with CD1d molecules, confirming and extending previous observations by the same group using methylcholanthrene tumor models (83). The role of iNKT cells in tumor immunosurveillance has been confirmed in other murine studies, including a p53-deficiency model (85) and a TRAMP model (86), all of which showed enhanced tumor growth in iNKT-cell–deficient mice ($J\alpha18^{-/-}$ mice or $Cd1d^{-/-}$ mice), as compared with wild-type animals. Notably, not all iNKT-cell subsets are equally protective, as rejection of MCA-1 sarcomas and B16F10 melanomas was mediated exclusively by the liver-derived CD4$^-$ iNKT-cell subset (87).

Activation of iNKT cells during immunosurveillance can occur either directly, through presentation of self-lipids by CD1d-positive tumors, or indirectly, by cross-presentation of tumor lipids by APCs (ref. 88; Fig. 2). Evidence for direct presentation stems from the observation that overexpression of CD1d by the B-cell lymphoma NS0 induces cytokine production by iNKT cells and iNKT cell–dependent lysis (89). Consistent with these findings, in a mouse model of breast cancer metastases, tumor downregulation of CD1d molecules inhibits iNKT-mediated antitumor immunity and promotes

metastatic breast cancer progression (57). Furthermore, human iNKT cells were found to recognize and kill CD1d$^+$ osteosarcoma cells, but not CD1d$^-$ osteoblasts, confirming the CD1d restriction of iNKT cell–dependent cytotoxicity (90). Notably, these studies and others (91, 92) have confirmed iNKT cell–dependent cytotoxicity against CD1d$^+$ tumor cell lines without pulsing with α-GalCer, underscoring the notion that the iNKT-cell TCR can interact with endogenous antigenic lipids expressed by human and mouse tumor cells, which can lead to direct iNKT-cell activation (90).

CD1d is preferentially expressed in hematopoietic cells (93), especially those of myelomonocytic and B-cell lineages, and accordingly, malignancies originating from such tissues have also been found to be CD1d-positive (3, 54, 55, 89, 94, 95). Interestingly, CD1d expression has also been found on select solid tumors, such as prostate cancer (4, 56), breast cancer (57), renal cell carcinoma (96), and specific nervous system tumors, including malignant glioma (97) and pediatric medulloblastoma (98); however, many other human and murine solid tumors are generally thought to be CD1d-negative, or to downregulate CD1d molecules. Lack of CD1d expression in tumors results in their lack of recognition by iNKT cells, and has, in some models, been correlated with tumor progression. It remains to be determined, however, whether the lack of detection of CD1d molecules on the surface of such tumors could stem from suboptimal antibody staining or the local downregulation of CD1d, and thus whether these tumors are able to present endogenous lipid is not yet defined. Given that CD1d molecules are widely expressed by normal cells, it remains unclear as to whether a different set of unidentified self-iNKT-cell agonists can be presented by CD1d molecules expressed by transformed cells, as compared with normal cells. Furthermore, although it is commonly accepted


Figure 2. Antitumor activities of iNKT cells. A, iNKT cells can recognize endogenous lipids presented by CD1d molecules on tumor cells and subsequently eliminate tumor cells directly through iNKT cell–mediated lysis. B, in the absence of CD1d expression on tumor cells, iNKT cells may become activated in response to CD1d-expressing or TLR-activated APC. Bidirectional activation of iNKT cells and APCs promotes NK-cell activation and the activation of the tumor-specific T-cell response, thereby indirectly mediating tumor-cell killing.

that endogenous lipids are likely to be responsible for activating iNKT cells in the inflammatory tumor microenvironment, the mechanisms by which iNKT cells are activated during tumor growth remain elusive. Further investigations are warranted to elucidate these findings.

A hypothesis: the role of the endoplasmic reticulum-stress response in modulating iNKT-mediated tumor immunity

In nonsterile disease models, pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) act as TLR agonists, and through the up-regulation of endogenous ligand presentation and the release of soluble factors by APCs, have been shown to enhance the activation of iNKT cells (44, 45, 51). In light of this, we put forward the hypothesis that a similar mechanism may be involved in iNKT-mediated tumor surveillance. Indeed in recent years, a new concept of "immunogenic cell death" (99) has emerged, which links endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress with the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMP) during anticancer therapy. Through recognition by pattern recognition receptors (PRR), such as TLR4, the release of DAMPs by dying cancer cells results in the activation of a cancer-specific immune response (100). Although it remains unclear whether these DAMPs can influence iNKT-cell antitumor responses, in support of this idea, we and others have shown that stimulation of TLR4 on APCs can enhance presentation of iNKT-cell agonists and stimulate iNKT-cell activation (44, 45, 101). In line with this, the unfolded protein response (UPR), which is also triggered by ER stress, increases the activity of the ER lipid transfer protein microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP; ref. 102), which is involved in CD1d loading (103, 104). Finally, an additional UPR component, XBP-1, which modulates phospholipid synthesis and is required for ER membrane expansion under ER stress (105), has been shown to positively control hepatic lipogenesis at basal levels (106). Disruption of XBP-1 led to decreased fatty acids and sterols in primary hepatocytes, possibly by directly transactivating key genes in this metabolic pathway (106).

As well as tumor-intrinsic ER-stress signaling, which promotes tumor survival and proliferation, the tumor-cell UPR can function in a cell-extrinsic manner, transmitting ER stress to tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells, in a mechanism termed transmissible ER stress (TERS; ref. 107). Although not yet assessed in the context of cancer, ER stress was correlated with abnormalities in the function and frequency of NKT cells in hepatic steatosis, where it was suggested that ER disruption might lead to dysregulation of iNKT-mediated innate immunity through decreased expression of membrane CD1d, resulting in reduced iNKT-cell activation (108). Although, in this model, ER stress had a negative effect on iNKT-cell activation, in light of the reported effects of ER stress on lipid metabolism and CD1d loading discussed above, further experimentation needs to be performed to dissect whether changes in lipid metabolism due to ER stress in cancer cells may modulate iNKT-cell activity.

iNKT cell–mediated adjuvant effects on innate and adaptive immunity against cancer in mice

The ability of iNKT cells to activate antitumor immune responses can be jump started by using exogenous iNKT-cells agonists, such as the prototypic ligand α-GalCer (109–112). Injection of α-GalCer was found to inhibit tumor metastases and increase survival in a range of murine cancer models,

including models of B16 tumor challenge (109), spontaneous sarcomas in $p53^{-/-}$ mice (113), and the colon carcinoma model C26GM (114). In line with this, injection of α-GalCer–pulsed DCs (115), or intravenous (i.v.) administration of either live or irradiated B16 tumor cells loaded with α-GalCer (116), was shown to elicit an innate iNKT- and NK-cell response that rejects the tumor. The α-GalCer–mediated antitumor activity of iNKT cells has since been shown to be dependent on IFNγ production and NK cells (110, 117, 118), DC maturation, activation, and IL12 release, and ultimately the activation of $CD8^+$ cytotoxic T cells, $CD4^+$ Th1 cells, and gamma-delta (γδ) T cells that further target and kill tumor cells (65, 116, 119). Indeed, administration of α-GalCer into mice injected with a T-cell lymphoma enhanced the generation of tumor-specific cytotoxic T cells in an IFNγ- and NK-cell–dependent manner (120). This pathway was further emphasized in murine models of lung and liver metastasis, where the antimetastatic activity of α-GalCer was dependent on IL12- and IL18-mediated enhancement of IFNγ production by iNKT and NK cells (118).

