T Cells Bearing a Chimeric Antigen Receptor against Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen Mediate Vascular Disruption and Result in Tumor Regression

Stephen P. Santoro1, Soorin Kim1, Gregory T. Motz1, Dimitrios Alatzoglou1, Chunsheng Li1, Melita Irving2, Daniel J. Powell Jr1, and George Coukos1,2,3

Abstract
Aberrant blood vessels enable tumor growth, provide a barrier to immune infiltration, and serve as a source of protumorigenic signals. Targeting tumor blood vessels for destruction, or tumor vascular disruption therapy, can therefore provide significant therapeutic benefit. Here, we describe the ability of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)–bearing T cells to recognize human prostate–specific membrane antigen (hPSMA) on endothelial targets in vitro as well as in vivo. CAR T cells were generated using the anti-PSMA scFv, J591, and the intracellular signaling domains: CD3ζ, CD28, and/or CD137/4-1BB. We found that all anti-hPSMA CAR T cells recognized and eliminated PSMA+ endothelial targets in vitro, regardless of the signaling domain. T cells bearing the third-generation anti-hPSMA CAR, P28BBζ, were able to recognize and kill primary human endothelial cells isolated from gynecologic cancers. In addition, the P28BBζ CAR T cells mediated regression of hPSMA-expressing vascular neoplasms in mice. Finally, in murine models of ovarian cancers populated by murine vessels expressing hPSMA, the P28BBζ CAR T cells were able to ablate PSMA+ vessels, cause secondary depletion of tumor cells, and reduce tumor burden. Taken together, these results provide a strong rationale for the use of CAR T cells as agents of tumor vascular disruption, specifically those targeting PSMA. Cancer Immunol Res; 3(1); 68–84. ©2014 AACR.

Introduction
The process of tumor development is intricately linked to changes in the local tumor microenvironment. In addition to tumor cells, the microenvironment comprises immune cells, fibroblasts, and aberrant tumor blood vessels, as well as noncellular components such as signaling molecules and the extracellular matrix. The relationship of the tumor with the microenvironment is dynamic, and cross-talk between the two contributes to cancer progression. Within the microenvironment, tumor blood vessels play a central role in cancer progression, providing both oxygen and nutrient exchange to the tumor (1). In addition, endothelial cells lining the blood vessels (i.e., the endothelium) can provide growth signals, such as IL6 (2), which promote tumor cell proliferation. Tumor endothelial cells are also able to secrete angiogenic factors that contribute to the stemness of nearby cancer cells, promoting both disease severity and resistance to chemotherapy (3). Finally, the tumor endothelium provides a physical barrier to immune cell infiltration, actively protecting the tumor from immunosurveillance (4, 5). We previously demonstrated that activation of endothelin B receptor on the tumor endothelium initiates mechanisms that inhibit T-cell adhesion and subsequent tumor penetration (4). In addition, we showed that tumor blood vessels upregulate Fasl in response to prostaglandin, vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA), and IL10. FasL expression by the tumor endothelium preferentially kills CD8+ T cells while leaving T regulatory cells (Treg) unharmed (5). Together, these findings emphasize the importance of the tumor vasculature in cancer progression and indicate that the destruction of these vessels may have an important impact on tumor development.

T cells can be engineered to recognize tumor or tumor vascular–specific antigens through transduction with either an exogenous T-cell receptor (TCR) or a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR). CARs are composed of a tumor-targeting moiety, most often an scFv, linked to a transmembrane region and intracellular signaling domains that activate the T cell upon antigen engagement (6). They offer a significant advantage over TCRs in that they are MHC independent (i.e., a single universal receptor can be used to treat all patients whose tumor or vasculature expresses the target antigen regardless of their MHC haplotype). Several cell-surface targets have been identified to distinguish the tumor endothelium from normal vessels. The VEGF receptors (VEGFR), for example, are highly expressed on the vasculature of a broad range of solid tumors (7), and CAR T cells directed against these receptors have been shown to destroy the tumor vasculature and impair tumor growth (8, 9). Although efficacious against these targets in mouse...
models, CAR T cells have not yet been developed against additional tumor vascular antigens.

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), best known as a prostate cancer–specific target, is a surface glycoprotein abundantly expressed on the endothelium of many solid tumors, but not on the normal vasculature (10, 11). PSMA is a 750-amino acid type II membrane-bound protein transcribed from the PSMA locus, which encodes a number of splice variants, including multiple membrane-bound and cytosolic isoforms (12, 13). Interestingly, the ratio of membrane to cytosolic PSMA dramatically increases in prostate cancer (14). Recent studies have demonstrated that PSMA expression confers a proliferative advantage to tumor cells through its function as a hydrolase of poly- and gamma-glutamated folate (15). As such, it is presumed that PSMA plays a metabolic role on the activated tumor endothelium. Additional functions have also been ascribed to PSMA. For example, mice lacking PSMA exhibit impaired angiogenesis as a result of defects in endothelial cell invasion (16). The expression of PSMA by the LNCaP prostate cancer cell line has been shown to induce the expression and secretion of IL6, which increases the proliferative potential of tumor cells (17). Because the tumor endothelium has been shown to be an important source of IL6 (2), it is conceivable that PSMA signaling is also involved in the production of IL6 from these cells. Taken together, these data implicate PSMA as a contributor to tumor progression, and provide strong rationale for the generation of CAR T cells against the tumor endothelial cells on which it is expressed.

Here, we describe the development of CAR T-cell therapy directed against human (h)PSMA expressed by the tumor endothelium and provide proof of principle that this approach may be used to elicit tumor vascular disruption. We demonstrate that anti-hPSMA CAR-bearing T cells function against endothelial targets in vitro regardless of the signaling domain incorporated into their design (ζ, 28ζ, BBζ, or 28BBζ). We also establish that the third-generation CAR T cells, containing the 28BBζ signaling domain, are able to recognize primary tumor endothelial cells isolated from subjects with gynecologic cancer. Furthermore, we show that in vivo the 28BBζ T cells are able to resolve murine hemangioma and hemangiosarcoma tumors, which express hPSMA. Using state-of-the-art luciferase imaging technology, we show that in vivo the 28BBζ T cells are able to resolve murine hemangioma and hemangiosarcoma tumors, which express hPSMA. Using state-of-the-art luciferase imaging technology, we show that in vivo the 28BBζ T cells are able to resolve murine hemangioma and hemangiosarcoma tumors, which express hPSMA. Using state-of-the-art luciferase imaging technology, we show that in vivo the 28BBζ T cells are able to resolve murine hemangioma and hemangiosarcoma tumors, which express hPSMA. Using state-of-the-art luciferase imaging technology, we show that in vivo the 28BBζ T cells are able to resolvemurine hemangioma and hemangiosarcoma tumors, which express hPSMA. Using state-of-the-art luciferase imaging technology, we show that in vivo the 28BBζ T cells are able to resolve murine hemangioma and hemangiosarcoma tumors, which express hPSMA. Using state-of-the-art luciferase imaging technology, we show that in vivo

