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Abstract

We present the case of a man with metastatic, castration-resistant prostate cancer, who had a complete prostate-specific antigen (PSA) response after 2 1/2 doses of ipilimumab. His treatment course was complicated by diarrhea and autoimmune hepatitis, both of which resolved within 4 months. Sera and biopsy specimens were accessed, and sera from pretreatment and day 113 were analyzed. Augmented antibody responses were detected against 11 potential tumor antigens, with responses ranging from 5- to 20-fold in day 113 sera compared with baseline. Genes that were targets of a strong antibody response (arbitrarily set at 10-fold or greater increase) were analyzed by real-time PCR for expression in the tumor biopsy cDNA. Of the top 5 genes, only 3-hydroxyisobutyryl-CoA hydrolase (HIBCH) could be identified in the amplified tumor biopsy cDNA. Using an antibody to HIBCH, immunohistochemical analysis documented strong expression of the protein. Together, these data suggest that an augmented antibody response to HIBCH, an antigen that was expressed by the patient’s prostate cancer, could have contributed to the clinical response. After 16 months of PSA stability, he discontinued his androgen-suppression therapy. With the return of his testosterone, his PSA increased slightly, likely originating from his intact prostate. He has been disease free for the past 6 years without any additional therapy. Cancer Immunol Res 2(5): 399–403. ©2014 AACR.
In 2007, he enrolled on a phase I/II study of ipilimumab. At that time, he suffered from diminished stool caliber attributed to a 9-cm prostatic tumor mass, and he had lymph node and skeletal metastases. His PSA was 654 ng/mL. After the first infusion, he experienced grade 1 fatigue and a pruritic truncal rash. After the second infusion, he developed grade 2 fatigue and grade 3 transaminitis. He had received approximately half of his third infusion when the laboratory results showing dramatic transaminase elevations became available. This infusion was aborted, and he received no further ipilimumab therapy.

He was diagnosed with autoimmune hepatitis and treated with prednisone, 120 mg/day, and mycophenolate for 6 weeks, until resolution of his hepatitis. His thyroid function tests showed a thyroid stimulating hormone value of <0.01 (normal range, 0.28–5 μIU/mL) with a total T4 301 (normal range, 68–200 ng/dL). A nuclear medicine I-123 thyroid scan showed very faint uptake by the thyroid, which argued against Graves disease and was consistent with a medication or viral-mediated thyroiditis. He also developed progressively worsening diarrhea that started with 7 stools per day and eventually worsened to 15 bloody stools per day. Evaluation for infectious etiologies was negative. A colonoscopy showed two lesions in the colon, which were biopsied and revealed colitis. Prednisone and mycophenolate did not control the diarrhea, and he received a single dose of infliximab, 5 mg/kg. The diarrhea slowly improved over a period of 4 months, and his immunosuppressants were tapered successfully without return of the diarrhea. Nearly 3 years later, in 2010, he presented with an episode of immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy, presenting with hematuria and renal failure. The diagnosis was made by kidney biopsy. He was treated with prednisone, 60 mg/day for 2 weeks, and his renal function returned to 1.2 to 1.3 mg/dL, with no further recurrence without immunosuppression. It is unclear whether IgA nephropathy was related to his ipilimumab exposure.

His serum PSA was 515 ng/mL after 3 weeks of therapy, 25 ng/mL after 6 weeks, 0.3 ng/mL after 9 weeks, and reached undetectable levels by 3 months (Fig. 1). Serial imaging studies revealed complete resolution of adenopathy, bone abnormalities, and the prostate mass, with a normal 3-cm prostate gland remaining.

After he remained in complete remission for 16 months, at his request, leuprolide acetate was discontinued. As his testosterone recovered, his PSA became detectable at low levels (highest reached was 0.51 ng/mL). PSA levels have remained stable since testosterone recovery (testosterone 270 ng/dL) and are thought to represent PSA production by his native, untreated prostate gland. Repeated imaging studies have revealed no evidence of local or distant progression.