Upon activation, both murine and human iNKT cells can exhibit potent cytotoxic functions to promote the killing of tumor cells, such as acute myeloid leukemia, through the expression of tumor necrosis factor–related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL; ref. 121). This observation was also confirmed with iNKT cells from patients with malignant melanoma, whereby upon α-Gal-Cer/DC activation, the patient-derived iNKT cells displayed potent perforin-dependent cytotoxic activity against a range of tumor cell lines (122). Interestingly, the transfer of perforin-deficient iNKT cells into $Jα18^{-/-}$ mice with methylcholanthrene-induced tumors restored tumor resistance, suggesting that in this model, direct perforin-dependent tumor lysis by iNKT cells is not critical (84). Taken together, these observations imply that both direct and indirect mechanisms of iNKT-cells activation play a key critical role in iNKT cell–mediated tumor immunosurveillance (88, 116).

Studies aimed at enhancing iNKT cell–mediated antitumor immunity have shown that the use of soluble α-GalCer leads to potent stimulation of iNKT-cell subsets and may result in iNKT-cell overactivation and anergy (123, 124). Given these considerations, the search for efficient iNKT agonists with functional differences compared with α-GalCer is an ongoing goal in the field, which attracts the work of many laboratories. Indeed, in recent years, many α-GalCer analogues have been formulated that exhibit different properties, including optimized cytokine induction profiles, which are aimed at targeting specific subsets of iNKT cells in a number of different clinical settings (125–133).

Harnessing iNKT cells to optimize vaccination strategies in cancer patients

Activity of iNKT cells in cancer patients. A large number of preclinical and clinical trials have been performed to investigate whether activation of iNKT cells could be a therapeutically beneficial approach in human patients suffering from cancer and other infectious diseases. Reduced iNKT-cell frequency and function has been observed in patients with hematologic cancers (3, 134) and a range of solid tumors (4, 135), as compared with that of healthy volunteers, independent of tumor type and tumor load. In line with these observations, reduced iNKT-cell frequency was shown to correlate with poor overall survival in acute myeloid leukemia (136), and head and neck squamous

cell carcinoma (137), whereas increased numbers of intratumor or circulating iNKT cells have been associated with improved prognosis in colon cancer, prostate cancer, hematologic malignancies, and neuroblastoma (138–140). Whether immune-cell subsets found in peripheral blood are accurate representative of systemic cancer immunity in humans remains to be established in all cancer models (141); relative NKT-cell deficiencies have, however, also been observed locally in solid tumors and the surrounding tissues, such as in neuroblastoma (142) and colorectal cancer (27). Interestingly, other investigators have reported elevated iNKT-cell frequency in some tumors (143, 144); and increased iNKT-cell frequency in the microenvironment of colorectal cancers is thought to be a positive prognostic indicator (138, 145). The high variability in iNKT-cell frequencies in humans, in addition to the defective numbers shown in cancer and other diseases, reduces the effectiveness of targeting iNKT cells in these individuals. Indeed, studies have reported that iNKT cell–based treatments may only be beneficial for patients with high iNKT-cell frequency (146). To overcome these limitations, universal efforts have been directed at optimizing the development of synthetic iNKT-cell agonists to enhance iNKT-cell activation and antitumor function.

iNKT Cell-Based Cancer Immunotherapy

Three main iNKT cells–directed therapeutics have been exploited thus far; these include, but are not limited to, administration of iNKT cell–activating ligands (all human studies described to date have used α-GalCer), administration of APCs pulsed with α-GalCer, transfer of ex vivo–expanded and/or activated iNKT cells, and finally a combination of these methods.

Intravenous injection of α-GalCer

α-GalCer remains the best-characterized iNKT agonist in tumor immunity to date. Although promising data using this agonist have been generated in murine models and in vitro, the fundamental question remains whether iNKT-cell activation by select agonists is relevant in the clinic. The first clinical study of α-GalCer used repeated i.v. injection of α-GalCer at varying doses in patients with solid tumors (147). No dose-limiting toxicity was observed, suggesting that activation of iNKT cells through i.v. injection of α-GalCer is a safe, well-tolerated treatment in humans. Although iNKT-cell numbers appeared to decrease in the periphery, likely resulting from downregulation of the TCR following iNKT-cell activation (148), Giaccone and colleagues (147) observed elevated serum levels of iNKT cell–associated cytokines, including TNFα and GM-CSF, and disease stabilization for a median of 123 days in 7 of 24 patients. Similar to murine studies in which injection of soluble, but not cell-associated α-GalCer, leads to iNKT-cell anergy (123) in a PD-1/PD-L1–dependent manner (149), follow-up studies in humans identified α-GalCer–induced iNKT-cell anergy using this administration method (150).

Adoptive transfer of α-GalCer–pulsed APCs

Studies with murine tumor models demonstrated that coinjection of α-GalCer and tumor antigens (65), or alternatively administration of α-GalCer–pulsed DCs (151), induced prolonged cytokine responses as compared with injection of soluble α-GalCer. Although the reasoning behind the differing immune responses is unclear, it has been hypothesized that the type of APC and method of administration could play an important

role. Indeed, whereas i.v. injection of pulsed DCs induced a strong cytokine response, α-GalCer–pulsed DCs injected subcutaneously (s.c.) in mice did not stimulate a particularly effective iNKT-cell response (151). In addition, DCs were found to stimulate a stronger iNKT-cell response in comparison with B cells (152). A large number of clinical trials have since used ex vivo–generated, or isolated APCs pulsed with α-GalCer, which has thus far been shown to be safe and well tolerated.

The first phase I trial reported used i.v. administration of α-GalCer–pulsed monocyte-derived DCs, which were given at two weekly intervals to patients with metastatic tumors (153). Although activation of iNKT cells increased serum levels of cytokines, including IFNγ and IL12, and the transactivation of both T and NK cells, only 2 of the 12 patients enrolled exhibited a decrease in serum tumor markers, indicating minimal efficacy of this treatment (153). Two later studies using α-GalCer–pulsed, monocyte-derived DCs were published; the first, using weekly i.v. injections of IL2-cultured DCs in patients with advanced or recurrent non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), demonstrated an expansion of iNKT-cell frequency and elevated IFNγ levels by PCR analysis (150). IFNγ ceased to be detected onwards of the second injection, possibly consistent with the onset of iNKT-cell anergy (150). Comparably, Chang and colleagues (154) reported that the injection of α-GalCer–pulsed monocyte-derived DCs also induced elevation of iNKT-cell frequency to greater than 100-fold, as well as higher serum concentrations of IFNγ and IL12. iNKT-cell activation could be seen for up to 6 months in some patients and was consistent with an increase in the levels of IL12p40, IP-10, and MIP-1β, and an increase in cytomegalovirus-specific $CD8^+$ memory T cells (154). Uchida and colleagues (155) modified the administration approach by using injection of α-GalCer–pulsed peripheral blood APCs directly into the nasal submucosa of patients with head and neck cancer. Elevation in iNKT-cell numbers and NK cell activation was observed in approximately half of the patients, and a reduction or stabilization of tumor growth was seen in 6 of 9 patients (155). A follow-up study demonstrated that administration via the nasal submucosa was optimal over administration via the oral submucosa (156); notably, authors also reported that oral administration was linked to the expansion of $CD4^+$ $CD25^+$ $FoxP3^+$ regulatory T cells (156).