**Materials and Methods**

**CAR construction**

The J591 (18) and MOv19 (19) scFvs were gifts from M. Sadelain and D. Powell, respectively. The pELNS lentiviral vector and the genes encoding the CAR signaling domains ζ, 28ζ, BBζ, and 28BBζ were gifts from C. June (20). pELNS is a third-generation self-inactivating lentiviral expression cassette based on pRRL-SIN-CMV-eGFP-WPRE (21), with transgene expression driven by the EF-1α promoter. The constructs were engineered to express an upstream eGFP reporter separated from the CAR by a T2A sequence. The J591 and MOv19 scFvs were amplified via PCR and subcloned into an intermediary vector (pCLPS) using 5′-BamHI and 3′-NheI restriction enzyme cut sites. The full-length sequence for each CAR was then isolated from the pCLPS vectors using 5′ Avrl and 3′ Sall restriction enzyme cut sites. Finally, the CAR constructs were ligated into the pELNS vector backbone, which was gel-purified after digestion with XbaI (compatible with Avrl) and Sall restriction enzymes.

**Lentiviral production**

Lentivirus was generated as previously described (22). Briefly, 2.0 × 10^7 293T cells were plated in T-150 tissue culture flask 18 hours before transfection with transgene (15 μg pELNS) and packaging plasmids (7 μg pSV-S-G, 18 μg pRSV-REV, and 18 μg pMDlg/p.RRE). Supernatants were collected at 24 and 48 hours and were then combined and concentrated via ultracentrifugation at 28,000 rpm for 3 hours. Virus was resuspended in 2-mL RPMI (10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulfate), flash frozen, and stored at −80°C until needed.

**Human T-cell transduction**

T cells were isolated from healthy donors by the Human Immunology Core at the University of Pennsylvania under a protocol approved by the university’s institutional review board. With minor modifications, T cells were transduced as previously described (22). Briefly, T cells were cultured in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulfate. Twenty hours before transduction, 5.0 × 10^5 T cells were activated using anti-CD3/anti-CD28-coated beads (Invitrogen) at a 2:1 bead-to-T-cell ratio in RPMI supplemented with 50 IU/mL recombinant human IL2 (Peprotech). Activated T cells were spinoculated at 1,000 g for 90 minutes with lentivirus [multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10] and polybrene (8 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) in a total volume of 1 mL. Twenty-four hours after spinoculation, half the media were removed (0.5 mL) and cells were given 1.5 mL of fresh RPMI supplemented with 50 IU/mL recombinant human IL2. The media were replenished every other day with fresh RPMI and IL2 (50 IU/mL) so that T-cell density did not exceed approximately 1.0 × 10^6 per mL. The T cells were used between 14 and 28 days after transduction.

**Cell lines**

Low-passage (P16) 293T cells purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were used for the production of lentiviral particles. The MS1 (mouse pancreatic islet endothelial cell line) was also purchased from the ATCC. Immortalized H5V (mouse heart endothelial cells) were previously acquired (23). 293T, MS1, and H5V cell lines were grown in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulfate. HMEC-1 cells, an SV40-transformed human microvascular endothelial cell line (24), were obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA) and grown in MCDB 131 media (Invitrogen) containing mouse EGF (BD), hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), 10% FBS, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulfate and x1 Glutamax (Invitrogen). All cell lines used were tested by the University of Pennsylvania Cell Center and found to be Mycoplasma free. No other authentication assay was performed.
Cell line transduction

Stable expression of luciferase was accomplished by lentiviral transduction of the MS1, H5V, and HMEC-1 cell lines. Endothelial cells (2.5 × 10^5) were plated in a 6-well plate, and virus containing the gene for either _firefly_ luciferase or _renilla_ luciferase was added at an MOI of 10. Media were changed 24 hours after transduction. Cells were sorted for either _firefly_ or _renilla_ luciferase based upon reporter expression of the red fluorescent protein, mCherry (RFP), or the enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP), respectively, using a MOFLO cell sorter (Cytomation). Subsequent splits from the luciferase+ lines were then transduced with human PSMA as described above.

Flow cytometry

CAR T cells were identified primarily through detection of their eGFP reporter. For surface detection, T cells were stained with an APC-conjugated goat anti-mouse F(ab2) fragment (Jackson; #115-136-072). Bcl-xL expression was detected using a mouse anti-human Bcl-xL Ab (SouthernBiotech; clone 7B2.5) after fixation and permeabilization with an intracellular staining kit (eBioscience). PSMA was detected using a humanized J591 mAb (gift from N. Bander) and an APC-conjugated anti-human secondary Ab (Jackson; #109-136-098). Positive staining was assessed by comparison with a human IgG isotype control Ab (Enzo; #ALX-804-133-C100). In addition to the J591 mAb, human tumor digests were stained with APC–Cy7–conjugated anti-human CD45 (BioLegend; clone 2D1) and phycoerythrin-conjugated antifolate receptor (R&D; clone M80). Cells were stained with the J591 mAb, as well as APC–Cy7–conjugated anti-human CD45 (BioLegend; clone WM59), and phycoerythrin-conjugated antifolate receptor (R&D; clone M80) Abs before analysis. Harvested mouse tumors were also stained with the J591 mAb, as well as APC–Cy7–conjugated anti-human CD45 (BioLegend; clone 2D1) and Pacific Blue–conjugated anti-mouse CD31 (BioLegend; clone 390) Abs. All samples were stained with the fixable viability dye eFlour 506 before analysis (eBioscience).

T-cell proliferation studies

Before coculture with target cell lines, T cells were labeled with CellVue Claret as described in the kit’s technical bulletin (Sigma-Aldrich). Labeling was confirmed by flow cytometry prior to coculture. 1.0 × 10^4 CAR T cells were then cultured with 5.0 × 10^5 HMEC-1 or 1.0 × 10^5 HMEC-1PSMA endothelial cells seeded into the wells. Microwells were given 8 hours to form before addition of the CAR T cells. After 8 hours, 3.0 × 10^5 CAR T cells were added to each well and images were taken at 0, 24, and 48 hours after initiation of the coculture (E:T = 3:1). Cells were kept in an environmentally controlled chamber at 37°C with 5% CO2 throughout the experiment. The X–Y coordinates were saved for each well so that the identical field of view could be captured at each time point. Pictures were taken using a fluorescence microscope (ECLIPSE Ti; Nikon Corporation) equipped with a charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics CoolSnap HQ2; Roper Industries, Inc.) and NIS-Elements AR software (v 3.2; Nikon Corporation).

