IL4 Limits the Efficacy of Tumor-Targeted Antibody Therapy in a Murine Model
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Abstract

Tumor-targeted antibody therapy has had a major impact on reducing morbidity and mortality in a wide range of cancers. Antibodies mediate their antitumor activity in part by activating immune effector cells; however, the tumor microenvironment (TME) is enriched with cellular and soluble mediators that actively suppress generation of antitumor immunity. Here, we investigate the potential of prospectively identifying and neutralizing an immunomodulatory soluble mediator within the TME to enhance therapeutic efficacy of the HER2-directed antibody trastuzumab. Using the D5-HER2 cell line and an immunocompetent human HER2 transgenic animal (hmHER2Tg) in which human HER2 is a self-antigen, we determined that IL4 was present in the TME and produced by both tumor and stromal cells. A siRNA-based screening approach identified STAT5A as a novel negative regulator of IL4 production by D5-HER2 tumor cells. Furthermore, IL4 neutralization using the anti-IL4 antibody 11B11 enhanced the efficacy of trastuzumab and modulated the TME. For example, IL4 neutralization resulted in reduced levels of myeloid chemoattractants CCL2, CCL11, and CXCL5 in the TME. Combination therapy with 11B11 and trastuzumab resulted in a reduction of tumor-infiltrating CD11b⁺ CD206⁺ myeloid cells compared with monotherapy. These data suggest that IL4 neutralization enhances the efficacy of trastuzumab by influencing the phenotype of myeloid cells within the TME and provide further rationale for combining tumor-targeted antibody therapy with agents that neutralize factors in the TME that suppress generation of productive antitumor immune responses.
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Introduction

Monoclonal antibody (mAb) therapy has revolutionized treatment of both hematologic malignancies and solid tumors (1). In the setting of solid tumors, growth factor receptors, including epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family members EGFR and HER2, have proved to be useful targets for antibody therapy. HER2, which is overexpressed and gene amplified in approximately 30% of invasive breast cancers, confers a poor prognosis and is the target of the mAb trastuzumab (2). Trastuzumab showed activity alone and in combination with chemotherapy as a first- or second-line agent in patients with HER2⁺ breast cancer and is currently FDA approved for use in the adjuvant setting in patients with node-positive, HER2-overexpressing breast cancer (3, 4). In 2010, trastuzumab was also approved for use in patients with HER2⁺ metastatic gastric or gastroesophageal junction tumors based on data showing an approximately 2.7-month improvement in survival when trastuzumab was added to a regimen of chemotherapy (5).

The clinical utility of antibody therapy has been attributed to multimodal mechanisms of action that include perturbation of signal transduction through cell-surface receptors and activation of immune effectors, for example through interactions between antibody Fc domains and Fc-gamma receptors (FcγR) expressed on immune cells. Tumors overexpressing growth factor receptors are particularly prone to signaling perturbation via antibody blockade of ligand binding, which leads to disruption of the cell cycle and induction of apoptosis. However, a growing body of evidence suggests that activation of immune effectors also contributes to efficacy of tumor-targeted antibodies in vivo. Deletion of the inhibitory FcγRIIB in mice resulted in enhanced antitumor activity of trastuzumab and the CD20-targeted antibody rituximab (6). Clinically, patients with activating FcγR polymorphisms have a more favorable prognosis in the setting of non-Hodgkin lymphoma, colorectal cancer, and breast cancer (7–9). Engagement of activating Fc receptors can lead to induction of antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), complement fixation, or phagocytosis, all of which can lead to tumor cell death and liberation of tumor antigens. These tumor antigens can be processed and presented to T cells by antigen-presenting cell-surface MHC molecules (10), leading to T cell activation and the generation of antitumor immunity.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Immunology Research Online (http://cancerimmunolres.aacrjournals.org/).
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cells, such as macrophages and dendritic cells (DC), and result in generation of an antibody-initiated, tumor-directed adaptive immune response. Our group and others have demonstrated that antibody-initiated adaptive immunity plays an important role in the therapeutic activity of HER2-directed antibodies (10, 11). The phenotype of this immune response is critical and is shaped by the composition of the tumor microenvironment (TME). Cellular components, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and T regulatory cells (Treg), together with soluble factors, such as IL10 and TGF-β, collectively serve to limit infiltration of effector T cells and inhibit T1 polarization, which is associated with a more favorable prognosis in a wide range of cancers (12). In addition, tumor-infiltrating macrophages are often “alternatively activated” and serve to drive tumor growth and dissemination (13).