The patient remains entirely disease free 6 years after he experienced a dramatic response to treatment with ipilimumab. Although the first several months of treatment were complicated by serious immune-related adverse events and an episode of IgA nephropathy was seen 3 years after therapy, these complications have resolved. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case of a durable complete remission in advanced CRPC induced by an immunotherapeutic agent.

Discussion

Before the approval of sipuleucel-T in 2010, treatment for incurable prostate cancer consisted of androgen-suppression therapy (AST) and chemotherapy. Although AST can result in dramatic responses, it is not curative, and prostate cancer eventually becomes resistant to it. The results of chemotherapy are typically less dramatic and reserved for symptomatic, castration-resistant disease. The case presented represents a unique complete response in this patient for whom chemotherapy is usually recommended. Furthermore, the response has been durable in that the patient has not received any treatment, even hormonal, for the past 5 years.

The response to ipilimumab in prostate cancer is varied. A pilot study using one to two doses of ipilimumab, 3 mg/kg, resulted in a 50% PSA decrease in 2 of 12 patients (17%) treated (3). Another phase I study that combined ipilimumab with PSA-TRICOM vaccine and GM-CSF showed a greater response in chemotherapy-naïve patients (6 of 24 had ≥50% PSA decline) than in those who had previously received chemotherapy (0 of 6 had ≥50% PSA decline; ref. 4). In addition, the combination of ipilimumab with prostate GVAX vaccination resulted in PSA declines in a majority of patients, with evidence of tumor regression in 2 patients (5). Recently, the results of the phase III study of ipilimumab, 10 mg/kg plus 8 Gy of bone-directed radiation, versus placebo plus 8 Gy bone-directed radiation in 799 men with metastatic CRPC previously treated with chemotherapy were presented. There was a trend toward improved overall survival in the group that received ipilimumab (HR, 0.85; 95% confidence interval, 0.72–1.00; P = 0.035; ref. 6).

Investigations of ipilimumab show a correlation of immune adverse events (iAEs) and efficacy. The patient in this discussion had autoimmune hepatitis, autoimmune colitis, and thyroiditis. Attia and colleagues reported in 2005 that in patients with melanoma treated with ipilimumab, the response rate was 36% for those with iAEs versus 5% in those without iAEs (P = 0.008;
was associated with an augmentation of anticancer immunity of immunotherapy. After obtaining informed consent, 810/mm³ before starting ipilimumab treatment. It peaked at 760/mm³ 11 months after treatment. To investigate whether the administration of ipilimumab... A hydrolase (HIBCH) could be identified in the amplified tumor biopsy cDNA (Fig. 2A). Next, we sought to identify whether HIBCH protein could be detected in the patient’s biopsy specimen. Using an antibody to HIBCH, immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis documented strong expression of the protein (Fig. 2B). Together, these data suggest that an augmented antibody response to HIBCH, an antigen that was expressed by the patient’s prostate cancer, could have contributed to the clinical response.

Table 1. List of strong antibody responses by at least 5-fold and validation of selected genes by RT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Database ID</th>
<th>Ultimate ORF ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fold increase</th>
<th>Ab</th>
<th>RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>BC004292.1</td>
<td>IOH22899</td>
<td>PHD finger protein 15 (PHF15)</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BC067822.1</td>
<td>IOH40096</td>
<td>3-hydroxyisobutyryl-coenzyme A hydrolase (HIBCH)</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BC047870.1</td>
<td>IOH26949</td>
<td>Src kinase-associated phosphoprotein 1 (SKAP1)</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NM_004202.1</td>
<td>IOH11127</td>
<td>Thymosin [β-4, Y-chromosomal</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BC020942.1</td>
<td>IOH11137</td>
<td>Transmembrane protein 140 (TMEM140)</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NM_001283.2</td>
<td>IOH42182</td>
<td>Adaptor-related protein complex 1, sigma 1 subunit (AP1S1), transcript variant 1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BC005382.1</td>
<td>IOH7371</td>
<td>SPANX family, member E (SPANXE)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NM_024294.1</td>
<td>IOH5168</td>
<td>Chromosome 6 open reading frame 106 (C6orf106), transcript variant 1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NM_152435.1</td>
<td>IOH22648</td>
<td>Amidohydrolase domain containing 1 (AMDHD1)</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NM_000430.2</td>
<td>IOH39940</td>
<td>Platelet-activating factor acetylhydrolase, isoform lb, α subunit 45 kDa (PAFAH1B1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NM_020235.2</td>
<td>IOH44025</td>
<td>Bobby sox homolog (Drosophila; BBX)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ND, not done; ORF, open reading frame; +, increased; –, decreased.