Immunohistochemistry

For human tissue microarray (TMA) staining, paraffin-embedded tissues were baked at 60°C for 1 hour, deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated in sequential gradations of alcohol, and washed in water. Depending on the Ab, antigen retrieval was performed using either citrate or EDTA buffer. Endogenous peroxidase was inactivated with Dual Endogenous Enzyme block (Dako). Following Ab staining, TMAs were visualized with diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Dako) or an alkaline phosphatase red substrate kit (dual stains). Sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin. Sections were stained with an anti-human PSMA Ab (Dako; clone 3E6) alone or in combination with anti-human CD34 Ab (Thermo Scientific; rabbit polyclonal). The TMAs were then scanned and analyzed using ImageScope software. For TMAs that included multiple cores from the same subject, individuals were considered PSMA+ if staining could be
confirmed on any of the cores from that subject. Damaged or absent cores were excluded from analysis.

**Enrichment of human CD31+ endothelial cells**

Human tumor specimens were gathered with approval from the University of Pennsylvania institutional review board in compliance with the U.S. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). Briefly, tumors were mechanically or enzymatically digested to yield single-cell suspensions that were subsequently frozen and stored at –150°C until needed. Samples were rapidly thawed in a 37°C water bath. Viable cells were counted using trypan blue. Tumor digestes were first depleted for CD45 using Miltenyi anti-human CD45 beads, as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Miltenyi). The CD45+ fraction was then enriched for human CD31 endothelial cells using Miltenyi anti-human CD31 beads (Miltenyi). Both the CD45–CD31+ and CD45–CD31– populations were retrieved and analyzed by flow cytometry and/or used in functional assays.

**Mice**

All protocols were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Pennsylvania. All experiments were done using female NOD.Cg-Prkdcsld Il2rgtm1Wjl floxed and Prkdcscid mice. CAR-positive T cells were administered via tail vein injection immediately following implantation of the plugs. The number of cells inoculated/injected is noted in the figure legend(s). Mice were sacrificed after 11 days, and the Matrigel plugs were retrieved and digested with 3 U/mL dispase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 25 μg/mL DNase to yield single-cell suspensions. The cells collected from the plugs, as well as splenocytes collected from the sacrificed animals, were then stained and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**In vivo assays with HMEC-1 cells**

Matrigel plugs containing HMEC-1 (left flank) and HMEC-1PSMA (right flank) endothelial cells were injected s.c. into the flanks of mice. CAR-positive T cells were administered via tail vein injection immediately following implantation of the plugs. The number of cells inoculated/injected is noted in the figure legend(s). Mice were sacrificed after 11 days, and the Matrigel plugs were retrieved and digested with 3 U/mL dispase (Sigma-Aldrich) and 25 μg/mL DNase to yield single-cell suspensions. The cells collected from the plugs, as well as splenocytes collected from the sacrificed animals, were then stained and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**In vivo assays with MS1 cells**

Mice were injected s.c. on each flank with MS1 (left flank) and MS1PSMA (right flank) endothelial cells, and CAR-bearing T cells were administered as noted in the figure legend(s). Hemangio- noma development and response to treatment were monitored twice a week by measuring luciferase luminescence. Mice were injected with luciferin as described above, and 20 minutes after injection, the ventral surface of the animals was imaged. Mice were weighed twice per week and sacrificed if/when they lost more than 10% of their initial body mass.

**In vivo assays with MS1/ID8 cells**

Mice were injected s.c. on each flank with MS1/ID8 (left flank) and MS1PSMA/ID8 (right flank) cells, and CAR T cells were administered as noted in the figure legend(s). Luciferase luminescence and tumor volume were measured as described for the MS1 tumors. After termination of the MS1/ID8VEGF experiment, the remaining tumors were excised and split for analysis. Half of each tumor was embedded and frozen in tissue-tek optimum cutting temperature medium (VWR), whereas the other half was digested overnight in serum-free RPMI containing collagenase (175 collagen digestion units/mL) and DNAse (20 Kunitz units/mL). After digestion, tumors were filtered through a 70-μm mesh filter and treated with ammonium-chloride-potassium buffer to lyse red blood cells. Digests were then analyzed by flow cytometry. Tumors not large enough to be split were embedded in O.C.T. and frozen. For the ID8VEGF, MS1/ID8VEGF, MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF control mice (Fig. 5), eGFP radiance was also measured. The ID8VEGF tumor cells brightly express an eGFP reporter (data not shown). Spectral unmixing for eGFP was performed using the Living Image software, and the results were plotted against luciferase luminescence values taken concurrently (Fig. 5F–J).

**Statistical analysis**

Values are expressed as the mean ± either SD or SEM, as indicated in the figure legends. Statistical differences were determined to be significant at P < 0.05. Specific tests used are described in the figure legends. All analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism Software.

**Results**

**Design and characterization of an antivascul ar CAR targeting endothelial PSMA**

The effector function and persistence of CAR-bearing T cells are dependent upon the signaling domains incorporated in their design. Costimulation through CD28 and/or 4-1BB augments CAR T-cell function in vivo, generating a more potent antitumor response (18, 20). In vitro, the impact of costimulation is less clear with studies reporting either no difference in the cytolytic potential of the different generation CAR T cells (20) or enhanced killing using the second- and third-genera- tion is less clear with studies reporting either no difference in the cytolytic potential of the different generation CAR T cells (20) or enhanced killing using the second- and third-genera- tion CAR T cells (18). These data suggest that the intrinsic properties of the targeted cell line(s) may influence the functionality of the various CAR T cells, and that costimulation may confer a greater advantage against certain cell types or cell lines. Because the effect of costimulation on CAR T-cell function has not been ascertained for endot helial targets, we designed a series of CAR constructs containing the ω, 28C, BBω, or 28BBω signaling domains and compared their functionality against endothelial cells in vitro.

Research from the laboratory of Michel Sadelain has shown that CAR T cells targeted against hPSMA (hereafter referred to as PSMA) are able to eliminate prostate cancer cells in vitro as well as in vivo (18). The scFv utilized in these constructs was derived from the mouse monoclonal (m)Ab J591, which
was also used to identify PSMA on blood vessels within a number of solid tumors (10, 11, 25). We therefore utilized the J591 scFv in the design of our CAR constructs, which we referred to as Pz, P28z, PBBz, and P28BBz, based upon the intracellular signaling domain incorporated into their design. In addition, we also utilized a specificity control CAR, FR28BBz, which recognizes the nonvascular antigen, human folate receptor alpha (FRα; ref. 19). Each CAR was subcloned downstream of an eGFP reporter within the pELNS lentiviral cassette (Fig. 1A and B). Typical transduction efficiencies ranged from 50% to 90% in primary human T cells (Fig. 1C). To confirm CAR surface expression, the P28BBz T cells were stained with a goat

