Severe Adverse Immunologic Reaction in a Patient with Glioblastoma Receiving Autologous Dendritic Cell Vaccines Combined with GM-CSF and Dose-Intensified Temozolomide
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Abstract

Therapeutic vaccination of patients with cancer-targeting tumor-associated antigens is a promising strategy for the specific eradication of invasive malignancies with minimal toxicity to normal tissues. However, as increasingly potent modalities for stimulating immunologic responses are developed for clinical evaluation, the risk of inflammatory and autoimmune toxicities also may be exacerbated. In this report, we describe the induction of a severe (grade 3) immunologic reaction in a patient with newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM) receiving autologous RNA-pulsed dendritic cell (DC) vaccines admixed with GM-CSF and administered coordinately with cycles of dose-intensified temozolomide. Shortly after the eighth administration of the admixed intradermal vaccine, the patient experienced dizziness, flushing, conjunctivitis, headache, and the outbreak of a disseminated macular/papular rash and bilateral indurated injection sites. Immunologic workup of patient reactivity revealed sensitization to the GM-CSF component of the vaccine and the production of high levels of anti-GM-CSF autoantibodies during vaccination. Removal of GM-CSF from the DC vaccine allowed continued vaccination without incident. Despite the known lymphodepletive and immunosuppressive effects of temozolomide, these observations demonstrate the capacity for the generation of severe immunologic reactivity in patients with GBM receiving DC-based therapy during adjuvant dose-intensified temozolomide. Cancer Immunol Res; 3(4); 320–5. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction

Immunotherapy is an innovative approach to the treatment of invasive malignancies that holds considerable promise for the development of effective and nontoxic treatment for refractory tumors such as glioblastoma (GBM). Autologous dendritic cell (DC) vaccines are a cellular therapeutic platform that has been deployed in patients with GBM with the aim of the active induction of potent antitumor immunity that spares normal eloquent cortex (1–4). DCs pulsed with GBM tumor antigens in the form of lysates, defined peptides, or antigen-encoding RNAs have been shown to be safe while inducing immunologic responses and promising antitumor efficacy in early-stage clinical studies (5–11). In attempts to potentiate these immune responses, adjuvants such as GM-CSF are often incorporated into vaccine formulations (12, 13). GM-CSF is naturally produced to stimulate white blood cells and is not expected to precipitate hypersensitivity. Furthermore, autologous DC vaccines have rarely been reported to induce intolerable inflammatory toxicity or autoimmunologic reactions in patients receiving intradermal injection of antigen-pulsed DCs. We report the induction of a severe hypersensitivity reaction in a patient with GBM enrolled on a clinical trial evaluating the use of autologous DCs pulsed with human cytomegalovirus (CMV) pp65 RNA and coinjected with recombinant GM-CSF (sargramostim) as an adjuvant. CMV antigens have been reported by several groups to be expressed in GBM tumors, and we have demonstrated the relevance of CMV pp65 as an immunologic target in GBM (10, 14–16). This is our first experience of a severe immunologic reaction in a patient receiving autologous DC vaccination, which occurred despite the patient being on continuous cycles of lymphodepletive and myelosuppressive temozolomide. These results demonstrate the capacity to induce significant immunologic reactions with repetitive vaccination, including hypersensitivities in an immunosuppressed patient population receiving intensive chemotherapy. Clinical work-up of this episode demonstrates capacity to rationally evaluate vaccine hypersensitivity to minimize subsequent risk in treated patients.