More recently, four additional studies were published in which cancer patients were injected with APCs pulsed with α-GalCer either i.v. or intradermally (i.d.; refs. 157–160). Injection of APCs generated in the presence of GM-CSF and IL2 into patients with NSCLC demonstrated expansion of iNKT cells, and in patients with elevated level of IFNγ, a possible prolongation in survival was observed, although no partial or complete clinical responses were detected (160). Elevated IFNγ production, as well as expansion and infiltration of iNKT cells, were also observed following injection of GM-CSF/IL2–generated α-GalCer-pulsed APCs prior to surgery (158). For patients with cancers of differing origin and metastatic potential, Nicol and colleagues (157) reported that i.v. injection of pulsed APCs stimulated antitumor activity both at the main tumor site and in sites of metastasis; more than half of the patients showed disease stabilization or a reduction in tumor mass (157). Finally, treatment of patients with multiple myeloma using the combined regimen of α-GalCer–pulsed APCs and the immune-modulatory drug lenalidomide elicited elevated IL2 in the serum, as well as a decrease in tumor-associated monoclonal immunoglobin levels (M spike; refs. 159, 161). Taken together,

these findings demonstrate that α-GalCer–pulsed APCs represent a possible therapeutic strategy to enhance antitumor immunity. Although further optimization of loading and delivery and a more detailed understanding of the mechanisms of action are required, α-GalCer–pulsed APCs show promise for reducing tumor growth and metastasis.

Adoptive transfer of ex vivo–activated iNKT cells

An alternative strategy to compensate for the decreased iNKT-cell frequency observed in patients with cancer involves expanding autologous iNKT-cell populations *in vitro*. First, adoptive transfer of *in vitro*–activated iNKT cells into patients with NSCLC resulted in *in vivo* iNKT-cell expansion, down-stream activation of NK cells and IFNγ release (162). Interestingly, the combined transfer of iNKT cells and α-GalCer–pulsed DCs has been reported to induce substantial antitumor immunity in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (163, 164). In these studies, patients demonstrated a partial response or stabilization of the disease, and in some cases, tumor regression (163, 164). Optimization of the current protocols holds high potential in tumor immunotherapy. Indeed, functionally competent iNKT cells have recently been differentiated from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) in mice, which may represent a novel approach to expand iNKT cells for cancer therapy in humans (165).

Conclusions and Future Perspectives

Murine studies and clinical trials performed to date have demonstrated that therapies involving the manipulation of iNKT cells are not only feasible but also appear to be generally well tolerated by mice and human patients alike, and, in some cases, induce significant tumor regression, disease stabilization, or possible prolongation of survival. Many of the approaches used thus far induce iNKT-cell activation; however, it remains to be determined which route of administration, APC type, and dosing interval are the most efficacious. Although preclinical studies in animal models may help answer these questions, ultimately, appropriately designed clinical trials in humans will guide protocol optimization. Our ability to manipulate these cells in antitumor therapeutics is critically dependent on our understanding of iNKT-cell biology and of the factors that activate and regulate these cells; the identification and optimization of iNKT-cell agonists that can promote Th1 immune responses without inducing iNKT-cell anergy is of high priority. Notably, despite the clear ability of exogenously activated iNKT cells to initiate potent antitumor activity in response to immunotherapeutic stimuli, whether this represents a physiologic role for NKT cells in tumor rejection, and if so, which signaling cascades are required, remains unclear. In addition, in light of the identification of developmentally and functionally distinct subsets of iNKT cells and type II NKT cells, emphasis should be put on characterizing the roles and interactions of these cells during immunosurveillance, therefore improving the specificity of NKT-targeted agonists.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

The authors apologize to colleagues whose works were not cited due to space constraints or omission. The authors thank Dr. Hemza Ghadbane for assistance with generating Figure 1.

Grant Support

References

1. Bendelac A, Savage PB, Teyton L. The biology of NKT cells. Annu Rev Immunol 2007;25:297–336.
2. Salio M, Silk JD, Jones EY, Cerundolo V. Biology of CD1- and MR1-restricted T cells. Annu Rev Immunol 2014;32:323–66.
3. Dhodapkar MV, Geller MD, Chang DH, Shimizu K, Fujii S, Dhodapkar KM, et al. A reversible defect in natural killer T cell function characterizes the progression of premalignant to malignant multiple myeloma. J Exp Med 2003;197:1667–76.
4. Tahir SM, Cheng O, Shaulov A, Koezuka Y, Bubley GJ. Loss of IFN-γ production by invariant NK T cells in advanced cancer. J Immunol 2001;167:4046–50.
5. Crough T, Purdie DM, Okai M, Maksoud A, Nieda M, Nicol AJ. Modulation of human Vα24+Vβ11+ NKT cells by age, malignancy and conventional anticancer therapies. Br J Cancer 2004;91:1880–6.
6. Bendelac A, Lantz O, Quimby ME, Yewdell JW, Bennink JR, Brutkiewicz RR. CD1 recognition by mouse NK1+ T lymphocytes. Science 1995;268:863–5.
7. Exley M, Garcia J, Balk SP, Porcelli S. Requirements for CD1d recognition by human invariant Vα24+ CD4−CD8− T cells. J Exp Med 1997;186:109–20.
8. Godfrey DI, MacDonald HR, Kronenberg M, Smyth MJ, Van Kaer L. NKT cells: what's in a name. Nat Rev Immunol 2004;4:231–7.
9. Lantz O, Bendelac A. An invariant T cell receptor alpha chain is used by a unique subset of major histocompatibility complex class I-specific CD4+ and CD4-8− T cells in mice and humans. J Exp Med 1994;180:1097–106.
10. Cardell S, Tangri S, Chan S, Kronenberg M, Benoist C, Mathis D. CD1-restricted CD4+ T cells in major histocompatibility complex class II-deficient mice. J Exp Med 1995;182:993–1004.
11. Chiu YH, Jayawardena J, Weiss A, Lee D, Park SH, Dautry-Varsat A, et al. Distinct subsets of CD1d-restricted T cells recognize self-antigens loaded in different cellular compartments. J Exp Med 1999;189:103–10.
12. Behar SM, Podrebarac RA, Roy CJ, Wang CR, Brenner MB. Diverse TCRs recognize murine CD1. J Immunol 1999;162:161–7.
13. Chen YH, Chiu NM, Mandal M, Wang N, Wang CR. Impaired NK1+ T cell development and early IL-4 production in CD1-deficient mice. Immunity 1997;6:459–67.
14. Mendiratta SK, Martin WD, Hong S, Boesteanu A, Joyce S, Van Kaer L. CD1d1 mutant mice are deficient in natural T cells that promptly produce IL-4. Immunity 1997;6:469–77.
15. Smiley ST, Kaplan MH, Grusby MJ. Immunoglobulin E production in the absence of interleukin-4-secreting CD1-dependent cells. Science 1997;275:977–9.
16. Cui J, Shin T, Kawano T, Sato H, Kondo E, Toura I, et al. Requirement for Vα14 NKT cells in IL-12-mediated rejection of tumors. Science 1997;278:1623–6.
17. Bedel R, Matsuda J, Brigl M, White J, Kappler J, Marrack P, et al. Lower TCR repertoire diversity in TRAJ18-deficient mice. Nat Immunol 2012;13:705–6.
18. Watarai H, Sekine-Kondo E, Shigeura T, Motomura Y, Yasuda T, Satoh R, et al. Development and function of invariant natural killer T cells producing T(h)2- and T(h)17-cytokines. PLoS Biol 2012;10:e1001255.