aFold increase antibody response at day 113.

bRT-PCR detection of specified gene in tumor biopsy specimen.
with increased responses to Filamin B did not exhibit an increase in survival (4). A potential limitation of this analysis was the focus on only two proteins. Another study evaluated posttreatment antibody responses using ProtoArrays in patients receiving ipilimumab and GM-CSF (13). Five patients with decreases in PSA (responders) as well as 5 patients with rising PSA were evaluated. Responder patients had a larger number of strong antibody responses, and in 2 patients, T cells reactive with one of these antigens, PAK6, were identified. Supporting an important role for PAK6, IHC studies documented PAK6 expression on prostate cancer cells from one of the patients with a coordinated antibody and T-cell response.

HIBCH is a mitochondrial protein that is critical in valine catabolism (14, 15). Deficiency of this protein leads to neurologic deficits that are identifiable in infancy (16). It is found in many tissues, including prostate. Less than 10 publications have referred to HIBCH, and none have identified HIBCH as a potential tumor/tumor-associated antigen. Mutations in the HIBCH gene (substitution, nonsense, and missense mutation) are present at low levels (0.5%–6%) in many cancers (Supplementary Fig. S1). However, in prostate cancer, one study reported 50% of patients (5 of 10) with a simple somatic mutation (single-base substitution) and 10.5% (2 of 19) with a copy-number alteration. An analysis of HIBCH in a larger cohort of prostate cancers reported 5% (3 of 56) with an amplification of copy number and 4% (11 of 248) with mRNA upregulation or a homozygous deletion (17–19).

The IHC data presented above suggest that there is strong expression of HIBCH protein by the tumor of the patient being studied, raising the possibility that the “overexpressed” protein may serve as a tumor-associated antigen. In addition, given the frequency of mutations reported in the HIBCH gene, it is possible that the HIBCH gene in this patient’s prostate cancer was mutated and represented a novel neoantigen against which the patient had not been tolerized. However, we have not been able to sequence the HIBCH gene in this patient and do not have access to substantial numbers of PBMC from the first 5 years this patient was in response, limiting our ability to detect a T-cell response against a mutated HIBCH epitope. Nevertheless, in support of this possibility, a recent report documented the development of a strong T-cell response against a mutated tumor-expressed protein in a patient with melanoma who experienced tumor regression following treatment with ipilimumab (20). Similarly, evidence is increasing that patients with melanoma who are treated with adoptive immunotherapy and experience long-term tumor regression have developed immune responses against mutated gene products present in the tumor (21). These findings support the concept that strong immune responses develop against mutated antigens, which are unique to the tumor and of which the patient is not tolerant. If these findings can be extended, they provide a possible mechanism of action to explain objective responses of patients treated with agents that interfere with an immune checkpoint or other immunotherapies and provide insights into what may be required to increase response rates.

Although recent success with cancer immunotherapy has led to substantial enthusiasm for its application in cancers previously considered refractory to this therapy, continued progress is not guaranteed. A comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms behind the immune system’s ability to eradicate advanced cancers and the development and validation of approaches to monitor those mechanisms will be essential to the application of precision medicine that will allow fine-tuning of immune responses, elimination of metastases, and life-long anticancer immunity. The arsenal of immunotherapies is increasing rapidly. Similarly, novel vaccine strategies with a number of advantages over previous approaches are in clinical trials and hold potential to prime tumor-reactive T cells against antigens of which the host is not tolerant. Our case represents an unusual example of a striking result. Although much work needs to be done before such results become routine and not rare, this case provides the proof-of-concept of what is possible.
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