Case Report

This is a 55-year-old white male with newly diagnosed GBM who underwent left parietal craniotomy, resulting in gross total resection (>95% removal of enhancing lesion). One month after resection, the patient enrolled in a clinical trial evaluating autologous RNA-pulsed DC vaccines plus GM-CSF in patients with...
newly diagnosed GBM receiving dose-intensiﬁed temozolomide (FDA IND 12839, Duke IRB Pro0006677). Before the initiation of chemoradiotherapy, the patient underwent leukapheresis for harvest of peripheral blood leukocytes and generation of autologous RNA-pulsed DC vaccines. The patient received the ﬁrst cycle of adjuvant temozolomide (100 mg/m²/d × 21 days per 28-day cycle); starting on day 22 of the ﬁrst cycle, he received 3 biweekly intradermal DC (2 × 10⁷ cells) + GM-CSF (800 units) vaccines followed by the second cycle of dose-intensiﬁed temozolomide. Thereafter, the patient received monthly 21-day dose-intensiﬁed temozolomide cycles and vaccines on day 22 of each cycle. At vaccine 8, the patient received bilateral inguinal region intradermal vaccines as per protocol, but within 2 minutes of vaccine administration, he became ﬂushed and developed conjunctivitis. In addition, he developed an episode of confusion lasting several minutes and complained of burning sensations in his left foot and toes. He developed diffuse large hives over his chest, back, and axilla while developing a smaller macular/popular rash in the antecubital fossa of both arms. The patient’s upper thigh areas, where the vaccine was administered, developed atypically large erythematous and indurated areas bilaterally measuring approximately 15 mm × 10 mm with additional small raised red bumps above and below both injection sites. He described having a frontal headache with tunnel vision, but denied difﬁculty breathing, diaphoresis, or dizziness. Meanwhile, his electrolytes, liver transaminases, and sequential 15-minute vital sign checks remained stable. A complete blood count drawn just before vaccination showed stable counts: hemoglobin of 15.5 g/dL, platelet count of 189 × 10⁹ and a white blood cell count of 7.8 × 10⁹, with 77% segmented neutrophils, 3% bands, 10% lymphocytes, 4% monocytes, and 6% eosinophiles (complete blood count values and manual differentials remained at patient’s baseline during vaccinations). The patient was observed until his hives and confusion resolved within 30 minutes. He was transferred to the hospital for admission, but left against medical advice. The study team remained in contact with the patient via telephone, and the following morning the patient stated that his injection-site swelling was smaller and his erythematous lesions had resolved.

The adverse reaction was immediately reported to the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and FDA as a grade 3 vaccine–related allergic reaction. The Division of Allergy and Immunology was consulted, and a plan for testing the individual components of the vaccine for hypersensitivity was developed in consultation with our study team and under guidance by the IRB and FDA. The vaccine components (GM-CSF and autologous RNA-pulsed DCs) were tested separately in small doses by skin-reaction test. Administration of a test dose of GM-CSF resulted in the almost immediate development of localized induration consistent with a sensitivity reaction (Fig. 1A); the patient’s IgE isotype remained at background levels before and after vaccination. The total antibody concentration for both IgG and IgM, #109-116-127). IgE–speciﬁc antibodies were analyzed using a biotinylated mouse anti-IgE monoclonal antibody (Jackson Immuno Research; goat anti-human phycocerythrin (PE), F(ab’)2 fragment speciﬁc for both IgG and IgM, #109-116-127). IgE–speciﬁc antibodies were analyzed using a biotinylated mouse anti-IgE monoclonal antibody in conjunction with streptavidin–PE (Southern Biotech; 7100-09M) to detect the bead-captured anti–GM-CSF. Labeled beads were then analyzed on a ﬂow cytometer to determine their mean ﬂuorescence intensity (MFI). To conﬁrm speciﬁcity, the capacity to block detection of GM-CSF antibodies in serum by preabsorption of serum with GM-CSF was evaluated at all time points. We tested the patient’s serum, obtained before each vaccine, for anti–GM-CSF antibodies as described above. The total antibody response peaked by vaccine 8, coincident with the patient’s hypersensitivity reaction (Fig. 1A) veriﬁed by competitive inhibition (Fig. 1B); the patient’s IgE isotype remained at background levels before peaking at vaccine 8, signifying the development of an IgE-mediated reaction (Fig. 2). To verify the presence of anti–GM-CSF IgE...
antibodies above background levels, competitive blocking experiments of antibody binding were performed as described above.