19. Lee YJ, Holzapfel KL, Zhu J, Jameson SC, Hogquist HA. Steady-state production of IL-4 modulates immunity in mouse strains and is determined by lineage diversity of iNKT cells. Nat Immunol 2013;14:1146–54.

20. Lynch L, Michelet X, Zhang S, Brennan PJ, Moseman A, Lester C, et al. Regulatory iNKT cells lack expression of the transcription factor PLZF and control the homeostasis of Treg cells and macrophages in adipose tissue. Nat Immunol 2015;16:85–95.

21. Sag D, Krause P, Hedrick CC, Kronenberg M, Wingender G. IL-10-producing NKT10 cells are a distinct regulatory invariant NKT cell subset. J Clin Invest 2014;124:3725–40.

22. Uldrich AP, Patel O, Cameron G, Pellicci DG, Day EB, Sullivan LC, et al. A semi-invariant $V\alpha 10^+$ T cell antigen receptor defines a population of natural killer T cells with distinct glycolipid antigen-recognition properties. Nat Immunol 2011;12:616–23.

23. Benlagha K, Weiss A, Beavis A, Teyton L, Bendelac A. In vivo identification of glycolipid antigen specific T cells using fluorescent CD1d tetramers. J Exp Med 2000;191:1895–903.

24. Matsuda JL, Naidenko OV, Gapin L, Nakayama T, Taniguchi M, Wang CR, et al. Tracking the response of natural killer T cells to a glycolipid antigen using CD1d tetramers. J Exp Med 2000;192:741–53.

25. Karadimitris A, Gadola S, Altamirano M, Brown D, Woolfson A, Klenerman P, et al. Human CD1d-glycolipid tetramers generated by in vitro oxidative refolding chromatography. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:3294–8.

26. Bendelac A, Rivera MN, Park SH, Roark JH. Mouse CD1-specific NK1 T cells: development, specificity, and function. Annu Rev Immunol 1997;15:535–62.

27. Lynch L, O'Shea D, Winter DC, Geoghegan J, Doherty DG, O'Farrelly C. Invariant NKT cells and CD1d$^+$ cells amass in human omentum and are depleted in patients with cancer and obesity. Eur J Immunol 2009;39:1893–901.

28. Sandberg JK, Bhardwaj N, Nixon DF. Dominant effector memory characteristics, capacity for dynamic adaptive expansion, and sex bias in the innate $V\alpha 24$ NKT cell compartment. Eur J Immunol 2003;33:588–96.

29. Rossjohn J, Pellicci DG, Patel O, Gapin L, Godfrey DI. Recognition of CD1d-restricted antigens by natural killer T cells. Nat Rev Immunol 2012;12:845–57.

30. Koch M, Stronge VS, Shepherd D, Gadola SD, Mathew B, Ritter G, et al. The crystal structure of human CD1d with and without alpha-galactosylceramide. Nat Immunol 2005;6:819–26.

31. McCarthy C, Shepherd D, Fleire S, Stronge VS, Koch M, Illarionov PA, et al. The length of lipids bound to human CD1d molecules modulates the affinity of NKT cell TCR and the threshold of NKT cell activation. J Exp Med 2007;204:1131–44.

32. Zajonc DM, Cantu C III, Mattner J, Zhou D, Savage PB, Bendelac A, et al. Structure and function of a potent agonist for the semi-invariant natural killer T cell receptor. Nat Immunol 2005;6:810–8.

33. Kjer-Nielsen L, Borg NA, Pellicci DG, Beddoe T, Kostenko L. A structural basis for selection and cross-species reactivity of the semi-invariant NKT cell receptor in CD1d/glycolipid recognition. J Exp Med 2006;203:661–73.

34. Wun KS, Borg NA, Kjer-Nielsen L, Beddoe T, Koh R, Richardson SK, et al. A minimal binding footprint on CD1d-glycolipid is a basis for selection of the unique human NKT TCR. J Exp Med 2008;205:939–49.

35. Mallevaey T, Clarke AJ, Scott-Browne JP, Young MH, Roisman LC, Pellicci DG, et al. A molecular b0asis for NKT cell recognition of CD1d-self-antigen. Immunity 2011;34:315–26.

36. Scott-Browne JP, Matsuda JL, Mallevaey T, White J, Borg NA, McCluskey J, et al. Germline-encoded recognition of diverse glycolipids by natural killer T cells. Nat Immunol 2007;8:1105–13.

37. Kawano T, Cui J, Koezuka Y, Toura I, Kaneko Y. CD1d-restricted and TCR-mediated activation of $V\alpha 14$ NKT cells by glycosylceramides. Science 1997;278:1626–9.

38. Kinjo Y, Wu D, Kim G, Xing GW, Poles MA, Ho DD, et al. Recognition of bacterial glycosphingolipids by natural killer T cells. Nature 2005;434:520–5.

39. Mattner J, DeBord KL, Ismail N, Goff RD, Cantu C, Zhou D, et al. Exogenous and endogenous glycolipid antigens activate NKT cells during microbial infections. Nature 2005;434:525–9.

40. Chang YJ, Kim HY, Albacker LA, Lee HH, Baumgarth N, Akira S, et al. Influenza infection in suckling mice expands an NKT cell subset that protects against airway hyperreactivity. J Clin Invest 2011;121:57–69.

41. Kinjo Y, Illarionov P, Vela JL, Pei B, Girardi E, Li X, et al. Invariant natural killer T cells recognize glycolipids from pathogenic Gram-positive bacteria. Nat Immunol 2011;12:966–74.

42. Albacker LA, Chaudhary V, Chang YJ, Kim HY, Chuang YT, Pichavant M, et al. Invariant natural killer T cells recognize a fungal glycosphingolipid that can induce airway hyperreactivity. Nat Med 2013;19:1297–304.

43. Cohen NR, Tatituri RV, Rivera A, Watts GF, Kim EY, Chiba A, et al. Innate recognition of cell wall beta-glucans drives invariant natural killer T cell responses against fungi. Cell Host Microbe 2011;10:437–50.

44. Paget C, Mallevaey T, Speak AO, Torres D, Fontaine J, Sheehan KC, et al. Activation of invariant NKT cells by toll-like receptor 9-stimulated dendritic cells requires type I interferon and charged glycosphingolipids. Immunity 2007;27:597–609.

45. Salio M, Speak AO, Shepherd D, Polzella P, Illarionov PA, Veerapen N, et al. Modulation of human natural killer T cell ligands on TLR-mediated antigen-presenting cell activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:20490–5.

46. Olszak T, An D, Zeissig S, Vera MP, Richter J, Franke A, et al. Microbial exposure during early life has persistent effects on natural killer T cell function. Science 2012;336:489–93.

47. Wieland Brown LC, Penaranda C, Kashyap PC, Williams BB, Clardy J, Kronenberg M, et al. Production of α-galactosylceramide by a prominent member of the human gut microbiota. PLoS Biol 2013;11:e1001610.