We previously reported results using a unique model system to study and optimize tumor-targeted antibody therapy. This model uses a human HER2 transgenic (hmHER2Tg) mouse that ubiquitously expresses a kinase-inactivated human HER2, and the murine melanoma cell line D5, which has been engineered to overexpress full-length human HER2 (D5-HER2; ref. 11). The hmHER2Tg animals are immunologically tolerant to human HER2 and do not mount a xenogenic immune response to D5-HER2; therefore, this model permits direct study of the impact of trastuzumab on the induction of T cell-based anti-HER2 immune responses. Using this model, trastuzumab monotherapy prevented tumor growth in approximately 30% of animals and protected those animals against tumor rechallenge (11). In this study, we have used this model system to investigate the potential of enhancing trastuzumab’s efficacy by targeting and neutralizing immunomodulatory soluble mediators in the TME to skew polarization of immune effectors and ultimately drive productive antitumor immunity.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines

Generation of D5-HER2 has previously been described (11). Briefly, D5 cells (a subclone of the poorly immunogenic B16/BL6 murine melanoma; gift from Dr. Suyu Shu, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH) were transfected with full-length human HER2 cDNA expressed under control of the CMV promoter. D5-HER2 cells were grown and maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine. HEK293T (gift from Dr. Anton Wellstein, Georgetown University, Washington, DC), EO771 (gift from Dr. Peter Goedegebuure, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO), and E0771-HER2 cell lines were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mmol/L L-glutamine. All cell lines were tested and determined to be free of Mycoplasma and other rodent pathogens; no other authentication assay was performed.

Generation of E0771-HER2 cell line

Human ERBB2 cDNA [DNASU Plasmid repository, ref. 14; plasmid: HsCD0002235; ref. 15] was cloned into the entry vector pENTR4 (Life Technologies; Carlsbad, CA). LR Clonase enzyme mix (Life Technologies) was used to facilitate recombination of HER2 cDNA from pENTR4-ERBB2 into the destination vector pLENTI CMV Blast DEST (Addgene plasmid 17451 submitted by Dr. Eric Campeau, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA; ref. 16). To generate functional virions, HEK293T cells were transfected at approximately 70% confluence with 15 µg pLENTI-ERBB2, 20 µg psPAX2 (Addgene plasmid 12260 submitted by Dr. Didier Trono, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Romandy, Switzerland), and 3 µg VSV-G (gift from Dr. Todd Waldman, Georgetown University, Washington, DC) plasmids using 18 µL of FuGENE6 transfection reagent (Promega; Madison, WI). Media were replaced with normal growth media 24 hours after transfection. Virus containing media were harvested 48 hours after transfection, centrifuged, filtered using a 0.45-μm syringe filter, and stored at −80°C until use. To generate E0771-HER2 cells, E0771 cells were transduced with 3-mL virus containing media, 1-mL normal growth media, and 3.2-μg polybrene (Sigma; St. Louis, MO). Media were replaced 24 hours after transduction with normal growth media and at 48 hours with media containing blastidicin. After 7 days of selection, single clones were identified by limiting dilution assay. Surface expression of human HER2 was assessed on individual clones using flow cytometry (not shown).

Luminex-based cytokine analysis

Tumors were harvested when they reached approximately 10 to 15 mm in diameter, and homogenized in five volumes of PBS+0.5% Tween-20 with protease inhibitors (Roche; Penzberg, Bavaria, Germany). Homogenates were centrifuged, and the supernatant was immediately stored at −80°C. Protein concentration was determined using the BCA assay (Bio-Rad; Hercules, CA). For cell culture analysis, supernatant was harvested from D5-HER2 cells grown in 96-well plates for 72 hours (75%–80% confluence). Media were centrifuged and stored at −80°C. Samples were sent to Eve Technologies (Calgary; Alberta, Canada) and analyzed in duplicate using the Mouse 32-Plex Cytokine/Chemokine Discovery Assay.