Figure 1. Design and characterization of an antivascular CAR. A, lentiviral cassette design for the J591-based CAR constructs: Pz, P28z, PBBz, P28BBz, and the FR28BBz specificity control. B, schematic representation of the P28BBz CAR. C, representative transduction efficiencies, as measured by eGFP reporter, for the CAR constructs in primary human T cells. Closed histograms depict untransduced cells; open histograms depict transduced T cells. D, human PSMA expression on the HMEC-1 and the engineered HMEC-1PSMA endothelial cell lines. PSMA was detected by humanized J591 Ab (open histogram) and compared with human IgG control (closed histogram). E, overlay of histograms comparing the proliferative capacity of the T-cell groups 5 days after coculture with HMEC-1PSMA. F, quantitative comparison of proliferation between CAR constructs harboring different signaling domains. The geometric MFI of Cellvue Claret staining was measured for the CAR-positive T cells after 5 days in coculture with either HMEC-1 or HMEC-1PSMA (E:T = 2:1). To normalize across multiple donors, the MFI of Cellvue staining on CAR+ T cells after coculture with HMEC-1PSMA was divided by the MFI of the CAR+ T cells after coculture with the HMEC-1. *P < 0.05; data are mean ± SEM from three independent donors. G, cytolytic activity of the various T cells after 18-hour coculture with HMEC-1 or HMEC-1PSMA. Lysis was measured via luciferase assay (specific lysis = 100 – (luciferase signal treated/luciferase signal untreated x 100)). Data are mean ± SD from triplicate cultures.
anti-mouse F(ab)₂ fragment. eGFP expression and CAR surface detection were strongly correlated, validating the use of the eGFP reporter as a proxy for CAR expression (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Although PSMA has been detected on the tumor endothelium in vivo, it has not been described on endothelial cells in culture. To ascertain whether cultured human endothelial cells express PSMA, we stained both the immortalized HMEC-1 cell line (24) as well as primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC), using the J591 mAb. We were unable to detect PSMA on either the HMEC-1 (Fig. 1D) or the HUVEC (not shown). We therefore engineered the HMEC-1 cells to express PSMA using lentivirus (Fig. 1D). To compare the ability of each CAR construct to redirect T cells toward endothelial PSMA, we first measured the proliferative capacity of the CAR-bearing T cells in response to the HMEC-1PSMA cell line. All anti-PSMA CAR T cells proliferated in response to the HMEC-1PSMA regardless of their signaling domain (Fig. 1E and F), but did not proliferate in response to the antigen-negative HMEC-1 (not shown). There were no statistical differences between the groups. In contrast with the anti-PSMA T cells, control FR28BB₇ CAR T cells did not show any significant proliferation in response to the HMEC-1PSMA when compared with untransduced (UNTR) T cells (Fig. 1F).

Similarly, we observed that all the anti-PSMA CAR T cells were able to specifically kill the HMEC-1PSMA during overnight coculture, with no statistically significant differences detected between the anti-PSMA T cells (Fig. 1G). Finally, we compared the ability of each CAR to confer resistance to apoptosis by measuring the anti-apoptotic factor, Bcl-xL, following activation. After coculture with the HMEC-1PSMA, the P28BB₇ T cells induced the highest levels of Bcl-xL expression, although this observation did not reach statistical significance (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). These data demonstrate that, in vitro, all CAR constructs are able to react against endothelial targets regardless of their intracellular signaling domain. Because we found no advantage to using any of the examined signaling domains in vitro, and because the third-generation CAR T cells (28BB₂) were found to be equivalent or superior to either the first- (C) or second-generation (28C₂ or BB₇) CAR T cells in the context of tumor control in vivo (18), we selected the P28BB₇ T cells for further experimentation.

**PSMA is expressed on the vasculature of primary and metastatic cancer**

PSMA has been detected on tumor blood vessels in a variety of cancers, including ovarian (ref. 26; summarized in Supplementary Fig. S3A). To confirm this observation for individuals with ovarian cancer, we performed immunohistochemistry on a TMA composed of 13 primary ovarian cancer specimens and 15 matched metastases (Fig. 2A, top row). We observed PSMA expression on vessel-like structures within the majority of tumors, with 12 of 13 (92%) of the subjects expressing PSMA within their primary ovarian lesion(s), and 14 of 15 (93%) expressing PSMA on one or more of their metastases (Fig. 2B). We did not identify PSMA on any of the 16 normal ovary cores analyzed. Endothelial expression of PSMA was confirmed by staining of the TMA using a CD34 antibody, in addition to the anti-PSMA antibody (Fig. 2A, bottom row). Here, we noticed the presence of CD34⁺PSMA⁻ vessels in some of the cores analyzed (Fig. 2C), corroborating the observations made in other cancers that PSMA expression was heterogeneous on vessels within the tumor (Supplementary Fig. S3B). To define the percentage of endothelial cells expressing PSMA within the tumor, we examined freshly dissociated cancer samples by flow cytometry. In support of our observations by IHC, we found PSMA expression on approximately 40% to 60% of the CD45⁻CD31⁺ endothelial cells (Fig. 2D).

Next, we asked whether the P28BB₇ CAR T cells were capable of recognizing and killing tumor endothelial cells isolated from individuals with cancer. Tumor endothelial cells were enriched from freshly dissociated tumor samples first by negative selection of CD45⁻ leukocytes and then by positive selection of CD31⁺ endothelial cells using magnetic bead sorting. CD31⁺-enriched and CD31⁻-depleted tumor-derived cells were then incubated with P28BB₇ CAR T cells for 18 hours. We found that P28BB₇ CAR T cells substantially reduced the percentage of PSMA⁺ endothelial (CD45⁻CD31⁺) cells in primary tumor-derived cells. The control FR28BB₇ CAR T cells had no impact on the percentage of PSMA⁺ cells remaining in the culture (Fig. 2E). To confirm the activation of the P28BB₇ CAR T cells in these cultures, we performed IFNγ ELISA on the supernatants collected from the overnight cocultures of the P28BB₇ CAR T cells with either the CD31-enriched or the CD31-depleted populations. IFNγ was produced in all instances in which the P28BB₇ CAR T cells were cocultured with the CD31-enriched endothelial cells (Fig. 2F). Interestingly, we also observed IFNγ production in one of the CD31-depleted cocultures (Fig. 2F, #1913). To determine whether expression of PSMA by the tumor cells could explain this observation, we stained the CD45⁻CD31⁺ population for PSMA as well as FReC, a tumor-specific antigen in ovarian cancer (27). For subject #1913, we found that a substantial portion of the FReC⁺ cells stained positive for PSMA (Supplementary Fig. S3C). Because the main cell populations in the CD45⁻CD31⁺ fraction are tumor cells and stroma fibroblasts, and because the stroma of gynecologic cancers does not express the FReC (28, 29), these findings suggest that tumor cells in some individuals with ovarian cancer may also express PSMA, which has been noted for other cancers (30). Collectively, our data along with those in numerous published reports (Supplementary Fig. S3A) demonstrate that PSMA is expressed widely on the tumor vasculature and provide rationale for the development of CAR T-cell therapy against this antigen.