48. An D, Oh SF, Olszak T, Neves JF, Avci FY, Erturk-Hasdemir D, et al. Sphingolipids from a symbiotic microbe regulate homeostasis of host intestinal natural killer T cells. Cell 2014;156:123–33.

49. Brennan PJ, Tatituri RV, Heiss C, Watts GF, Hsu FF, Veerapen N, et al. Activation of iNKT cells by a distinct constituent of the endogenous glucosylceramide fraction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111:13433–8.

50. Kain L, Webb B, Anderson BL, Deng S, Holt M, Costanzo A, et al. The identification of the endogenous ligands of natural killer T cells reveals the presence of mammalian α-linked glycosylceramides. Immunity 2014;41:543–54.

51. Brigl M, Bry L, Kent SC, Gumperz JE, Brenner MB. Mechanism of CD1d-restricted natural killer T cell activation during microbial infection. Nat. Immunol 2003;4:1230–7.

52. Selvanantham T, Escalante NK, Cruz Tleugabulova M, Fieve S, Girardin SE, Philpott DJ, et al. Nod1 and Nod2 enhance TLR-mediated invariant NKT cell activation during bacterial infection. J Immunol 2013;191:5646–54.

53. De Santo C, Arscott R, Booth S, Karydis I, Jones M, Asher R, et al. Invariant NKT cells modulate the suppressive activity of IL-10-secreting neutrophils differentiated with serum amyloid A. Nat Immunol 2010;11:1039–46.

54. Fais F, Tenca C, Cimino G, Coletti V, Zanardi S, Bagnara D, et al. CD1d expression on B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia subsets with poor prognosis. Leukemia 2005;19:551–6.

55. Xu C, de Vries R, Visser L, Diepstra A, Gadola SD, Poppema S, et al. Expression of CD1d and presence of invariant NKT cells in classical Hodgkin lymphoma. Am J Hematol 2010;85:539–41.

56. Nowak M, Arredouani MS, Tun-Kyi A, Schmidt-Wolf I, Sanda MG, Balk SP, et al. Defective NKT cell activation by CD1d$^+$TRAMP prostate tumor cells is corrected by interleukin-12 with α-galactosylceramide. PLoS ONE 2010;5:e11311.

57. Hix LM, Shi YH, Brutkiewicz RR, Stein PL, Wang C-R, Zhang M. CD1d-expressing breast cancer cells modulate NKT cell-mediated antitumor immunity in a murine model of breast cancer metastasis. PLoS ONE 2011;6:e20702.

58. Coquet JM, Kyparissoudis K, Pellicci DG, Besra G, Berzins SP, Smyth MJ, et al. IL-21 is produced by NKT cells and modulates NKT cell activation and cytokine production. J Immunol 2007;178:2827–34.

59. Michel ML, Keller AC, Paget C, Fujio M, Trottein F, Savage PB, et al. Identification of an IL-17-producing NK1.1$^-$ iNKT cell population involved in airway neutrophilia. J Exp Med 2007;204:995–1001.

60. Sakuishi K, Oki S, Araki M, Porcelli SA, Miyake S, Yamamura T. Invariant NKT cells biased for IL-5 production act as crucial regulators of inflammation. J Immunol 2007;179:3452–62.

61. Chang YJ, Huang JR, Tsai YC, Hung JT, Wu D, Fujio M, et al. Potent immune-modulating and anticancer effects of NKT cell stimulatory glycolipids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:10299–304.

62. Matsuda JL, Gapin L, Baron JL, Sidobre S, Stetson DB, Mohrs M, et al. Mouse Vα14i natural killer T cells are resistant to cytokine polarization *in vivo*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:8395–400.

63. Stetson DB, Mohrs M, Reinhardt RL, Baron JL, Wang ZE. Constitutive cytokine mRNAs mark natural killer (NK) and NK T cells poised for rapid effector function. J Exp Med 2003;198:1069–76.

64. Arora P, Baena A, Yu KO, Saini NK, Kharkwal SS, Goldberg MF, et al. A single subset of dendritic cells controls the cytokine bias of natural killer T cell responses to diverse glycolipid antigens. Immunity 2014; 40:105–16.

65. Fujii S, Shimizu K, Smith C, Bonifaz L, Steinman RM. Activation of natural killer T cells by α-galactosylceramide rapidly induces the full maturation of dendritic cells *in vivo* and thereby acts as an adjuvant for combined CD4 and CD8 T cell immunity to a co-administered protein. J Exp Med 2003;198:267–79.

66. Hermans IF, Silk JD, Gileadi U, Salio M, Mathew B, Ritter G, et al. NKT cells enhance CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cell responses to soluble antigen *in vivo* through direct interaction with dendritic cells. J Immunol 2003;171:5140–7.

67. Silk JD, Hermans IF, Gileadi U, Chong RW, Shepherd D, Salio M, et al. Utilizing the adjuvant properties of CD1d-dependent NK T cells in T cell-mediated immunotherapy. J Clin Invest 2004;114:1800–11.

68. Semmling V, Lukacs-Kornek V, Thaiss CA, Quast T, Hochheiser K, Panzer U, et al. Alternative cross-priming through CCL17-CCR4-mediated attraction of CTLs toward NKT cell-licensed DCs. Nat Immunol 2010;11:313–20.

69. Barral P, Eckl-Dorna J, Harwood NE, De Santo C, Salio M, Illarionov P, et al. B cell receptor-mediated uptake of CD1d-restricted antigen augments antibody responses by recruiting invariant NKT cell help *in vivo*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:8345–50.

70. Leadbetter EA, Brigl M, Illarionov P, Cohen N, Luteran MC, Pillai S, et al. NK T cells provide lipid antigen-specific cognate help for B cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:8339–44.

71. Jukes JP, Silk JD, Salio M, Cerundolo V. Invariant NKT cell-based vaccine strategies. Natural killer T cells: balancing the regulation of tumor immunity. In: Terabe M, Berzofsky JA, editors. Cancer drug discovery and development. New York: 2012. p. 39–53.

72. Nagarajan NA, Kronenberg M. Invariant NKT cells amplify the innate immune response to lipopolysalecharide. J Immunol 2007;178:2706–13.

73. Tyznik AJ, Tupin E, Nagarajan NA, Her MJ, Benedict CA, Kronenberg M. Cutting edge: the mechanism of invariant NKT cell responses to viral danger signals. J Immunol 2008;181:4452–6.

74. Holzapfel KL, Tyznik AJ, Kronenberg M, Hogquist KA. Antigen-dependent versus -independent activation of invariant NKT cells during infection. J Immunol 2014;192:5490–8.

75. Tyznik AJ, Verma S, Wang Q, Kronenberg M, Benedict CA. Distinct requirements for activation of NKT and NK cells during viral infection. J Immunol 2014;192:3676–85.

76. Diana J, Griseri T, Lagaye S, Beaudoin L, Autrusseau E, Gautron A-S, et al. NKT cell-plasmacytoid dendritic cell cooperation via OX40 controls viral infection in a tissue-specific manner. Immunity 2009;30:289–99.

77. Im JS, Arora P, Bricard G, Molano A, Venkataswamy MM, Baine I, et al. Kinetics and cellular site of glycolipid loading control the outcome of natural killer T cell activation. Immunity 2009;30:888–98.

78. Borg NA, Wun KS, Kjer-Nielsen L, Wilce MCJ, Pellicci DG, Koh R, et al. CD1d-lipid-antigen recognition by the semi-invariant NKT T-cell receptor. Nature 2007;448:44–9.