Creation of IL4 siRNA library

Pathway Studio (Ariadne Genomics; Elsevier; Amsterdam, Netherlands) was used to search the literature and curate a list of proteins that positively regulate IL4 expression (Supplementary Table S1). Search criteria included proteins that bound the IL4 promoter and/or positively regulated IL4 transcription. The resultant gene list was used to create a custom siRNA library in a 96-well plate format (Qiagen; Venlo, Netherlands). Each well of the plate contained two pooled siRNAs targeting a single gene.

Primary siRNA screen and identification of hits

Library plates containing siRNAs were resuspended in siRNA suspension buffer (Qiagen) and used in conjunction with the RNAiMax transfection reagent (Life Technologies) to create siRNA/lipid complexes. D5-HER2 cells were harvested at 75% to 80% confluence and reverse transfected with siRNA/lipid complexes in a total volume of 110 μL (15 nmol/L siRNA). A nontargeting control siRNA (siNEG) and a murine death control siRNA (siDEATH) were used to verify the fidelity of transfection for each screen. Supernatant was harvested at 72 hours after transfection to determine IL4 protein concentration and
cells were stained with crystal violet (CV) as a surrogate measurement of viability. Genes whose knockdown resulted in greater than a 50% reduction in viability were excluded from analysis. ELISA and CV data were normalized to values obtained from transfection with siNEG and ELISA:CV ratios were generated. These ratios were log-transformed, and a hit was identified as having a z-score of at least ±1.20. All screens were performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

Validation of hits obtained from primary siRNA screen
For each hit identified in the primary screen, four unique siRNAs targeting each gene were used in validation studies (two siRNAs used in primary screen and two additional siRNAs). Each siRNA was placed in a single well of a 96-well plate and used to transfect D5-HER2 cells as previously described. A hit was considered validated if two or more siRNAs targeting the gene recapitulated the phenotype observed in the primary screen. All screens were performed in duplicate and repeated three times.

ELISA
Cell culture supernatants and tumor homogenates were prepared as described above. IL4 protein concentration was determined using the standard mouse IL4 ELISA MAX kit (BioLegend; San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Real-time PCR
RNA was extracted from cell pellets using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies). RNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically using NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). RNA (1 µg) was reverse-transcribed using Omniscript RT (Qiagen) in a total volume of 25 µL. Quantitative PCR was performed using 2 µL of cDNA, Quantitect SYBR Green (Qiagen), and predesigned primer pairs to IL4 and GAPDH (Quantitect Primer Assay; Qiagen) in a total volume of 25 µL according to the manufacturer's recommendation. Reactions were carried out using the 7900HT Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Scientific) and analyzed using the ΔΔCT method using GAPDH as the endogenous control.

Western blotting
D5-HER2 cells were lysed using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (Boston Bioproducts; Ashland, MA) and protein concentration was determined by the BCA assay (BioRad). Approximately 16 to 20 µg of protein was run on tris-glycine gels under reducing conditions. Protein was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and blocked for 2 hours using 5% nonfat milk (Bio-Rad). The following primary antibody dilutions were used: STAT5A (ab32403; 1:1,000; Abcam), antiphospho Y694 STAT5A (ab30648; 1:500; Abcam, Cambridge, England, United Kingdom), GAPDH (D16H11; 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology; Danvers, MA). Primary antibodies were diluted in PBS-T + 5% nonfat milk and incubated overnight at 4°C. Membranes were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with secondary antibody [horseradish peroxidase (HRP)–labeled anti-rabbit IgG; 1:10,000; GE Healthcare; Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom] diluted in PBS-T. For STAT5A and phospho-STAT5A Western blots, SuperSignal West Femto high-sensitivity substrate (Thermo Scientific) was used for visualization while SuperSignal West Pico (Thermo Scientific) was used for GAPDH Western blots.