**P28BB₇ CAR T cells target human tumor vasculature expressing PSMA**

To simulate the interactions of P28BB₇ CAR T cells with human tumor blood vessels in vitro, we plated HMEC-1 or HMEC-1PSMA endothelial cells on Matrigel basement membranes and allowed the cells to self-assemble into microvessels (8 hours). Upon assembly, T cells were added, and the cultures were monitored for 48 hours by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3A). As early as 24 hours after addition of the T cells to the microvessels, we observed specific localization of the P28BB₇ CAR T cells with the HMEC-1PSMA microvessels, and within 48 hours, the HMEC-1PSMA vessels were destroyed (Fig. 3B). In contrast, the antigen-negative microvessels persisted throughout the duration of the coculture with the P28BB₇ CAR T cells. As expected, the T cells directed against FReC did not impact the persistence of either the HMEC-1 or HMEC-1PSMA microvessels (Fig. 3A). These data indicate that the P28BB₇ CAR T cells were able to specifically recognize...
PSMA is expressed on the vasculature of primary and metastatic cancer. A, representative staining for PSMA (top row), as well as PSMA and the endothelial marker, CD34 (bottom row). Sequential sections were cut and stained for either PSMA alone, or PSMA with CD34. Images in each column depict the same region within the same core. Original magnification, ×200; scale bar, 50 μm. B, a heat map showing the presence or absence of PSMA on the vasculature of tumors taken from subjects with resected ovarian cancer (n = 15). C, representative tumor core staining for both PSMA (red) and CD34 (brown). Black arrows indicate CD34+ vessels that are negative for PSMA expression; red arrows indicate dual-positive (CD34+PSMA+) vessels. D, level of PSMA expression on tumor endothelial cells (CD45−CD31+) from three subjects with gynecologic cancer. Tumor endothelial cells were collected by CD45 depletion followed by CD31 enrichment. PSMA was detected by humanized J591 Ab (open histogram) and compared with human IgG control (closed histogram). E, the percentage of PSMA-positive CD45−CD31+ endothelial cells remaining after overnight coculture with CAR T cells (E:T = 1:1). Percentage = treated/untreated × 100. F, IFNγ production by P28BBζ CAR T cells after coculture with CD31 enriched or depleted targets (E:T = 1:1). Culture supernatants were collected at 18 hours, and IFNγ was measured by ELISA.

PSMA+ vessels, but not normal (PSMA−) vascular structures in vitro.

We next assessed the ability of the P28BBζ CAR T cells to recognize human endothelial cells expressing PSMA in vivo. We transplanted Matrigel plugs containing either HMEC-1 (left flank) or HMEC-1PSMA (right flank) cells into severely immunodeficient NSG mice (Fig. 3C). Immediately after transplantation of the Matrigel plugs, mice were given an i.v. injection of...
either P28BBz CAR T cells or control FR28BBz CAR T cells. The plugs and spleens were harvested 11 days after inoculation and analyzed for the presence of CAR-positive T cells via flow cytometry. In mice receiving the P28BBz T cells, we found large numbers of CAR T cells in the plugs harboring the HMEC-1 PSMA cells, whereas the plugs containing control HMEC-1 cells were largely devoid of T cells (Fig. 3D and E). Similarly, very few FR28BBz control T cells were found in the plugs containing either the PSMA-positive or -negative endothelial cell lines. Finally, we measured the percentage of CAR T cells found in the spleens of the treated mice and found significantly more P28BBz CAR T cells when compared with mice that received the FR28BBz control T cells (Fig. 3E). Together, these data demonstrate that the P28BBz CAR T cells are able to recognize and accumulate on PSMA+ endothelial cells and that they are able to persist in vivo in the presence of the antigen.

P28BBz CAR T cells eliminate PSMA+ vascular neoplasms

Because the HMEC-1 model did not reproducibly form vascular structures in vivo, we used two well-established murine endothelial models, the MS1 murine hemangiomma model (31) and the H5V hemangiosarcoma model (23), to test the therapeutic efficacy of the P28BBz CAR T cells. First, we engineered the expression of human PSMA onto the surface of the MS1 and H5V endothelial cell lines (Fig. 4A). To assess the specificity and ability of the P28BBz CAR T cells to react against these endothelial targets, we performed an IFNy ELISA on supernatants collected from overnight cocultures of the P28BBz T cells with the PSMA-negative endothelial cell lines (MS1 and H5V) and their PSMA-transduced counterparts (MS1PSMA and H5VPSMA). We found that IFNy production was limited to the cultures containing the P28BBz CAR T cells and PSMA+ endothelial cells (Fig. 4B). Next, we asked whether the P28BBz CAR T cells would be able to specifically kill the PSMA+ endothelial cells. Using a chromium release assay, we found that the P28BBz CAR T cells killed the majority of the MS1/MS1PSMA endothelial cells within 18 hours of coculture, whereas the PSMA− cell lines were spared (Fig. 4C).

Next, we injected NSG mice s.c. with MS1 cells (left flank) and MS1PSMA cells (right flank), both of which had been previously engineered to express firefly luciferase to allow us to make non-invasive, real-time tumor measurements throughout tumor progression and treatment. The hemangioma lesions were allowed to develop, and tumor growth was monitored via luciferase luminescence. Mice were treated with a single i.v. administration of 5.0 \times 10^6 CAR T cells 24 days after tumor inoculation (Fig. 4D). In mice receiving the P28BBz CAR T cells, we observed rapid regression of the MS1PSMA hemangiommas, whereas the antigen-negative MS1 flank was unaffected (Fig. 4E). Macroscopic examination of the hemangiommas upon sacrifice revealed that injection of the P28BBz CAR T cells led to complete regression of the PSMA+ tumors (Fig. 4F). In contrast, the control FR28BBz CAR T cells had no impact on either of the hemangiommas. We also tested the P28BBz T cells against larger, more developed, MS1 and MS1PSMA hemangiommas (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Again, we observed rapid regression of the MS1PSMA tumors after administration of the P28BBz CAR T cells, as measured by both luciferase luminescence and caliper measurement (Supplementary Fig. S4B), which we confirmed visually upon sacrifice of the mice (Supplementary Fig. S4C). Importantly, in both treatment models, the P28BBz CAR T cells demonstrated specificity and reactivity exclusively against the PSMA+ tumors.

Finally, we assessed the ability of the P28BBz CAR T cells to treat murine H5V hemangiosarcoma, an aggressive vascular target that metastasizes to the lung. Twenty-one days following i.v. inoculation with H5VPSMA endothelial cells, NSG mice were given three i.v. injections of 5.0 \times 10^6 CAR T cells 72 hours apart (Fig. 4G). We monitored the progression and response of the tumors to treatment by luciferase luminescence, and mice were sacrificed upon losing 10% of their initial body mass. By the third injection of CAR T cells, the H5VPSMA cells were nearly undetectable in the P28BBz CAR treatment group, whereas no effect was seen in the FR28BBz CAR treatment group (Fig. 4H and I). Furthermore, mice treated with the P28BBz CAR T cells lived significantly longer than those treated with PBS or FR28BBz T cells (Fig. 4J). Collectively, results from these models demonstrate that the P28BBz CAR T cells are able to traffic to and eliminate aberrant PSMA+ tumor vessels in vivo, and that PSMA-targeting CAR T cells are potent mediators of tumor vascular disruption.