79. Wang X, Chen X, Rodenkirch L, Simonson W, Wernimont S, Ndonye RM, et al. Natural killer T-cell autoreactivity leads to a specialized activation state. Blood 2008;112:4128–38.

80. Sullivan BA, Nagarajan NA, Wingender G, Wang J, Scott I, Tsuji M, et al. Mechanisms for glycolipid antigen-driven cytokine polarization by Vα14i NKT cells. J Immunol 2010;184:141–53.

81. Kobayashi E, Motoki K, Uchida T, Fukushima H, Koezuka Y. KRN7000, a novel immunomodulator, and its antitumor activities. Oncol Res 1995; 7:529–34.

82. Morita M, Motoki K, Akimoto K, Natori T, Sakai T. Structure–activity relationship of α-galactosylceramides against B16-bearing mice. J Med Chem 1995;38:2176–87.

83. Smyth MJ, Thia KYT, Street SEA, Cretney E, Trapani JA, Taniguchi M, et al. Differential tumor surveillance by natural killer (NK) and NKT cells. J Exp Med 2000;191:661–8.

84. Crowe NY, Smyth MJ, Godfrey DI. A critical role for natural killer T cells in immunosurveillance of methylcholanthrene-induced sarcomas. J Exp Med 2002;196:119–27.

85. Swann JB, Uldrich AP, van Dommelen S, Sharkey J, Murray WK, Godfrey DI, et al. Type I natural killer T cells suppress tumors caused by p53 loss in mice. Blood 2009;113:6382–5.

86. Bellone M, Ceccon M, Grioni M, Jachetti E, Calcinotto A, Napolitano A, et al. iNKT cells control mouse spontaneous carcinoma independently of tumor-specific cytotoxic T cells. PLoS ONE 2010; 5:e8646.

87. Crowe NY, Coquet JM, Berzins SP, Kyparissoudis K, Keating R. Differential antitumor immunity mediated by NKT cell subsets *in vivo*. J Exp Med 2005;202:1279–88.

88. Wu DY, Segal NH, Sidobre S, Kronenberg M, Chapman PB. Cross-presentation of disialoganglioside GD3 to natural killer T cells. J Exp Med 2003;198:173–81.

89. Renukaradhya GJ, Khan MA, Vieira M, Du W, Gervay-Hague J, Brutkiewicz RR. Type I NKT cells protect (and type II NKT cells suppress) the host's innate antitumor immune response to a B-cell lymphoma. Blood 2008; 111:5637–45.

90. Fallarini S, Paoletti T, Orsi Battaglini N, Lombardi G. Invariant NKT cells increase drug-induced osteosarcoma cell death. Br J Pharmacol 2012;167: 1533–49.

91. Nicol A, Nieda M, Koezuka Y, Porcelli SA, Suzuki K, Tadokoro K, et al. Human invariant Vα24[+] natural killer T cells activated by alpha-galactosylceramide (KRN7000) have cytotoxic anti-tumour activity through mechanisms distinct from T cells and natural killer cells. Immunology 2000;99:229–34.

92. Metelitsa LS, Naidenko OV, Kant A, Wu HW, Loza MJ, Perussia B, et al. Human NKT cells mediate antitumor cytotoxicity directly by recognizing target cell CD1d with bound ligand or indirectly by producing IL-2 to activate NK cells. J Immunol 2001;167:3114–22.

93. Canchis PW, Bhan AK, Landau SB, Yang L, Balk SP, Blumberg RS. Tissue distribution of the non-polymorphic major histocompatibility complex class I-like molecule, CD1d. Immunology 1993;80:561–5.

94. Fais F, Morabito F, Stelitano C, Callea V, Zanardi S, Scudeletti M, et al. CD1d is expressed on B-chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells and mediates alpha-galactosylceramide presentation to natural killer T lymphocytes. Int J Cancer 2004;109:402–11.

95. Metelitsa LS, Weinberg KI, Emanuel PD, Seeger RC. Expression of CD1d by myelomonocytic leukemias provides a target for cytotoxic NKT cells. Leukemia 2003;17:1068–77.

96. Chong TW, Goh FY, Sim MY, Huang HH, Thike DAA, Lim WK, et al. CD1d expression in renal cell carcinoma is associated with higher relapse rates, poorer cancer-specific and overall survival. J Clin Pathol 2014;68:200–5.

97. Dhodapkar KM, Cirignano B, Chamian F, Zagzag D, Miller DC, Finlay JL, et al. Invariant natural killer T cells are preserved in patients with glioma and exhibit antitumor lytic activity following dendritic cell-mediated expansion. Int J Cancer 2004;109:893–9.

98. Liu D, Song L, Brawley VS, Robison N, Wei J, Gao X, et al. Medulloblastoma expresses CD1d and can be targeted for immunotherapy with NKT cells. Clin Immunol 2013;149:55–64.

99. Kroemer G, Galluzzi L, Kepp O, Zitvogel L. Immunogenic cell death in cancer therapy. Annu Rev Immunol 2013;31:51–72.

100. Krysko DV, Garg AD, Kaczmarek A, Krysko O, Agostinis P, Vandenabeele P. Immunogenic cell death and DAMPs in cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2012;12:860–75.

101. Brennan PJ, Tatituri RVV, Brigl M, Kim EY, Tuli A, Sanderson JP, et al. Invariant natural killer T cells recognize lipid self antigen induced by microbial danger signals. Nat Immunol 2011;12:1202–11.

102. Wang S, Chen Z, Lam V, Han J, Hassler J, Finck BN, et al. IRE1alpha-XBP1s induces PDI expression to increase MTP activity for hepatic VLDL assembly and lipid homeostasis. Cell Metab 2012;16:473–86.

103. Brozovic S, Nagaishi T, Yoshida M, Betz S, Salas A, Chen D, et al. CD1d function is regulated by microsomal triglyceride transfer protein. Nat Med 2004;10:535–9.

104. Zeissig S, Dougan SK, Barral DC, Junker Y, Chen Z, Kaser A, et al. Primary deficiency of microsomal triglyceride transfer protein in human abetalipoproteinemia is associated with loss of CD1 function. J Clin Invest 2010;120:2889–99.

105. Hetz C, Martinon F, Rodriguez D, Glimcher LH. The unfolded protein response: integrating stress signals through the stress sensor IRE1alpha. Physiol Rev 2011;91:1219–43.

106. Lee AH, Scapa EF, Cohen DE, Glimcher LH. Regulation of hepatic lipogenesis by the transcription factor XBP1. Science 2008;320:1492–6.

107. Mahadevan NR, Rodvold J, Sepulveda H, Rossi S, Drew AF, Zanetti M. Transmission of endoplasmic reticulum stress and pro-inflammation from tumor cells to myeloid cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108: 6561–6.

108. Yang L, Jhaveri R, Huang J, Qi Y, Diehl AM. Endoplasmic reticulum stress, hepatocyte CD1d and NKT cell abnormalities in murine fatty livers. Lab Invest 2007;87:927–37.

109. Kawano T, Cui JQ, Koezuka Y, Toura I, Kaneko Y, Sato H, et al. Natural killer-like nonspecific tumor cell lysis mediated by specific ligand-activated Vα14 NKT cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1998;95:5690–3.