STAT5A overexpression
Stat5a cDNA from pMXc-neo-Stat5aWT-FLAG and pMXc-neo-Stat5a1'6-FLAG constructs (gift from Dr. Toshio Kitamura, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan) were cloned into pcMV-SPT06 mammalian expression vectors (Life Technologies). Stat5a1'6 is a constitutively active mutant of Stat5a, which contains a H229R point mutation in the N-terminal domain and a S711F point mutation in the transactivation domain (17). For transient transfections, 3000 D5-HER2 cells were plated on day 0 and transfected on day 1 with either pcMV-SPT05-Stat5aWT or pcMV-SPT06-Stat5a1’6 using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Life Technologies). Media were collected 72 hours after transfection for ELISA and cells were collected and prepared for Western blotting.

Mice
Generation of human HER2 transgenic mice (hmHER2Tg) was previously described (11). Abrogation of HER2 kinase activity was accomplished by replacement of a lysine residue at position 753 with a methionine residue. The resultant HER2 transgene was transferred to FVB donor zygotes and animals were backcrossed to C57BL/6 animals for 14 generations. IL4−/− animals (C57BL/6-LtIl4tm1Jnt/J) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) and crossed to hmHER2Tg animals to create hmHER2TgIL4−/− animals.

Subcutaneous inoculation, treatment, and monitoring of D5-HER2 and EO771-HER2 tumors
Cohorts of 8- to 12-week-old female hmHER2Tg or hmHER2TgIL4−/− mice were injected in the flank with 3 × 10^5 D5-HER2 cells or 1 × 10^6 EO771-HER2 cells subcutaneously on day 0. Animals were randomized on day 1 to receive i.p. injections of either PBS, 200-µg trastuzumab 1-mg anti-IL4 (clone 11B11; Bio Xcell; West Lebanon, NH), 1-mg GL113 (rat IgG1 isotype control), or trastuzumab + 11B11 (or GL113) combination therapy. The GL113 antibody was produced from a hybridoma (gift from Dr. Fred Finkelman, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH) and purified using Protein G agarose beads (Thermo Scientific). Trastuzumab was dosed twice a week for 4 weeks and 11B11/GL113 was dosed every 5 days for a total of six doses. Tumor growth was followed every 2 to 3 days and animals were sacrificed when tumors reached 2 cm in the largest diameter. All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the Georgetown University Institutional Animal Care and use Committee.

Animal rechallenge experiments
Approximately 120 days after the initiation of primary challenge experiments, tumor-free animals were rechallenged with 1.5 × 10^4 D5-HER2 tumor cells administrated s.c. in the opposite flank. No additional treatments were given. Tumors were measured every 2 to 3 days and animals were sacrificed when tumors reached 2 cm in the largest diameter.
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Tumors were harvested when they reached approximately 10 to 15 mm in the largest diameter and were mechanically disrupted and subjected to enzymatic digest using a 1 mg/mL collagenase D solution (Roche). Red blood cells were lysed using a 0.84% NH₄Cl solution. Cells were washed twice with RPMI-10%FBS and passed through a 70-μm cell strainer. The resultant cell suspension was washed twice with ice-cold PBS and stained with LIVE/DEAD-Violet dye according to the manufacturer’s recommendation (Life Technologies). Fc receptors were blocked using 1-μg anti-CD16/CD32 (Bio-Legend; TruStain FcX). Staining for surface antigens was performed in PBS+1%BSA using the following antibodies: CD45 (Bio-Legend; clone 30-F11), F4/80 (Bio-Legend; clone BM8), MHCIi (Bio-Legend; clone M5/114.15.2), CD80 (BD Bioscience; clone 16-10A1), CD86 (BD Biosciences; clone GL1), Gr-1(Bio-Legend; clone RB6-8C5), CD11b (Bio-Legend; clone M1/70), NK1.1 (eBioscience; clone PK136), CD3 (Bio-Legend; clone 145-2C11), CD4 (eBioscience; clone RM4-5), CD8a (Bio-Legend; clone 53-6.7), CD69 (Bio-Legend; clone H1.2F3), CD206 (Bio-Legend; clone C068C2), FoxP3 (eBioscience; clone NRRF-53-6.7), CD69 (Bio-Legend; clone H1.2F3), CD206 (Bio-Legend; clone C068C2), FoxP3 (eBioscience; clone NRRF-30), and HER2 (BD Bioscience; clone Neu 24.7). Intracellular staining for FoxP3 was performed using fixation and permeabilization buffers according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol (eBioscience).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software; La Jolla, CA). Log transformation and z-score analysis was performed using the Microsoft Excel.