ELISPOT assay
A gamma interferon enzyme-linked immunospot (IFNγ ELISPOT) assay was used to quantify the number of antigen-specific cytokine-secreting T cells using an overlapping CMV peptide pool (gift from Robert Olmsted at Alphavax) as previously published (17). The mean number of spot-forming units from duplicate wells, after subtraction of counts from cells cultured with no peptide, was determined. A positive result was determined with replicate control samples to be greater than 10 spots and at least 2-fold greater than background with no peptide.

**Figure 1.**
Detection of anti–GM-CSF polyclonal antibodies. A, polyclonal antibody fluorescence index (MFI) against GM-CSF is plotted over time coinciding with vaccine and apheresis administrations. Anti–GM-CSF antibodies (IgG + IgM) increase over time with repeated vaccinations using recombinant GM-CSF and begin to decrease after the adjuvant is removed. B, polyclonal antibody fluorescence index (MFI) against GM-CSF is graphed at vaccine 8 with and without competitive blockade of the polyclonal antibody. Competitive antibody blockade decreases the detection of anti–GM-CSF antibodies as measured by MFI at vaccine 8.

**Figure 2.**
Detection of anti–GM-CSF IgE antibodies. IgE antibody fluorescence index (MFI) against GM-CSF is plotted over time, coinciding with vaccine and apheresis administrations. IgE antibody fluorescence against GM-CSF peaks at vaccine 8, consistent with the patient’s hypersensitivity reaction at this time point before slowly decreasing back to baseline after adjuvant removal.
This patient demonstrated no detectable pp65-specific immunity at baseline with the induction of a strong de novo antigen-specific response with subsequent vaccinations (Fig. 3).

**Tetramer analysis**

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with GBM were stained for 30 minutes at 2 to 8°C in the dark with CD8-FITC (BD Bioscience) and CD3-APC (BD Bioscience) in conjunction with PE-conjugated CMVpp65-specific tetramers (Beckman Coulter; HLA-B*0702, HLA-B*3501). Cells were incubated with FACS Lyse (BD Bioscience) for 30 minutes in the dark, washed, and analyzed on BD FACS Calibur. The patient displayed de novo expansion of a CMVpp65-specific T-cell response during vaccination as analyzed by tetramer staining (Fig. 4A). There was a strong correlation between the induction of pp65-specific immune response and anti-GM-CSF antibody response in this patient (Fig. 4B).

**Discussion**

Administration of GM-CSF has been associated with constitutional symptoms such as fever and tachycardia, but rarely with severe immune reaction. The presence of GM-CSF antibodies might be a cause of a severe immune reaction. Future trials should consider evaluating GM-CSF antibodies as a potential adverse event and as a marker of a robust immune response.
type I hypersensitivity reactions (18). Antibodies to GM-CSF have been reported, however, in autoimmune diseases such as those implicated in the pathophysiology of pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP), and there are reports of detectable auto-antibodies in normal/healthy patients (19, 20). Healthy patients, however, developed neutralizing antibodies without overt clinical manifestations, whereas those with PAP developed pulmonary manifestations of decreased alveolar macrophage surfactant clearance (19, 20). Although auto-antibody production is rarely associated with clinical manifestations, there have been incidental case reports of anaphylactic reactions involved with GM-CSF (21). Meanwhile, although immunotherapeutic interventions have been shown to invoke cellular and humoral immunity via recombinant GM-CSF in clinical trials, these trials refer to neutralizing antibodies without clinical significance (22). In this report, we describe a patient on an immunotherapy trial who presented with a clinically significant hypersensitivity reaction after serial administrations of GM-CSF–containing RNA-pulsed DC vaccines. This case not only highlights the serious clinical sequelae that may follow serial administrations of GM-CSF, but also demonstrates the potent immunologic induction of auto-antibodies in a lymphodepleted patient with GBM despite receiving dose-intensified temozolomide.