110. Hayakawa Y, Takeda K, Yagita H, Kakuta S, Iwakura Y, Van Kaer L, et al. Critical contribution of IFN-γ and NK cells, but not perforin-mediated cytotoxicity, to anti-metastatic effect of α-galactosylceramide. Eur J Immunol 2001;31:1720–7.

111. Toura I, Kawano T, Akutsu Y, Nakayama T, Ochiai T, Taniguchi M. Cutting edge: inhibition of experimental tumor metastasis by dendritic cells pulsed with α-galactosylceramide. J Immunol 1999;163:2387–91.

112. Nakagawa R, Motoki K, Ueno H, Iijima R, Nakamura H, Kobayashi E, et al. Treatment of hepatic metastasis of the Colon26 adenocarcinoma with an α-Galactosylceramide, KRN7000. Cancer Res 1998;58:1202–7.

113. Hayakawa Y, Rovero S, Forni G, Smyth MJ. α-Galactosylceramide (KRN7000) suppression of chemical- and oncogene-dependent carcinogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003;100:9464–9.

114. Ambrosino E, Terabe M, Halder RC, Peng J, Takaku S, Miyake S, et al. Cross-regulation between type I and type II NKT cells in regulating tumor immunity: a new immunoregulatory axis. J Immunol 2007;179:5126–36.

115. Shimizu K, Goto A, Fukui M, Taniguchi M, Fujii S-I. Tumor cells loaded with α-galactosylceramide induce innate NKT and NK cell-dependent resistance to tumor implantation in mice. J Immunol 2007;178:2853–61.

116. Shimizu K, Kurosawa Y, Taniguchi M, Steinman RM, Fujii SI. Cross-presentation of glycolipid from tumor cells loaded with alpha-galactosylceramide leads to potent and long-lived T cell-mediated immunity via dendritic cells. J Exp Med 2007;204:2641–53.

117. Nakagawa R, Nagafune I, Tazunoki Y, Ehara H, Tomura H, Iijima R, et al. Mechanisms of the antimetastatic effect in the liver and of the hepatocyte injury induced by α-galactosylceramide in mice. J Immunol 2001;166: 6578–84.

118. Smyth MJ, Crowe NY, Pellicci DG, Kyparissoudis K, Kelly JM, Takeda K, et al. Sequential production of interferon-γ by NK1.1^{+} T cells and natural killer cells is essential for the antimetastatic effect of α-galactosylceramide. Blood 2002;99:1259–66.

119. Paget C, Chow MT, Duret H, Mattarollo SR, Smyth MJ. Role of gamma-delta T cells in alpha-galactosylceramide-mediated immunity. J Immunol 2012;188:3928–39.

120. Nishimura T, Kitamura H, Iwakabe K, Yahata T, Ohta A, Sato M, et al. The interface between innate and acquired immunity: glycolipid antigen presentation by CD1d-expressing dendritic cells to NKT cells induces the differentiation of antigen-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Int Immunol 2000;12:987–94.

121. Nieda M, Nicol A, Koezuka Y, Kikuchi A, Lapteva N, Tanaka Y, et al. TRAIL expression by activated human CD4+Vα24 NKT cells induces in vitro and in vivo apoptosis of human acute myeloid leukemia cells. Blood 2001;97:2067–74.

122. Kawano T, Nakayama T, Kamada N, Kaneko Y, Harada M, Ogura N, et al. Antitumor cytotoxicity mediated by ligand-activated human Vα24 NKT cells. Cancer Res 1999;59:5102–5.

123. Parekh VV, Wilson MT, Olivares-Villagomez D, Singh AK, Wu L. Glyco-lipid antigen induces long-term natural killer T cell anergy in mice. J Clin Invest 2005;115:2572–83.

124. Uldrich AP, Crowe NY, Kyparissoudis K, Pellicci DG, Zhan Y, Lew AM, et al. NKT cell stimulation with glycolipid antigen in vivo: costimulation-dependent expansion, Bim-dependent contraction, and hyporesponsiveness to further antigenic challenge. T J Immunol 2005;175:3092–101.

125. Silk JD, Salio M, Reddy BG, Shepherd D, Gileadi U, Brown J, et al. Cutting edge: nonglycosidic CD1d lipid ligands activate human and murine invariant NKT cells. J Immunol 2008;180:6452–6.

126. Hogan AE, O'Reilly V, Dunne MR, Dere RT, Zeng SG, O'Brien C, et al. Activation of human invariant natural killer T cells with a thioglycoside analogue of α-galactosylceramide. Clin Immunol 2011;140: 196–207.

127. Wojno J, Jukes J-P, Ghadbane H, Shepherd D, Besra GS, Cerundolo V, et al. Amide analogues of CD1d agonists modulate inkt-cell-mediated cytokine production. ACS Chem Biol 2012;7:847–55.

128. Jervis PJ, Polzella P, Wojno J, Jukes JP, Ghadbane H, Garcia Diaz YR, et al. Design, synthesis, and functional activity of labeled CD1d glycolipid agonists. Bioconjug Chem 2013;24:586–94.

129. Goff RD, Gao Y, Mattner J, Zhou D, Yin N, Cantu C III, et al. Effects of lipid chain lengths in α-galactosylceramides on cytokine release by natural killer T cells. J Am Chem Soc 2004;126:13602–3.

130. Yu KO, Im JS, Molano A, Dutronc Y, Illarionov PA. Modulation of CD1d-restricted NKT cell responses by using N-acyl variants of α-galactosylceramides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:3383–8.

131. Schmieg J, Yang G, Franck RW, Tsuji M. Superior protection against malaria and melanoma metastases by a C-glycoside analogue of the natural killer T cell ligand alpha-Galactosylceramide. J Exp Med 2003; 198:1631–41.

132. Li X, Fujio M, Imamura M, Wu D, Vasan S, Wong CH, et al. Design of a potent CD1d-binding NKT cell ligand as a vaccine adjuvant. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107:13010–5.

133. Tashiro T, Sekine-Kondo E, Shigeura T, Nakagawa R, Inoue S, Omori-Miyake M, et al. Induction of Th1-biased cytokine production by alpha-carba-GalCer, a neoglycolipid ligand for NKT cells. Int Immunol 2010; 22:319–28.

134. Fujii S, Shimizu K, Klimek V, Geller MD, Nimer SD, Dhodapkar MV. Severe and selective deficiency of interferon-γ-producing invariant natural killer T cells in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Br J Haematol 2003;122:617–22.

135. Molling JW, Kolgen W, van der Vliet HJJ, Boomsma MF, Kruizenga H, Smorenburg CH, et al. Peripheral blood IFN-γ-secreting Vα24[+]Vβ11[+] NKT cell numbers are decreased in cancer patients independent of tumor type or tumor load. Int J Cancer 2005;116:87–93.

136. Najera Chuc A, Cervantes LM, Retiguin F, Ojeda J, Maldonado E. Low number of invariant NKT cells is associated with poor survival in acute myeloid leukemia. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2012;138:1427–32.

137. Molling JW, Langius JAE, Langendijk JA, Leemans CR, Bontkes HJ, van der Vliet HJJ, et al. Low levels of circulating invariant natural killer t cells predict poor clinical outcome in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:862–8.

138. Tachibana T, Onodera H, Tsuruyama T, Mori A, Nagayama S, Hiai H, et al. Increased intratumor Vα24-positive natural killer t cells: a prognostic factor for primary colorectal carcinomas. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11: 7322–7.