Results

IL4 is present in the TME and is expressed by D5-HER2 cells

To determine which immunomodulatory soluble mediators may be relevant targets in our model system, a Luminex assay was performed to determine the concentration of 32 cytokines and chemokines in the D5-HER2 TME (Fig. 1A). Various cytokines and chemokines, including CCL2, CCL10, CCL11, and IL4, were expressed in the microenvironment of D5-HER2 tumors. D5-HER2 cells grown in vitro also expressed high levels of CCL10 in addition to CCL5, VEGF, and IL4 (Fig. 1A). This analysis revealed several putative targets for therapeutic neutralization; we ultimately chose IL4 for further study due to the pleiotropic effects of this cytokine on the phenotype and activation of both myeloid and lymphoid cells, and the fact that it was both expressed in the TME and produced by D5-HER2 cells as an in vivo or in vitro product of trastuzumab, which we have previously shown to be an effective of IL4 in an IL4-negative cell line as knockdown of Stat5a in EO771-HER2 cells does not result in production of IL4 mRNA or protein (data not shown). Collectively, these data reveal a previously undescribed function of Stat5a as a negative regulator of IL4 expression in D5-HER2 tumor cells.

Neutralization of IL4 enhances the efficacy of trastuzumab during primary challenge

To determine whether neutralizing IL4 could augment the therapeutic benefit of trastuzumab in our model system, we used the anti-IL4 antibody 11B11 alone or in combination with trastuzumab, which we have previously shown to be an effective regulator of IL4 expression in D5-HER2 tumor cells.

siRNA-based screen reveals positive and negative regulators of IL4 in D5-HER2 cells

Given the importance of tumor cell–derived IL4 on the growth and survival of cancer cells (18, 19), we sought to determine the mechanism by which tumor cells regulate the expression of IL4. D5-HER2 cells served as an appropriate model to address this question because these cells, unlike many murine and human epithelial cancer cell lines, express detectable quantities of IL4 protein in vitro. Pathway Studio was used to curate the literature and identify 193 genes that positively regulate IL4 expression (Supplementary Table S1). On the basis of this gene list, a siRNA library was constructed and used to screen D5-HER2 cells to identify genes that positively regulate IL4 expression without a significant impact on viability. A number of genes from the primary screen were candidate positive regulators (genes whose knockdown results in an ELISA:CV ratio ≤ 1; Fig. 2A). Surprisingly, the knockdown of many of these genes led to ELISA:CV values of greater than 1, suggesting that these genes were in fact negative regulators of IL4 production (Fig. 2A). Applying z-score cutoffs of ±1,7 genes were identified in the primary screen as positive regulators and 10 genes were identified as negative regulators (Fig. 2B).
Effective therapeutic agent in the D5-HER2/hmHER2 Tg model (11). Combination treatment with 11B11 and trastuzumab significantly enhanced the survival of hmHER2 Tg animals compared with either agent alone (Fig. 4A). Treatment with GL113, the isotype control for 11B11, did not affect survival when used as monotherapy and performed similarly to trastuzumab monotherapy when combined with trastuzumab (Fig. 4B).