CAR T cells ablate PSMA+ vasculature in solid tumors

Elimination of tumor vessels by vascular-disrupting agents (VDA) can cause regression of solid tumors (32). To test whether the P28BBz CAR T cells could also elicit this effect, we employed a pair of syngeneic vasculature/tumor chimeric transplant models. MS1 endothelial cells have been coinjected with both murine (ID8) and human (2008) tumor cells, and were found to form functional vessels within both models, as assessed by immunohistochemistry and hemoglobin content (33–35). We therefore interrogated the impact of P28BBz-mediated vessel destruction in the MS1/ID8 tumor model, as well as in the MS1/ID8VEGF tumor model, in which the ID8 tumor cells were engineered to overexpress mouse VEGF164 (36). The endothelial and tumor cells were combined at an optimal ratio, such that the majority of tumor blood vessels would be derived from the MS1/MS1PSMA endothelial cells. Figure 5A illustrates a representative experiment in which mice were inoculated with ID8VEGF, MS1/ID8VEGF, or MS1PSMA/ ID8VEGF tumors. By day 41, the majority of the CD31+ endothelial cells from the ID8VEGF/MS1PSMA tumors were found to express PSMA by immunofluorescence microscopy and exhibit typical vessel morphology, confirming that the exogenous MS1 endothelial cells were substantially contributing to the tumor vasculature in this model (Fig. 5B). These results were verified by flow cytometry, which showed that more than 65% of CD31+ endothelial cells purified from the tumors were PSMA+ (Fig. 5C). Importantly, the CD31+ endothelial cells contributed less than 2% to the total cells isolated from the chimeric tumors, which was similar to what was observed for the ID8VEGF-only tumors, in which the vasculature was derived from endogenous endothelial cells. Therefore, we concluded that the majority of the tumor was derived from the ID8VEGF cells or stromal cells and not the coinjected MS1 endothelial cells (Fig. 5D). In addition, we noted no difference in the tumor growth kinetic between the ID8VEGF-alone tumors or the ID8VEGF tumors augmented with MS1 or MS1PSMA cells (Fig. 5E), further demonstrating that the chimeric tumors reproduced a “normal” tumor growth condition, and confirming that the addition of MS1 or MS1PSMA cells did not substantially contribute to the volume of these tumors.

We next asked whether the proportion of MS1-derived vessels was consistent throughout tumor development. For these
experiments, we used MS1 and MS1PSMA cells engineered to express fluciferase and ID8VEGF. Tumor cells engineered to express eGFP. In mice injected with either MS1/ID8VEGF or MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors, we compared seven longitudinal luciferase luminescence measurements, obtained between days 17 and 41, with seven longitudinal eGFP radiant efficiency measurements obtained at the same time points (Fig. 5F–J). We performed linear regression analyses on the values obtained and found that endothelial luminescence was strongly correlated with tumor radiant efficiency (Fig. 5F–J), indicating that the exogenous endothelial cells expanded proportionally to the tumor cells. Thus, we concluded that within this tumor model the exogenous endothelial cells provide the main source of tumor endothelial cells required for neovascular formation and that the contribution of MS1 endothelial cells was consistent throughout tumor development, beginning as early as 17 days after tumor inoculation. Overall, our data indicate that the presence of the MS1 or MS1PSMA vessels largely obviates the need for endogenous endothelial cell recruitment, and that the MS1 endothelial cells do not constitute a significant portion of the cells within the tumor, supporting the assertion that the MS1 cells are predominantly forming tumor blood vessels and not contributing substantially to the overall tumor mass. Finally, we have demonstrated that the MS1 and MS1PSMA endothelial cells can be followed noninvasively during tumor growth within this model, allowing for their persistence or elimination to be monitored after treatment with CAR T cells.

To test the ability of P28BB C CAR T cells to ablate tumor vasculature in vivo, we first utilized the MS1/ID8 tumor model. NSG mice were injected with MS1/ID8 (left flank) and MS1PSMA/ID8 (right flank) tumors, which were allowed to develop for 81 days before treatment with a single injection of 1.0 × 10^7 CAR T cells (Supplementary Fig. S5A). We found that the P28BB C CAR T cells were able to eliminate the MS1PSMA endothelial cells quickly, as indicated by luciferase luminescence measurements (Supplementary Fig. S5B, bottom). In contrast, vessels within the MS1/ID8 tumors were unaffected by the P28BB C CAR T cells (Supplementary Fig. S5B, top). Administration of the FR28BB C CAR T cells had no impact on the tumor blood vessels, confirming the specificity of the P28BB C T cells.

Because VEGF plays an important role in the development and stabilization of new tumor vessels, and has been implicated in tumor resistance to pharmacologic VDAs (37), we next sought to evaluate the in vivo impact of the P28BB C CAR T cells on the vasculature of solid tumors expressing high levels of VEGFα using the ID8VEGF model (36). The MS1/ID8VEGF tumors grew more rapidly than the MS1/ID8 tumors, necessitating that those mice be sacrificed within approximately 40 days to avoid ulceration. Mice were therefore treated earlier and with three injections of 5.0 × 10^7 CAR T cells, beginning 25 days after tumor inoculation (Fig. 6A). P28BB C CAR T cells induced rapid regression of the PSMA + MS1 endothelial cells but had no effect on the control MS1 cells (Fig. 6B). In addition, the control FR28BB C CAR T cells had no impact on the blood vessels of either tumor. Upon sacrifice, we collected and enzymatically dissociated all tumors, which were subsequently stained using antibodies for CD31 and PSMA and analyzed via flow cytometry. No CD31 + PSMA + endothelial cells were found within the tumors of the P28BB C-treated mice. In control-treated mice, between 60% and 80% of the CD31 + endothelial cells were PSMA + within the MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors (Fig. 6C). Following successful treatment, CD31 + endothelial cells accounted for less than 0.5% of the total live cells isolated from the tumors, which was lower than either the untreated tumors or tumors treated with control FR28BB C CAR T cells (Fig. 6D). These findings indicate that, similar to VDAs, CAR T cells can trigger regression of established tumor vasculature.

**CAR T cells induce secondary loss of tumor cells and regression of solid tumors**

To assess whether vascular disruption was causing secondary loss of tumor cells, we examined the effects of P28BB C CAR T cells on tumor growth in the experiments described above. In the MS1/ID8 model (outlined in Supplementary Fig. S5A), treatment with P28BB C CAR T cells led to a significant decrease in the overall size of the MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors, but not of the antigen-negative MS1/ID8 tumors (Supplementary Fig. S5C). In addition, growth of MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors was significantly impaired in P28BB C-treated mice (Supplementary Fig. S6A and S6B). This response was dose sensitive, as mice treated with a single injection of 1 × 10^7 CAR T cells did not eliminate PSMA + endothelial cells as rapidly and did not inhibit the growth of ID8VEGF + tumors as effectively as mice treated with three injections of 5 × 10^7 CAR T cells. These data demonstrate that even in the context of VEGF overexpression, elimination of the antigen-positive vasculature by CAR T cells can lead to significant tumor regression.