139. Shaulov A, Yue S, Wang R, Joyce RM, Balk SP, Kim HT, et al. Peripheral blood progenitor cell product contains Th1-biased noninvariant CD1d-reactive natural killer T cells: implications for posttransplant survival. Exp Hematol 2008;36:464–72.

140. Metelitsa LS, Wu H-W, Wang H, Yang Y, Warsi Z, Asgharzadeh S, et al. Natural killer T cells infiltrate neuroblastomas expressing the chemokine CCL2. J Exp Med 2004;199:1213–21.

141. Berzins SP, Kyparissoudis K, Pellicci DG, Hammond KJ, Sidobre S, Baxter A, et al. Systemic NKT cell deficiency in NOD mice is not detected in peripheral blood: implications for human studies. Immunol Cell Biol 2004;82:247–52.

142. Song L, Ara T, Wu H-W, Woo C-W, Reynolds CP, Seeger RC, et al. Oncogene MYCN regulates localization of NKT cells to the site of disease in neuroblastoma. J Clin Invest 2007;117:2702–12.

143. Bricard G, Cesson V, Devevre E, Bouzourene H, Barbey C, Rufer N, et al. Enrichment of human CD4^{+} vα24/vβ11 invariant NKT cells in intrahepatic malignant tumors. J Immunol 2009;182:5140–51.

144. Motohashi S, Kobayashi S, Ito T, Magara KK, Mikuni O, Kamada N, et al. Preserved IFN-α production of circulating Vα24 NKT cells in primary lung cancer patients. Int J Cancer 2002;102:159–65.

145. Coca S, Perez-Piqueras J, Martinez D, Colmenarejo A, Saez MA, Vallejo C, et al. The prognostic significance of intratumoral natural killer cells in patients with colorectal carcinoma. Cancer 1997;79:2320–8.

146. Terabe M, Berzofsky JA. The role of NKT cells in tumor immunity. Adv Cancer Res 2008;101:277–348.

147. Giaccone G, Punt CJA, Ando Y, Ruijter R, Nishi N, Peters M, et al. A phase I study of the natural killer T-cell ligand α-galactosylceramide (KRN7000) in patients with solid tumors. Clin Cancer Res 2002;8:3702–9.

148. Crowe NY, Uldrich AP, Kyparissoudis K, Hammond KJ, Hayakawa Y, Sidobre S, et al. Glycolipid antigen drives rapid expansion and sustained cytokine production by NK T cells. J Immunol 2003;71:4020–7.

149. Parekh VV, Lalani S, Kim S, Halder R, Azuma M, Yagita H, et al. PD-1/PD-L blockade prevents anergy induction and enhances the anti-tumor activities of glycolipid-activated invariant NKT cells. J Immunol 2009;182: 2816–26.

150. Ishikawa A, Motohashi S, Ishikawa E, Fuchida H, Higashino K, Otsuji M, et al. A phase I study of alpha-galactosylceramide (KRN7000)-pulsed dendritic cells in patients with advanced and recurrent non–small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:1910–7.

151. Fujii S, Shimizu K, Kronenberg M, Steinman RM. Prolonged IFN-γ-producing NKT response induced with α-galactosylceramide-loaded DCs. Nat Immunol 2002;3:867–74.

152. Bezbradica JS, Stanic AK, Matsuki N, Bour-Jordan H, Bluestone JA. Distinct roles of dendritic cells and B cells in Vα14Jα18 natural T cell activation in vivo. J. Immunol 2005;174:4696–705.

153. Nieda M, Okai M, Tazbirkova A, Lin H, Yamaura A, Ide K, et al. Therapeutic activation of Valpha24+Vbeta11+ NKT cells in human subjects results in highly coordinated secondary activation of acquired and innate immunity. Blood 2004;103:383–9.

154. Chang DH, Osman K, Connolly J, Kukreja A, Krasovsky J. Sustained expansion of NKT cells and antigen-specific T cells after injection of α-galactosyl-ceramide loaded mature dendritic cells in cancer patients. J Exp Med 2005;201:1503–17.

155. Uchida T, Horiguchi S, Tanaka Y, Yamamoto H, Kunii N, Motohashi S, et al. Phase I study of alpha-galactosylceramide-pulsed antigen presenting cells administration to the nasal submucosa in unresectable or recurrent head and neck cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2008; 57:37–45.

156. Kurosaki M, Horiguchi S, Yamasaki K, Uchida Y, Motohashi S, Nakayama T, et al. Migration and immunological reaction after the administration of alphaGalCer-pulsed antigen-presenting cells into the submucosa of

157. Nicol AJ, Tazbirkova A, Nieda M. Comparison of clinical and immunological effects of intravenous and intradermal administration of alpha-galactosylceramide (KRN7000)-pulsed dendritic cells. Clin Cancer Res 2011;17:5140–51.

158. Nagato K, Motohashi S, Ishibashi F, Okita K, Yamasaki K, Moriya Y, et al. Accumulation of activated invariant natural killer T cells in the tumor microenvironment after α-galactosylceramide-pulsed antigen presenting cells. J Clin Immunol 2012;32:1071–81.

159. Richter J, Neparidze N, Zhang L, Nair S, Monesmith T, Sundaram R, et al. Clinical regressions and broad immune activation following combination therapy targeting human NKT cells in myeloma. Blood 2013;121: 423–30.

160. Motohashi S, Nagato K, Kunii N, Yamamoto H, Yamasaki K, Okita K, et al. A phase I-II study of α-galactosylceramide-pulsed IL-2/GM-CSF-cultured peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients with advanced and recurrent non–small cell lung cancer. J Immunol 2009;182:2492–501.

161. Chang DH, Liu N, Klimek V, Hassoun H, Mazumder A, Nimer SD, et al. Enhancement of ligand-dependent activation of human natural killer T cells by lenalidomide: therapeutic implications. Blood 2006;108:618–21.

162. Motohashi S, Ishikawa A, Ishikawa E, Otsuji M, Iizasa T, Hanaoka H, et al. A phase I study of in vitro expanded natural killer T cells in patients with advanced and recurrent non–small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2006;12:6079–86.

163. Yamasaki K, Horiguchi S, Kurosaki M, Kunii N, Nagato K, Hanaoka H, et al. Induction of NKT cell-specific immune responses in cancer tissues after NKT cell-targeted adoptive immunotherapy. Clin Immunol 2011;138:255–65.

164. Kunii N, Horiguchi S, Motohashi S, Yamamoto H, Ueno N, Yamamoto S, et al. Combination therapy of in vitro-expanded natural killer T cells and alpha-galactosylceramide-pulsed antigen-presenting cells in patients with recurrent head and neck carcinoma. Cancer Sci 2009;100:1092–8.

165. Watarai H, Fujii S, Yamada D, Rybouchkin A, Sakata S, Nagata Y, et al. Murine induced pluripotent stem cells can be derived from and differentiate into natural killer T cells. J Clin Invest 2010;120:2610–8.

The Regulatory Role of Invariant NKT Cells in Tumor Immunity

Rosanna M. McEwen-Smith, Mariolina Salio and Vincenzo Cerundolo

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/3/5/425

Cited articles	This article cites 164 articles, 76 of which you can access for free at: http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/3/5/425.full#ref-list-1
Citing articles	This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at: http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/3/5/425.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/content/3/5/425. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.