Neutralization of IL4 changes the composition of the TME

To elucidate a potential mechanism by which 11B11 enhanced trastuzumab efficacy, we investigated the impact of IL4 neutralization on the composition of the TME. Tumor-infiltrating immune cells were characterized using flow cytometry and the cytokine/chemokine profile of tumors from different treatment groups was determined. We chose to harvest tumors that were approximately 10 to 15 mm in their largest diameters to facilitate acquisition of sufficient numbers of LIVE events to allow for multicolor flow cytometry–based immunophenotyping. We previously determined that smaller D5-HER2 tumors (5–8-mm largest diameter) show no changes in the cellular compartment of the TME compared with larger tumors (data not shown). Although no difference in recruitment of CD11b+ myeloid cells was observed, monotherapy with 11B11 or trastuzumab significantly reduced the recruitment of CD11b+CD206+ myeloid cells to the TME (Fig. 4C). This difference in recruitment was enhanced with combination therapy, suggesting a synergistic effect of trastuzumab and 11B11 in reducing recruitment of CD11b+CD206+ myeloid cells to the TME (Fig. 4C). No differences in recruitment of T cells, Tregs, NK cells, MDSCs, or F4/80 macrophages were observed between the treatment groups (not shown). IL4 neutralization reduced the concentration of potent myeloid chemokines CCL2, CCL11, and CXCL5 and the differentiation factor GM-CSF in the TME (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S1A). Combination treatment reduced the concentrations of IL1a, IL6, and IL3 compared with monotherapy (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Surprisingly, IL4 neutralization seemed to reduce the concentrations of cytokines important for T-cell activation and proliferation: treatment with 11B11 reduced levels of IFNγ and combination treatment reduced levels of IL-12 (p40) (Fig. 4D).

IL4 neutralization does not enhance trastuzumab-initiated protective adaptive immunity

To determine whether IL4 neutralization enhanced generation of trastuzumab-initiated adaptive immunity during primary challenge, tumor-free animals were rechallenged with D5-HER2 with no additional treatments. Approximately 30% of...
animals initially treated with trastuzumab remained tumor free following rechallenge, which is consistent with our previous findings (11). However, despite observed changes in the TME during primary challenge, addition of 11B11 to trastuzumab therapy during primary challenge did not enhance survival during rechallenge and thus did not potentiate generation of trastuzumab-initiated protective adaptive immunity (Fig. 4E).

Host-derived IL4 contributes to tumor control in the D5-HER2 model but does not affect efficacy of trastuzumab

Because IL4 is produced by both D5-HER2 tumor cells and by the stroma, we sought to understand the relative roles of tumor-derived and stromal-derived IL4 in modulating the therapeutic activity of trastuzumab and the composition of the TME. Unexpectedly, D5-HER2 tumors grown in hmHER2Tg:IL4−/− animals grew more quickly compared with tumors grown in hmHER2Tg:IL4+/+ animals (data not shown), and tumor-bearing hmHER2Tg:IL4−/− animals displayed reduced survival compared with tumor-bearing hmHER2Tg:IL4+/+ animals (Fig. 5A). Tumors grown in hmHER2Tg:IL4−/− animals showed a marginally reduced level of CCL2 and contained more CD11b+Gr-1+ MDSCs compared with tumors in hmHER2Tg:IL4+/+ animals (Supplementary Fig. S2A and Fig. 5B). Despite enhanced growth of tumors in hmHER2Tg:IL4−/− animals, knockout of host-derived IL4 did not affect the therapeutic efficacy of trastuzumab (Fig. 5A). Cytokine/chemokine analysis of animals treated with trastuzumab revealed a reduction of CCL2 in the microenvironment of tumors grown in hmHER2Tg:IL4−/− compared with hmHER2Tg:IL4+/+ animals, which is consistent with data from untreated animals (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Collectively, these data show that modulation of host-derived IL4 does not affect the antitumor activity of trastuzumab, but may aid in control of D5-HER2 tumor growth.

Discussion

A growing body of clinical and preclinical data suggests that activation of immune effectors is important for the maximal therapeutic efficacy of tumor-targeted antibodies. However, the TME is composed of cells and soluble mediators that collectively serve to limit generation of antitumor immunity and drive tumor growth and invasion. Thus, we sought to investigate the therapeutic potential of identifying and neutralizing a soluble factor in the microenvironment to enhance trastuzumab-initiated activation of immune effectors and subsequently enhance efficacy of trastuzumab. We identified many potential soluble mediators in the D5-HER2 TME that might suppress trastuzumab-initiated activation of antitumor immunity. Many of these mediators, including CCL2 and VEGF, are highly expressed in the TME and determining the therapeutic impact of neutralizing these mediators in the context of trastuzumab therapy is the subject of future investigation. We chose to study IL4 for a number of reasons. IL4 is important for development of Th2 responses and limits production of IFNγ and subsequent Th1 polarization, which is of relevance because patients with a Th1-polarized TME have a more favorable prognosis (20, 21). IL4 also promotes the alternative activation of macrophages, leading to suppression of T-cell function via increased arginase activity, and suppresses generation of tumoricidal, classically activated M1 macrophages (22, 23). IL4 directly influences activity of monocytes and macrophages by downregulating expression of activating FcγR and upregulating expression of inhibitory FcγR, suggesting that IL4 can modulate the capacity of effectors to mediate ADCC (24, 25).