**Given that endothelial cells account for less than 2% of the cells found within the MS1/ID8VEGF and MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors (Fig. 5D), we hypothesized that the regression observed in the P28BB C-treated mice was not merely reflecting the loss of MS1PSMA + cells from the ID8VEGF tumors, but rather indicating a**
Figure 4.

P28BBζ CAR T cells eliminate PSMA⁺ vascular neoplasms. A, human PSMA expression on native (top row) and engineered (bottom row) murine endothelial cell lines. PSMA was detected by humanized J591 Ab (open histogram) and compared with human IgG control (closed histogram). B, IFNγ production by P28BBζ T cells after coculture with endothelial targets (E:T = 3:1). Culture supernatants were collected at 18 hours, and IFNγ was measured by ELISA. Representative donor shown; data are mean ± SD of triplicate cultures. C, cytolytic activity of P28BBζ T cells after 18-hour coculture with endothelial cell targets. Cell lysis measured by chromium release. Representative donor shown; data are mean ± SD of triplicate cultures. D, tumor injection schematic and experimental design for the MS1 hemangioma study. (Legend continued on the following page.)
significant loss of tumor cells in response to T-cell-mediated vascular disruption. To ascertain whether this was true, we developed an ID8VEGF model in which the tumor cells expressed firefly luciferase and the MS1 cells did not. As before, NSG mice were inoculated with MS1/ID8VEGF (left flank) and MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF (right flank) tumors. Three administrations of $5 \times 10^7$ CAR T cells were given, beginning on day 22 (Fig. 7A). Both luciferase luminescence and tumor volume measurements revealed that treatment with P28BBz T cells impaired tumor growth (Fig. 7B). To determine if the P28BBz T cells were mediating tumor cell destruction, we compared the fold change in tumor cell luminescence from mice receiving the P28BBz T cells. We observed a significant decrease in tumor luminescence from the MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors after the second injection of P28BBz T cells, between days 24 and 28 (Fig. 7C). In contrast, we observed a significant increase in signal from the antigen-negative MS1/ID8VEGF tumors during that same period (Fig. 7C). Upon sacrifice of the animals, we confirmed elimination of the MS1PSMA endothelial cells via flow cytometry (data not shown). Together, these data demonstrate that destruction of the vasculature does indeed result in tumor cell loss.

Finally, we sought to determine whether bystander killing of adjacent ID8VEGF tumor cells could explain the reduction in tumor signal we observed in this model. We performed an in vitro killing assay in which we cocultured MS1PSMA endothelial cells overnight at increasing ratios with ID8VEGF tumor cells and T cells. MS1PSMA cells were eliminated by the P28BBz CAR T cells, but the ID8VEGF cells were unaffected by these T cells (Fig. 7D). This suggests that tumor regression in vivo occurred through indirect loss of tumor cells, related to the disruption of the vasculature, rather than a direct bystander effect.

**Discussion**

Recent clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of CAR T-cell–based immunotherapy for individuals with advanced cancer. Remarkable responses have been reported, for example, in patients receiving CD19–specific CAR T-cell therapy for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (38–40). In addition, active remission has been reported in patients with neuroblastoma after treatment with CAR T cells directed against the ganglioside, GD2 (41). A critical component to the clinical success of these adoptive CAR T-cell therapies is the choice of target antigen. Ideally, the antigen should be immunogenic, related to oncogenesis, and selectively expressed on the tumor tissue. One such tumor vascular marker is PSMA, in which widespread distribution on vessels of many cancers makes it an ideal target for T-cell–mediated vascular disruption. Here, we have shown that T cells bearing a CAR against PSMA can destroy the tumor vasculature and elicit tumor regression. Importantly, our data also demonstrate that T cells can act as potent mediators of vascular disruption, thereby justifying the continued pursuit of this therapeutic approach.

One major advantage of using CAR T cells to disrupt the tumor vasculature, as opposed to conventional VDAs, is their ability to self-replicate and to persist long term in patients (38). In addition, CAR T cells can trigger epitope spreading and help to reprogram the tumor microenvironment (42). Given the persistence and efficacy of CAR T cells, a second critical component to the clinical success of CAR T-cell therapy is safety. This cannot be overstated, as unexpected CAR cross-reactivity with healthy tissues has, unfortunately, resulted in patient deaths (43). Before this study, tumor blood vessels destruction had been demonstrated successfully using CAR T cells engineered to recognize VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 (11) or VEGFR2 alone (10). Although encouraging, VEGF receptors are also expressed by normal endothelial cells throughout the body and thus represent a significant risk for “on-target/off-tumor” toxicity. In contrast, PSMA has not been found on normal blood vessels. Nevertheless, it has been detected by immunohistochemistry in the prostate, kidney, liver, intestine, and colon, as well as on astrocytes of the brain (30, 44, 45). Discrepancies exist between these studies, however, and interpretation of the data is complicated by the existence of splice variants (12, 13), localization of protein on the cell surface (apical vs. basal), process of tissue collection, method of fixation, and the antibodies used to detect PSMA. Recognition of PSMA by our CAR T cells is mediated through the well-characterized scFv, J591. Encouragingly, imaging studies performed with radiolabeled J591 did not show accumulation of the mAb in either the kidneys or the small intestine (46). Furthermore, a phase I clinical trial with the J591 mAb demonstrated positive localization of the antibody to tumors with PSMA+ vasculature (47). Overall, this evidence suggests that J591–based CAR T cells will have a favorable safety profile in patients. Still, given the catastrophic potential of eliciting “on-target/off-tumor” toxicity, we propose that the creation of split-signaling CAR T cells could augment the safety of our current anti-PSMA T cells. Using this system, T cells could be engineered to express two CARs, one providing signal 1 (CD3ζ) and the second providing signal 2 (i.e., CD28 and/or 4-1BB), such that each recognizes a unique tumor vascular antigen. The feasibility of this approach was previously demonstrated by Lanitis and colleagues (22), who showed that split-signaling CAR T cells are only fully activated when both CARs are engaged. Additional tumor endothelial targets have been pursued in the pursuit of this therapeutic approach.
Figure 5.
The MS1/ID8VEGF tumor model closely mimics normal tumor physiology. A, tumor injection schematic and experimental design for the MS1/ID8VEGF characterization study. Mice were given a single s.c. injection of $1.0 \times 10^6$ ID8VEGF tumor cells either alone or with $1.5 \times 10^7$ MS1 or $1.5 \times 10^7$ MS1PSMA endothelial cells and monitored for 41 days. (Legend continued on the following page.)
CAR T cells eliminate PSMA+ vasculature in solid tumors. A, experimental design for the MS1/ID8EGT tumor study. ID8EGT tumor cells (6.7 × 10^7) were mixed with either 1.0 × 10^7 MS1 (left flank) or 1.0 × 10^7 MS1PSMA (right flank) endothelial cells and injected s.c. on the opposite flanks of each mouse. Tumors were allowed to engraft for 25 days before treatment with CAR T cells. B, the persistence of the MS1 and MS1PSMA endothelial cells after treatment with PBS, FR28BB, or P28BB T cells. Mice were given three injections of 5.0 × 10^6 CAR-positive T cells, beginning 25 days after tumor inoculation (arrows). The presence (or absence) of the MS1 and MS1PSMA endothelial cells was monitored via luciferase luminescence. n = 5 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. **P < 0.0001, as determined by the two-tailed Student t test.** C, the percentage of PSMA-positive tumor endothelial cells (CD45−/CD31+) remaining in the MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumors after T-cell administration. n = 5 mice in the PBS and FR28BB groups; n = 2 mice in P28BB group. Data are mean ± SEM.