The patient received seven intradermal injections of DCs per vaccination loaded with RNA encoding the CMV antigen pp65 before developing a hypersensitivity response with vaccine 8. Immune monitoring of response to pp65 vaccination demonstrated the de novo induction and expansion of functional T-cell responses against the targeted antigen concomitant with the development of hypersensitivity to GM-CSF. There are four major types of hypersensitivity reactions. Type I reactions involve antigens cross-linking IgE on presensitized mast cells, triggering the release of vasoactive amines such as histamine (23). The reaction develops rapidly after antigen exposure due to preexisting antibodies, and this patient’s history of hives, swelling, and confusion is suggestive of a possible IgE-mediated type I hypersensitivity reaction to vaccine administration (23). Alternatively, type II reactions involve binding of IgG and IgM to host antigens, leading to lysis by complement or phagocytosis similar to that in autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (24). These reactions are typically more insidious and involve end organs such as joints and kidneys (24). This patient’s skin rash and abrupt onset of symptoms appear to be inconsistent with a type I reaction. Type III reactions involve antigen–antibody complexes leading to complement activation in serum sickness and autoimmune illnesses such as systemic lupus erythematosus (23). This immune complex deposition mediates endothelial damage and is often associated with fever and skin rashes (23). Through the duration of this clinical presentation, the patient remained afebrile with expeditious resolution of his symptoms, which is inconsistent with a prototypical type III reaction. Type IV reactions (delayed-type hypersensitivity) involve sensitized T lymphocytes and are typically localized, occurring 48 to 72 hours after exposure in patients presenting with diffuse skin erythema, induration, and blister formation as in contact dermatitis (i.e., poison ivy) and tuberculosis skin testing (25). However, given the patient’s abrupt symptom onset with multisystem involvement, delayed hypersensitivity to sargramostim is also inconsistent with this presentation. In addition, other etiologies of severe immunologic reactions, including a systemic cytokine release syndrome (“cytokine storm”) secondary to RNA-pulsed DC vaccination, appear unlikely because he previously tolerated vaccine administrations before and after his allergic reaction.

Given the acute onset and constellation of symptoms, this patient’s presentation is consistent with a type 1 hypersensitivity reaction secondary to the development of IgE antibodies to GM-CSF. This patient showed immunologic reactivity against the targeted DC vaccine with the induction and expansion of pp65–specific T cells during successive DC vaccinations. The positive correlation between his anti-pp65 tetramer frequencies and anti–GM-CSF antibody production further exemplifies that an effective vaccination regimen may be associated with an increased risk of hypersensitivity reactions. However, in our experience administering hundreds of DC vaccines to patients with GBM, this single incident highlighting the risk of hypersensitivity development is an outlier when extrapolated to the remainder of our patient experience. GM-CSF is a frequently used adjuvant in generating immune responses against target cancer antigens, and the capacity to develop severe immunologic reactions mediated through breakage of tolerance to this cytokine should be noted. In this regard, screening for induction of anti–GM-CSF antibody development may be warranted for patients receiving repetitive GM-CSF administration with vaccination. In this way, GM-CSF auto-antibodies may be a harbinger for clinical symptomology and immunologic response to vaccination.

In summary, we describe a reported case of type I hypersensitivity to recombinant GM-CSF in a patient with GBM with no previous history of autoimmune disease enrolled in an immunotherapy trial employing autologous DC vaccines. This unusual hypersensitivity reaction after successive administrations with recombinant GM-CSF–containing vaccines not only suggests increased caution in use of this adjuvant, but also highlights the capacity for potent immunologic induction of a response to a “self-antigen” despite administration of lymphodepleting and myelosuppressive dose-intensified temozolomide.
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