Figure 2. Identification of positive and negative regulators of IL4 production in D5-HER2 cells using a siRNA-based screening approach. A, plot of the ELISA:CV ratios for all genes included in the screen. ELISA and CV values from each screen were normalized to siNEG. Mean ELISA and CV values from three independent screens were used to generate the ELISA:CV ratios. Ratios were log-transformed, and positive and negative regulators (B) were identified using a z-score cutoff of −1.2 and +1.2, respectively. All screens were performed in duplicate and repeated three times.
Using the D5-HER2/hmHER2Tg animal model, we demonstrated that IL4 is expressed by both tumor and stromal cells in the TME. This finding is consistent with published data demonstrating that a majority of primary human colorectal, breast, and lung tumor cells express IL4 and that tumor-derived IL4 helps protect tumor cells against the effects of chemotherapy by inducing expression of antiapoptotic proteins (19). Apoptosis induction is important for immune effector functions elicited by trastuzumab, which provides additional rationale for targeting IL4 in our model system. Similarly, endogenous tumor-derived IL4 from human pancreatic and prostate cancer cell lines serves as an autocrine growth factor and neutralization of IL4 resulted in diminished proliferation of these cell lines (18, 26).

Given the importance of tumor-derived IL4 on tumor cell biology and its potential to modulate the phenotype of immune effectors in response to antibody therapy, we sought to understand how IL4 is regulated in D5-HER2 tumor cells. Using a gene library of known positive regulators of IL4 expression, we conducted a siRNA screen against D5-HER2 that revealed STAT5A to be a negative regulator of IL4 production. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to understand how tumor cells regulate the expression of IL4. In D5-HER2 cells, knockdown of *Stat5a* resulted in increased IL4 protein production, and overexpression of a constitutively active STAT5A mutant resulted in decreased IL4 production. We also observed that *Stat5a* knockdown resulted in increased expression of IL4 mRNA, suggesting that STAT5A negatively regulates IL4 transcription, either directly or indirectly. This finding is contrary to published data, in which it was reported that STAT5A maintains the IL4 locus in a demethylated state, rendering it accessible to the transcriptional machinery (27). The IL4 gene has an intronic STAT5 binding site that serves as an enhancer element to maintain IL4 locus accessibility in mast cells (28). Therefore, this work raises the possibility of differential regulation of gene expression in tumor versus normal cells. Whether STAT5A directly regulates IL4 gene expression in D5-HER2, or indirectly via modulation of another transcription factor is unknown at this time. Future experiments aimed at understanding how STAT5A-mediated regulation of IL4 affects the composition of the TME will shed light on whether STAT5A affects the anti-tumor immune response via modulation of tumor-derived IL4.

Next, we sought to determine whether neutralization of IL4 could improve the in vivo efficacy of trastuzumab. Combination therapy with trastuzumab and 11B11 resulted in enhanced survival compared with either agent alone. IL4 neutralization changed the composition of the TME by decreasing expression of the myeloid chemoattractants CCL2, CCL11, and CXCL5. The dramatic reduction in CXCL5 levels is of note as this chemokine is associated with recruitment of tumor-promoting neutrophils and is associated with a poor prognosis (29, 30). Similarly, CCL2-mediated recruitment of protumorigenic...
myeloid cells to the TME is well documented and treatment with CCL2 neutralizing antibodies has been shown to slow growth of established tumors (31, 32). Treatment with 11B11 and trastuzumab combination therapy also resulted in decreased recruitment of CD11b$^+$CD206$^+$ myeloid cells to the microenvironment. CD206 (mannose receptor) is upregulated on M2 alternatively activated macrophages and is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with renal cell carcinoma (33). Accumulation of CD206$^+$ cells within tumors and draining lymph nodes may also be partially responsible for failure of vaccine therapy following surgery (34). CD206 is expressed by both macrophages and DCs. However, given the abundance of CD11b$^+$CD206$^+$ cells, we identified in the TME of PBS-treated control animals, we do not believe these are DCs. DCs are present at a lower frequency in the D5-HER2 TME compared with the roughly 38% abundance of the CD11b$^+$CD206$^+$ cells (data not shown). Considering tumor-associated macrophages express CD11b in addition to F4/80, we believe the CD11b$^+$CD206$^+$ cells that were identified are in fact alternatively activated macrophages (35).