The presence of PSMA expression has been reported on the vasculature of nearly every solid tumor type examined (Supplementary Fig. S3A). This suggests that its presence may be critical to the formation of new blood vessels within the tumor. Here, we found that 85% of women with ovarian cancer expressed PSMA on their tumor vasculature but only 40% to 60% of the tumor endothelial cells present in tumor digests reported as a percentage of the total number of live cells collected. n = 4 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. E, tumor progression in mice harboring ID8EGT, MS1/ID8EGT, or MS1PSMA/ID8EGT tumors. Tumor volumes were calculated via caliper measurement. n = 4 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. F, representative staining for CD31 (green) and PSMA (blue) from an MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumor (day 41). Original magnification, ×200; scale bar, 100 μm. C, the percentage of MS1PSMA-derived endothelial cells (CD31+) in ID8 tumors at time of sacrifice. PSMA was detected by humanized J591 Ab. *, P < 0.0001, as determined by the two-tailed Student t test. n = 4 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. D, the portion of CD31+ tumor endothelial cells were PSMA+. The presence of PSMA on the tumor vasculature may be partially explained by the increased metabolic needs of the activated tumor endothelium and may reflect a requirement for increased folate uptake. Functionally, PSMA hydrolyzes the gamma-glutamyl tail of folate polyglutamates, allowing cells to take up the resulting folates readily. At physiologic folate concentrations, the overexpression of PSMA was shown to confer growth advantage to tumor cells and enhance their invasive activity, potentially suggesting a similar role for the protein on the tumor endothelium (15). In addition, a direct role for PSMA in angiogenesis has been described, as the process was severely impaired.

(Continued) B, representative staining for CD31 (green) and PSMA (blue) from an MS1PSMA/ID8EGT tumor (day 41). Original magnification, ×200; scale bar, 100 μm. C, the percentage of MS1PSMA-derived endothelial cells (CD31+) in ID8 tumors at time of sacrifice. PSMA was detected by humanized J591 Ab. *, P < 0.0001, as determined by the two-tailed Student t test. n = 4 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. D, the portion of CD31+ tumor endothelial cells present in tumor digests reported as a percentage of the total number of live cells collected. n = 4 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. E, tumor progression in mice harboring ID8EGT, MS1/ID8EGT, or MS1PSMA/ID8EGT tumors. Tumor volumes were calculated via caliper measurement. n = 4 mice per group; data are mean ± SEM. F, representative images taken from the same mouse on days 17 and 41 showing eGFP radiance and firefly luminescence. G and H, correlation of endothelial cell signal (firefly luciferase, luminescence) with tumor signal (eGFP, radiant efficiency). Linear regression analysis was performed and the slope of best fit is shown (solid lines) for individual mice with either MS1/ID8EGT (F) or MS1PSMA/ID8EGT (G) tumors. I and J, statistical analysis describing the correlation of luminescence and radiant efficiency in mice with MS1/ID8EGT (H) and MS1PSMA/ID8EGT (I) tumors. Measurements were made 17 to 41 days after tumor inoculation. Luciferase and radiant efficiency measurements were plotted against one another (n = 7), and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for each mouse (J). Statistical significance was evaluated by two-tailed test (P).
in PSMA-null mice. In these mice, the enzymatic activity of PSMA was required for endothelial cell invasion in vitro through regulation of integrin signaling, potentially explaining this phenotype (16). Therefore, we have hypothesized that PSMA-expressing endothelial cells are critical for neovascular formation, and that their persistent immune-mediated elimination by CAR T cells both disrupts the existing vasculature and prevents the formation of new blood vessels. These inquiries provide further rationale for the development of anti-PSMA CAR T-cell therapy targeting the tumor vasculature, and are actively being addressed within our laboratory.

In our MS1PSMA/ID8VEGF tumor model, we showed that adoptively transferred P28BBζ CAR T cells were able to persistently suppress nearly the entire PSMA-expressing fraction of the tumor endothelium. Their elimination, however, did not result in complete tumor regression. This could be because only a fraction of the tumor endothelial cells expressed PSMA within this model, similar to the human tumor specimens we...
analyzed. One method to overcome this obstacle would be to combine our anti-PSMA CAR T cells with additional treatment modalities. Conventional VDAs have been combined with both radiotherapy and chemotherapy for improved tumor control (50), suggesting that a similar approach could also augment the efficacy T-cell–mediated vascular disruption. In addition, VDAs have also been successfully administered with antiangiogenic agents as a means to target the existing tumor blood vessels, as well as impair the growth of new vessels (51). This has already been demonstrated using first-generation CAR T cells directed against the VEGF receptors, and these T cells were found to synergize with antiangiogenic therapy (11). Another approach to increase the efficacy of our anti-PSMA CAR T cells would be to combine them with CAR T cells or TCR-engineered T cells that recognize a second tumor endothelial antigen or the tumor itself. Chinnasamy and colleagues (52) have illustrated this approach by showing that B16 tumors could be successfully eradicated in mice when anti-VEGFR2 CAR T cells were combined with tumor-targeting T cells, but not when the mice were treated with the antivasacular CAR T cells alone. Building on this observation, we also posit that patients whose tumor expresses PSMA on both the endothelium and tumor, as was observed here for one subject with ovarian cancer and has been noted in a number of cancers (30), may respond more successfully to treatment with our anti-PSMA T cells. We continue to pursue the answer to this question within our laboratory.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that third-generation CAR T cells can target and eliminate PSMA-expressing endothelial cells of the tumor vasculature both in vitro and in vivo, and that elimination of these cells can result in tumor regression. We propose that split signaling may enhance their safety profile, and that, in combination with other treatments such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and/or tumor cell–targeting T cells, they will provide important clinical benefit to patients.
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