These data provide evidence that treatment with 11B11 can alter the composition of the TME and limit generation of alternatively activated macrophages to enhance the efficacy of trastuzumab. Despite changes observed in the myeloid cell compartment, we cannot exclude the possibility that the enhanced antitumor activity elicited by combination therapy is mediated in part by T cells. Future studies aimed at elucidating the relative contributions between the myeloid and lymphoid compartments during primary challenge are currently under way.

Despite changes induced in the myeloid cell compartment, addition of 11B11 did not enhance generation of trastuzumab-initiated adaptive immunity. Tumor-free animals originally treated with combination therapy during primary challenge did not receive any further protection from rechallenge compared with animals originally receiving trastuzumab. This can be explained partially because combination therapy resulted in decreased IFN$\gamma$ and IL12(p40) in the TME during rechallenge. The decrease was modest, but could explain failure of combination therapy to enhance generation of HER2-specific immunity.
The recruitment of MDSCs in tumors from hmHER2Tg:IL4 decreases endogenous levels of IL4. We also observed increased versus tumor-derived IL4 using a model system that exp-
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memory T cells. These data reveal an important role of IL4 in
the context of tumor-targeted antibody therapy: Neutralization
of IL4 primarily affects the myeloid-cell compartment without
significantly altering the T-cell compartment. Evidence of a
similar finding was observed in the setting of a CD40 agonist
antibody for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. Beatty and
colleagues (36) demonstrated that the antitumor activity of a
CD40 agonist antibody was T-cell independent and instead
dependent on the reprogramming of tumor-infiltrating macro-
phages from tumor promoting to tumoricidal. Therefore,
combining strategies primarily aimed at modulating the mye-
loid-cell compartment, such as IL4 neutralization, with stra-
ategies that target T-cell activation, including the checkpoint
inhibitors anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1, may be a rational
approach to maximally liberate and activate the antitumor
immune response.

Finally, we sought insight into the relative role between
tumor-derived IL4 and stromal-derived IL4. Surprisingly,
D5-HER2 tumors grew more rapidly in hmHER2Tg:IL4/−/−
animals compared with hmHER2Tg:IL4+/+ animals, and
removal of host-derived IL4 did not affect the efficacy of
trastuzumab. These data suggest an intriguing duality of IL4
function; host-derived IL4 seems to contribute to tumor
control, whereas tumor-derived IL4 contributes to tumor
growth. Other groups have investigated the role of tumor-
derived IL4 in shaping the TME and affecting tumor growth;
however, these models involved expression of IL4 under
control of viral promoters that results in supraphysiologic
levels of IL4 (37, 38). In contrast, we provide the first
reported insight into the relative contribution of host-
versus tumor-derived IL4 using a model system that
expresses endogenous levels of IL4. We also observed increased
recruitment of MDSCs in tumors from hmHER2Tg:IL4/−/−
animals, which may help explain why these tumors grew
more rapidly.

In summary, we utilized a unique model system to identify
STAT5A as a negative regulator of IL4 expression in D5-HER2
tumor cells and provide evidence that IL4 neutralization
enhances the therapeutic efficacy of trastuzumab via modu-
lation of the myeloid-cell compartment. Our results collectively
demonstrate that prospectively identifying and targeting sol-
uble factors in the TME is a rational strategy to improve the
efficacy of tumor-targeted antibody therapy.
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